

is absorbed in the atmosphere, the NIR water vapor bands.

Thus, we see no way of defending Stephens's statement that conclusions based on broad-band measurements (1) are inconsistent with other existing data sets where discrepancies were found to occur between measurements in spectral bands and theory. Instead, the broad-band solar absorption findings presented in our report (1) are entirely consistent with existing spectral data sets, as both show cloud absorption to be greater than the magnitude predicted by theory.

Stephens makes another critical error when determining cloud absorption with the use of results shown in figure 6 of our report (1). Stephens determined cloud absorption, A , from the relationship $A + R + T = 1$, where R is reflectance and T is transmission, and he states that figure 6 in our report (1) indicates that a cloud with reflectance (albedo) 0.45 would absorb 0.40. His error is in assuming A occurs entirely in cloud. He does not account for the absorbing surface or for the lower 10 km of the atmosphere as well. An appropriate relationship between R and A is $R + A = 1$, where A now is the fractional absorption by the combined atmosphere-surface system (7). The analysis shown in figure 6 in our report is not by itself sufficient to determine cloud absorption; again we would refer the reader to figure 2 in our report (or Fig. 1 here). Consequently, contrary to Stephens erroneous conclusion, in our report (1) figure 1 is entirely consistent with figure 6 in our report, as those figures represent alternative ways of viewing

the same data. The underlying theme is that observations cannot be reconciled with theory, regardless of the units or method of formulating cloud absorption.

Peter Pilewski

Ames Research Center,
National Aeronautics
and Space Administration,
Mail Stop 245-4,
Moffet Field, CA 94035-1000, USA
E-mail: pil@ra.arc.nasa.gov
Francisco P. J. Valero
Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
University of California
at San Diego,
La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
E-mail: fvalero@ucsd.edu.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. P. Pilewski and F. P. J. Valero, *Science* **267**, 1626 (1995).
2. S. Twomey, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **33**, 1087 (1976); G. L. Stephens, *ibid.* **36**, 2111 (1978).
3. The new approach to measure atmospheric absorption during TOGA-COARE and CEPEX evolved from a decade long airborne radiation measurement program; see F. P. J. Valero *et al.*, *Appl. Opt.* **21**, 831 (1982); *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **10**, 1184 (1983); *ibid.* **11**, 465 (1984).
4. T. Hayasaka *et al.*, *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **34**, 1047 (1995).
5. S. A. Ackerman and S. K. Cox, *ibid.* **20**, 1510 (1981).
6. G. L. Stephens and S. Tsay, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **116**, 671 (1990).
7. The effects of our observations beneath cloud being at 10 km instead of at the surface were discussed in our report (1) and shown to be consistent with the results of Cess *et al.* (8).
8. R. D. Cess *et al.*, *Science* **267**, 496 (1995).

1 May 1995; accepted 7 July 1995

Interhelical Salt Bridges, Coiled-Coil Stability, and Specificity of Dimerization

In their report (1), Kevin J. Lumb and Peter S. Kim address the contribution to the stability of the GCN4 leucine zipper of interhelical salt bridges between ionizable side chains at positions **e** and **g** in the heptad repeat denoted *gabcdef* (residue **i** in chain 1 with residue $i' + 5$ in chain 2, **g** to **e'**). With the use of ^{13}C -nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), they measured the pK_a (where K_a is the acidity constant) values of two pairs of Glu side chains potentially involved in interhelical salt bridges with Lys side chains in a synthetic model of the homodimeric leucine zipper of GCN4 (GCN4-p1).

Lumb and Kim (1) state that potential salt bridges between Glu^{22,22'} and Lys^{27,27'} do not contribute to the stability of GCN4-p1 and that potential salt bridges between Glu^{20,20'} and Lys^{15,15'} are destabilizing relative to alternative neutral-charge interac-

tions. They conclude that i to $i' + 5$ interhelical salt bridges will not necessarily contribute favorably (and in some cases will be unfavorable) to coiled-coil stability and dimerization specificity. They suggest that if there was a favorable electrostatic interaction in the folded GCN4-p1, the pK_a of Glu side chains should have been lower than in the unfolded form.

Lumb and Kim's interpretation of their results is not consistent with the experimental findings that charged side chains at these positions have been shown to play a key role in dimerization specificity (heterodimer formation) of Fos-Jun leucine zippers and de novo designed coiled coils (2). Electrostatics have also been shown to control chain orientation (parallel versus antiparallel) in model coiled coils (3). Destabilization of homodimers by side chains bearing like charges at these positions is the

mechanism proposed to favor heterodimer formation where potential interhelical i to $i' + 5$ salt bridges can form (2, 3).

