

What's the
shortest distance
between
two points?



www.scienceproductlink.org

Find out about products advertised
in *Science* — instantly.

Science announces a great way to find out about new products advertised or featured in *Science* — instantly. *Science's* Product Link is a new online reader service program and online product information service that allows readers to search for products advertised or featured in *Science* by

- product category,
- company name,
- keyword, and
- page number.

You can look for product information in current and back issues. You can even link to advertisers' websites for more information.

If you prefer, you can request to have product information delivered via

- e-mail,
- regular mail,
- telephone, and
- fax.

What's the shortest distance between two points? *Science's* Product Link.

Science
Discover the Future

SCIENCE'S COMPASS

In the late 1960s, Olovnikov, who had just heard a lecture on my finding that normal human cells have a finite capacity to replicate and that a counting mechanism must exist (3), entered a Moscow subway station (4). When the train approached, he had an astonishing flash of insight. He saw an analogy between the engine, which he imagined represented the DNA polymerase, and the track, which he saw as the DNA. Because the first DNA segment (the track) was under the engine (the polymerase) at the start, Olovnikov reasoned that only this segment would not be replicated. When this occurred at each station, he surmised that the track (the DNA) would become shorter. This analogy to "the end replication problem" prompted Olovnikov to arrive at his imaginative solution. He proposed that telomeres might consist of repeated nucleotide sequences that did not contain genetic information, but behaved like a buffer whose loss would not affect downstream genes. The length of the repeated sequences would determine the number of rounds possible for DNA replication and, consequently, provide a molecular explanation for our finding of the finitude of normal cell replication.

As reported by Marx, laboratory findings more than a decade later showed that Olovnikov's remarkable insight was extraordinarily accurate.

LEONARD HAYFLICK

Department of Anatomy, University of California, San Francisco, Post Office Box 89, The Sea Ranch, CA 95497-0089, USA. E-mail: len@gene.com

References and Notes

1. A. M. Olovnikov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R.* **201**, 1496 (1971).
2. ———, *J. Theoret. Biol.* **41**, 181 (1973).
3. J. W. Shay, W. E. Wright, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **1**, 72 (2000).
4. A. M. Olovnikov, *Exp. Gerontol.* **31**, 443 (1996).

The Globalization of Nature

THE EXCELLENT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS BY Kerry ten Kate ("Science and the Convention on Biological Diversity," Policy Forum, 29 March, p. 2371) of the significance of the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) to science and research points out some successes and failures since the Earth Summit at Rio de Janeiro in 1992. The human assault on Earth's natural environments was universally acknowledged by the international representatives attending that meeting, which was a turning point in the conservation, understanding, utilization, and sharing of the planet's biological riches. We view the CBD as a pivotal event in the globalization of Nature, in which the air we breathe, the water we drink, the oceans we fish, and the

plants and animals of Earth are at the center of perhaps the most profound economic development of the turn of the century.

As one of the world's major natural history museums, charged with documenting and understanding the diversity and distribution of species past and present, the National Museum of Natural History and the Smithsonian Institution are calling for international cooperation among scientists to utilize new technologies to enhance the sharing of information on biodiversity. During the past decade, like minerals and fossil fuels, Earth's living organisms have become international commodities that are valued, owned, traded, and protected by local and national governments. A clear distinction must be made between scientific understanding and commercial exploitation of Nature. If we are to protect biodiversity, we must understand it, and to understand it, we must cooperate in a worldwide fashion. Such efforts are essential if the globalization of Nature is to benefit our society.

W. JOHN KRESS,¹ J. DENNIS O'CONNOR,²
PAULA DEPRIEST¹

¹Botany, MRC-166, United States National Herbarium, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560-0166, USA. E-mail: kress.john@nmnh.si.edu, depriest.paula@nmnh.si.edu. ²Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560-0230, USA. E-mail: oconnor.dennis@si.si&c

CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

NEWS OF THE WEEK: "Public group completes draft of the mouse" by E. Marshall (10 May, p. 1005). The annotated draft sequence of the mouse genome (Mouse Genome Version 3) was released by Ensembl, a joint European Bioinformatics Institute/Sanger Institute project, not by the European Molecular Biology Laboratory, as stated in the article.

NEWS FOCUS: "Tuning in the radio sky" by R. Irion (3 May, p. 830). The article misrepresented the origin of the Allen Telescope Array (ATA). Radio astronomer Frank Drake first conceived in 1964 of linking multiple small antennas to achieve a large collecting area at relatively low cost. A team including Drake, radio astronomers John Dreher and Jack Welch, and electrical engineer Sander Weinreb devised plans for the multiple-dish One Hectare Telescope—later renamed the ATA—at a series of SETI Institute workshops in the late 1990s.

NEWS OF THE WEEK: "'Fantastic' fossil helps narrow data gap" by E. Stokstad (26 April, p. 637). Guillermo Rougier's affiliation was listed incorrectly. He is at the University of Louisville.