Double-mutant cycle analyses carried out in our laboratory on designed coiled coils have shown that the net electrostatic contribution per interhelical Glu-Lys salt bridge is -0.4 kcal/mol (4) and $+0.5$ kcal/mol per Glu-Glu repulsion (5).

Because Glu^{22,22'} are involved in hydrophobic interactions with Val^{23,23'} through their methylenes [see figure 2C of (1)], the solvent accessibility of the charged carboxylate should accordingly be, on a time average, lower in the folded than in the unfolded form. Therefore, in the folded form of GCN4-p1, Glu^{22,22'} will likely suffer a decrease in solvation free energy (positive $\Delta\Delta G$ solvation). Unless there is strong evidence that this likely loss of solvation free energy is exactly compensated by a negative $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{dipole}}$ term or that both of these terms are equal to zero, one cannot conclude that there is no favorable contribution to the stability of GCN4-p1 from electrostatic interactions (negative $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{int}}$) arising from the putative salt bridges between Glu^{22,22'} and Lys^{27,27'} on the basis of no change in the pK_a of Glu^{22,22'}. On the other hand, hydrophobic interactions involving Glu^{22,22'} in the folded form could play a crucial role in the net electrostatic contribution of these salt bridges to coiled-coil stability. A decrease in solvation free energy of the charged carboxylates of Glu^{22,22'} in the folded form could be compensated for by the formation of hydrophobic interactions (involving the methylenes of Glu^{22,22'} and Val^{23,23'} side chains at positions **a**), leaving a net stabilization provided by purely electrostatic interactions between Glu and Lys side chains at neutral pH, as determined by double-mutant cycle analysis on de novo designed coiled coils in our laboratory (4).

Lumb and Kim (1) state that when Glu^{20,20'} are replaced by Gln residues, the stability of GCN4-p1 is increased. To explain this result, they propose that Gln side chains pack more efficiently than Glu side chains at the dimer interface. This is more a consequence rather than an explanation. The solvation free energy of a neutral polar group is about 60 kcal/mol less than that of a charged group (6). Therefore the fact that the Gln^{20,20'} analog of GCN4-p1 is more stable likely results from a significantly lower cost of solvation free energy upon packing at the dimer interface. Moreover, replacing the charged carboxylate by a neutral carboxamide group could alleviate any destabilizing interaction of the charged carboxylate with polar groups in its surrounding at the dimer interface. Both effects should allow a stronger net contribution of hydrophobic interactions at the dimer interface from hydrophobic moieties of

Gln^{20,20'} as compared to Glu^{20,20'}.

Also based on the increased stability of the Gln^{20,20'} GCN4-p1 analog, Lumb and Kim (1) conclude that interhelical charge-charge interactions should not be weighted more heavily than potential neutral-charge interactions at *i* and *i'* + 5 positions in the prediction of coiled-coil dimerization specificity. Strictly speaking, this conclusion is only relevant to the stability of the particular case of GCN4-p1. To make it a general statement on coiled-coil stability, a similar trend should be observed for a Gln^{22,22'} GCN4-p1 analog. No such result is presented by Lumb and Kim (1). On the other hand, work carried out in our laboratory on designed coiled coils with an entirely hydrophobic dimerization interface (positions **a** and **d**) has shown that potential interhelical *i* and *i'* + 5 Gln-Lys interactions provide 0.15 kcal/mol (per pair) less stabilization free energy than Glu-Lys interactions (4).

Pierre Lavigne
Frank D. Sönnichsen
Cyril M. Kay
Robert S. Hodges

Department of Biochemistry and
Protein Engineering Network of
Centres of Excellence,
University of Alberta,
Edmonton, Alberta,
Canada T6G 2H7

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. K. J. Lumb and P. S. Kim, *Science* **268**, 436 (1995).
2. E. K. O'Shea *et al.*, *ibid.* **245**, 646 (1989); E. K. O'Shea *et al.*, *Cell* **66**, 699 (1992); N. E. Zhou, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *J. Mol. Biol.* **237**, 500 (1994).
3. O. D. Monera *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 19218 (1993); O. D. Monera, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *Biochemistry* **33**, 3862 (1994).
4. N. E. Zhou, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *Protein Eng.* **7**, 1365 (1994).
5. W. D. Kohn, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *Protein Sci.* **4**, 237 (1995).
6. A. Warshel and S. T. Russell, *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **17**, 283 (1984).

12 June 1995; accepted 20 September 1995

Response: Basic-region leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors bind to DNA as homo- or heterodimers, and the specificity of dimerization resides in the leucine-zipper region, a parallel, two-stranded coiled coil (1). Since 1972, interhelical salt bridges in coiled coils have been assumed to be important for stability and dimerization specificity [see (2)]. Our study of the GCN4 leucine zipper (2) challenges this long-held assumption and will naturally attract scrutiny. We welcome the opportunity to discuss the comments raised by Hodges and co-workers. In particular, it is important to distinguish between pK_a measurements and double-mutant cycle analyses.

First, although there are several factors that affect pK_a values in proteins (3), the

difference in pK_a between the folded and unfolded states provides a *direct* measure of the contribution of an ionizable group to the free energy of folding (ΔG_f). This statement is a consequence of thermodynamics (3, 4). If a negatively charged residue contributes favorably to ΔG_f , the pK_a will be lower in the folded than in the unfolded state (Fig. 1). A classic example of a salt bridge stabilizing a protein and thereby reducing a pK_a is the activating salt bridge of chymotrypsin; a dramatic example is the buried salt bridge in T4 lysozyme (5).

Values of pK_a can be measured accurately using the ¹³C-NMR method described in our report (2). In particular, pK_a value for the unfolded-state was measured rather than estimated. These pK_a measurements indicate unambiguously (2) that the negatively charged Glu residues that form salt bridges in the crystal structures of the GCN4 leucine zipper [figure 2A in (2); see also (6, 7)] do not make favorable contributions to ΔG_f relative to the corresponding situation in which the Glu residues are neutral (protonated).

Second, although it is possible that the situation differs in solution, our study focused on residues that actually form salt bridges in high-resolution x-ray crystal structures (6, 7). In contrast, salt bridge formation has been *assumed* in the double-mutant cycle studies referred to by Hodges *et al.* (8). There are numerous examples of potential salt bridges that do not actually form in crystal structures of various leucine zippers (6, 7, 9).

Third, contrary to the statements of Hodges *et al.*, double-mutant cycle studies do not necessarily measure electrostatic interactions (10). In a double-mutant cycle, the residues of interest are mutated separately and together to measure the free energy of interaction between the two residues of interest, called the coupling energy (10, 11). The essential point is that the coupling energy will contain contributions from *all* interactions between the residues of interest, not just electrostatic. The coupling energy may reflect, for example, contributions from solvation, van der Waals contacts and indirect effects propagated through the structure as a result of the mutations (10).

Fersht *et al.* (10) have explained succinctly that in order for a coupling energy to constitute a measure of an electrostatic interaction, it must be shown that (i) the nonelectrostatic contributions to the coupling energy in the single mutants are additive in the double mutant and, (ii) there are no conformational changes in the mutant proteins that alter the electrostatic interactions of the unmutated charged residue with the rest of the protein.

To demonstrate that the nonelectrostatic terms are additive, the coupling en-

ergy must be shown to be negligible at high ionic strength, where coulombic interactions are screened (10). To rule out conformational changes requires high-resolution structural data. Neither of these requirements have been established in the double-mutant cycle studies of putative interhelical salt bridges in coiled coils performed to date (8, 12). Thus, although it is possible that the coupling energies obtained in these studies correspond to electrostatic effects, it has not been determined if these studies are measuring only (or even predominantly) the electrostatic interaction between the residues of interest.

Indeed, it seems likely that large, non-additive free energy terms will result from structural reorganization at the hydrophobic helical interfaces of the mutant coiled coils. In the double-mutant cycle studies of salt bridges in coiled coils reported to date, residues at positions *g'* and *e* are changed to Ala or Gln (8, 12). This effective removal of the side chain, especially for the long side chains of Lys and Arg, will almost certainly change the hydrophobic contacts in the interhelical core, which consists of residues at positions **a**, **d'**, **e**, and **g'**, where prime (') denotes residues of the opposing helix (6, 7, 9). Hydrophobic interactions are substantial (13) and could easily contribute 1 kcal/mol to the coupling energy. In the absence of high-resolution structural data, one cannot assume that the structural consequences of such indelicate mutations are additive.

The largest favorable coupling energies that have been observed in coiled coils are between Glu and Arg residues in studies of the VBP leucine zipper by Vinson and colleagues [about -1 kcal/mol, relative to Ala




Fig. 1. Thermodynamic cycle showing the relationship (3, 4) between pK_a values and the free energy of folding (ΔG_i).

$$\Delta G_i^{+/-} - \Delta G_i^{+o} = -2.303RT(pK_a^U - pK_a^N)$$

A difference in the free energy of protonation in the native (N) and unfolded (U) states requires a difference in ΔG_i when the ionizable group is charged or neutral (protonated).

(12)]. We recently extended our pK_a studies to Glu-Arg interactions. Because interhelical salt bridges between Glu and Arg residues are not observed in the GCN4-p1 crystal structure (6, 14), we synthesized a GCN4-p1 variant in which Lys²⁷ was changed to Arg, which could potentially form an interhelical salt bridge with Glu²² [figure 2A in (2)]. The pK_a of Glu²² in the K27R variant is slightly lower in the folded than in the unfolded state (Fig. 2), which corresponds to an electrostatic contribution to ΔG_f of about -0.06 ± 0.1 kcal/mol. Thus, even though a substantial coupling energy is reported for Glu-Arg pairs in the vitellogenin gene binding protein leucine zipper, the *directly* measured contribution of the ionized form of Glu²² to ΔG_f of the K27R variant of the GCN4 leucine zipper is negligible, relative to the corresponding situation in which Glu²² is neutral (protonated).

What is actually known from experiment about the electrostatic contribution to dimerization specificity of the bZIP proteins and coiled coils? There is no question that the relief of unfavorable interhelical electrostatic interactions in homodimers




Fig. 2. pK_a values of Glu²² in GCN4-p1(K27R) and in the unfolded-state model peptide GCN4-p1(K27R)₁₇₋₃₃ (25) were measured as described previously (2) at 25°C and physiological ionic strength (10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl) from the changes in ¹³C-chemical shift of the Glu²² carboxylate δ carbon with pH. Folded- and unfolded-state pK_a values of Glu²² are 4.07 ± 0.08 and 4.13 ± 0.08 , respectively. Glutamic acid enriched with ¹³C at the carboxylate δ carbon was incorporated at residue 22 by peptide synthesis into GCN4-p1(K27R) and GCN4-p1(K27R)₁₇₋₃₃ at a level of about 10%, allowing assignment of the Glu²² δ -¹³C resonance as in (2). Samples were 2.1 mM in GCN4-p1(K27R) and 1.0 mM in GCN4-p1₁₇₋₃₃(K27R). Curves are least-squares fit to the Henderson-Hasselbach equation.

contributes substantially to leucine-zipper heterodimerization specificity (17–20). In contrast, there is no compelling experimental evidence for net favorable electrostatic interactions in the GCN4 (2) or Fos/Jun leucine zippers (17) or in designed heterodimeric coiled coils (18, 21). Indeed, several studies indicate that equal or greater stability can be obtained in coiled coils from interhelical charge-neutral interactions as from pairing residues of opposite charge (2, 8, 18, 23). Moreover, Lys residues at e or g positions have an approximately equal probability of pairing with Glu or Gln residues in naturally occurring two-stranded coiled coils (24), suggesting that there is no substantial advantage to forming a Glu-Lys salt bridge over a Gln-Lys interaction. Finally, interactions between surface charges in proteins are almost always very weak (3, 10, 22).

The accurate prediction of bZIP dimerization specificity will almost certainly require an evaluation of many pairwise interactions (24) between two particular helices, and electrostatic interactions in leucine zippers may well be context dependent (2). Nevertheless, at present, it appears that the prediction of leucine-zipper (or other coiled-coil) dimerization specificity should not emphasize the formation of interhelical salt bridges over alternative charged-neutral interactions, but instead should focus on the avoidance of unfavorable electrostatic interactions (2).

Kevin J. Lumb
Peter S. Kim

Howard Hughes Medical Institute,
Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research,
Department of Biology,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Nine Cambridge Center,
Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- W. H. Landschultz, P. F. Johnson, S. L. McKnight, *Science* **240**, 1759 (1988); E. K. O'Shea, R. Rutkowski, P. S. Kim, *ibid.* **243**, 538 (1989).
- K. J. Lumb and P. S. Kim, *ibid.* **268**, 436 (1995).
- A. Yang and B. Honig, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2**, 40 (1992).
- J. G. Kirkwood, F. H. Westheimer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **6**, 506 (1938); C. Tanford, *Physical Chemistry of Macromolecules* (Wiley, New York) (1961).
- A. R. Fersht, *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **36**, 71 (1972); D. E. Anderson, W. J. Becktel, F. W. Dahlquist, *Biochemistry* **29**, 2403 (1990).
- E. K. O'Shea, J. D. Klemm, P. S. Kim, T. Alber, *Science* **254**, 539 (1991).
- T. E. Ellenberger, C. J. Brandl, K. Struhl, S. C. Harrison, *Cell* **71**, 1223 (1992); P. Konig and T. J. Richmond, *J. Mol. Biol.* **233**, 139 (1993).
- N. E. Zhou, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *Protein Eng.* **7**, 1365 (1994).

- J. N. M. Glover and S. C. Harrison, *Nature* **373**, 257 (1995).
- L. Serrano, A. Horovitz, B. Avron, M. Bycroft, A. R. Fersht, *Biochemistry* **29**, 9343 (1990).
- The determination of coupling energies in double-mutant cycle experiments requires measurements of ΔG_i for four different proteins. Typical estimates of the error in each ΔG_i measurement are 0.1 to 0.4 kcal/mol (10, 12), which result in errors in the measured coupling energy of 0.2 to 0.8 kcal/mol. These errors are comparable to the measured coupling energies in coiled coils of about 0 to 1 kcal/mol (8, 12), although an astonishingly small error (± 0.01 kcal/mol) has been reported for some coupling energy measurements (8). For comparison, our pK_a measurements in independent experiments agreed to within 0.05 units, such that the error in ΔG_{int} is about 0.1 kcal/mol (2).
- D. Krylov, I. Mikhailenko, C. Vinson, *EMBO J.* **13**, 2849 (1994).
- W. Kauzmann, *Adv. Protein Chem.* **14**, 1 (1959); K. A. Dill, *Biochemistry* **29**, 7133 (1990).
- Arg and Glu residues form interhelical salt bridges in crystal structures of the GCN4 and Fos/Jun bZIP domains bound to DNA (7, 9), but not in the isolated GCN4 leucine zipper (6).
- Lys²⁷ was changed to Arg since (i) in GCN4-p1, the pK_a of Glu²² is unperturbed, whereas the pK_a of Glu²⁰ is higher in the folded state (2) and; (ii) the Glu²² $\rightarrow$ Lys²⁷ salt bridge is seen on both sides of the GCN4-p1 crystal structure (6), unlike the Lys¹⁵ $\rightarrow$ Glu²⁰ salt bridge (Fig. 2). The variant, denoted GCN4-p1(K27R), is dimeric by sedimentation equilibrium at pH 2 and 7, with the same thermal stability as GCN4-p1 at pH 3 and 7 (16).
- K. J. Lumb and P. S. Kim, unpublished results. Experimental methods are described previously (2).
- E. K. O'Shea, R. Rutkowski, P. S. Kim, *Cell* **66**, 699 (1992).
- E. K. O'Shea, K. J. Lumb, P. S. Kim, *Curr. Biol.* **3**, 658 (1993).
- E. K. O'Shea, R. Rutkowski, W. F. Stafford III, P. S. Kim, *Science* **245**, 646 (1989); C. R. Vinson, T. Hai, S. M. Boyd, *Genes Dev.* **7**, 1047 (1993); M. John, J. Briand, M. Granger-Schnarr, M. Schnarr, *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 16247 (1994).
- N. E. Zhou, C. M. Kay, R. S. Hodges, *J. Mol. Biol.* **237**, 500 (1994).
- We are not aware of experimental support for the suggestion by Hodges and co-workers (20) that interhelical salt bridges contribute to the specificity of heterodimer formation in their designed coiled coil.
- S. Dao-pin, U. Sauer, H. Nicholson, B. W. Matthews, *Biochemistry* **30**, 7142 (1991); D. Sali, M. Bycroft, A. R. Fersht, *J. Mol. Biol.* **220**, 779 (1991); B. W. Matthews, *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **62**, 139 (1993).
- T. Schmidt-Dorr, P. Oertel-Buchheit, C. Pernelle, L. Bracco, M. Schnarr, M. Granger-Schnarr, *Biochemistry* **30**, 9657 (1991).
- B. Berger, D. B. Wilson, E. Wolf, T. Tonchev, M. Milla, P. S. Kim, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92**, 8259 (1995).
- GCN4-p1(K27R)₁₇₋₃₃ is a peptide model of the unfolded state that corresponds to residues 17 to 33 of GCN4-p1(K27R). The NH₂-terminus is acetylated to avoid the introduction of additional charges. Under the conditions of the pK_a measurements, the circular dichroism (CD) spectrum is typical of an unfolded coiled-coil peptide and is independent of temperature from 25 to 70°C, and pH from 2.0 to 6.2 (16). At pH 6.8 and 25°C, the peptide is >98% unfolded as judged by CD (16).
- We thank P. Harbury for valuable discussions, and M. Burgess and J. Pang for peptide synthesis and mass spectrometry. Supported by grant GM44162 from the National Institutes of Health.

27 June 1995; accepted 20 September 1995