

many volunteers as victims; no one had to force physicians to support the regime," or to conduct the experiments. Second, the Code's insistence on the essential character of the voluntary consent of the subject was altogether relevant to American investigators. Although the book sloughs over the point, the research conducted in this country both during World War II and over the period 1945–1965 frequently ignored voluntary consent. As Beecher noted in his 1966 exposé of the ethics of human experimentation, the cancer experiments of Chester Southam, the hepatitis experiments of Saul Krugman, and the cardiac catheterization experiments of Eugene Braunwald, among others, clearly violated the dictum.

Annas and Grodin close the book with a brief plea for an international Covenant on Human Experimentation, based on Nuremberg but going well beyond it, to deal with all of human experimentation, therapeutic and nontherapeutic. Their aim is not so much to produce another code as to establish an international tribunal that would enforce a code. They say almost nothing, however, about how this agenda is to be accomplished or its likely effects. So we leave the book, as we entered it, intrigued but unsettled, still wondering about the impact of the Nuremberg Code even as we think about future possibilities.

David J. Rothman

*Center for the Study of
Society and Medicine,*

*College of Physicians and Surgeons,
Columbia University,
New York, NY 10032*

Consciousness Redux

Consciousness Explained. DANIEL C. DENNETT. Illustrated by Paul Weiner. Little, Brown, Boston, 1991. xiv, 511 pp. \$27.95.

Consciousness is, for each of us, so vital that all sorts of thinkers have been eager to pontificate on its significance. Despite this diverse inquiry, no consensus about the nature of consciousness has emerged. Given that the debate now spans more than two millennia, a book with the authoritative title *Consciousness Explained* by a well-known writer on brain and mind is noteworthy.

Dennett begins by reviewing the perennially attractive idea of the Cartesian Theater, the notion that consciousness can be understood as presentation on an internal stage to an audience that sits at the pinna-

cle of neural function (for Descartes, the pineal gland). The basic flaw in this generally discredited view is the logical impasse that arises in identifying the audience, a task that raises the specter of infinite regress or, worse yet, dualism. As Dennett points out, "Neither the show nor the audience is to be found in the brain, and the brain is the only real place there is to look for them."

Dennett wants to replace this outmoded concept with what he calls the "multiple drafts model" of consciousness. In part on the basis of modern evidence for the massive parallel processing of information within the brain, he argues that consciousness is not a unitary phenomenon but rather a continually changing, updated and edited version of the world that derives from many neurological sources. Dennett summarizes his view as follows:

There is no single, definitive "stream of consciousness" because there is no central Headquarters, no Cartesian Theater where "it all comes together" for the perusal of a Central Meaner. Instead of such a single stream . . . there are multiple channels in which specialist circuits try, in parallel pandemoniums, to do their various things, creating Multiple Drafts as they go. Most of these fragmentary drafts of "narrative" play short-lived roles in the modulation of current activity but some get promoted to further functional roles, in swift succession, by the activity of a virtual machine in the brain. The seriality of this machine . . . is not a "hard-wired" design feature, but rather the upshot of a succession of coalitions of these specialists.

For many readers, a major problem with this perspective is likely to be a sense that, in formulating his model, Dennett has conflated consciousness and its content (particularly its linguistic content). For Dennett, consciousness is defined by anything (and everything) that can be brought into the domain of awareness; it is a "narrative" generated by a multitude of parallel "pandemoniums," biased and shaped by previous experience, culture, and the unconscious (among other influences), and reported primarily by language. Though all this seems a reasonable interpretation of the content of consciousness, it sidesteps the issue of what consciousness is. First and foremost, consciousness is a luminous and immediate sense of the present, about which we are quite certain. Indeed, as Descartes emphasized, this awareness is about the only thing in mental life about which we are entirely confident. What comes into our awareness of the present may be, and often is, the result of delusion, selective forgetting, self-serving editing, or frank pathology. But however interesting the repertoire of conscious thought may be, focusing on the content of awareness does little

to explain the phenomenon itself. With consciousness defined in this way, our immediate sense of the present and what amounts to memory (the source of the revisions of reality that plague even the most sensible among us) are fused in an awkward amalgam that precludes a clear consideration of either. Despite repeated declamation of his wish to "demystify" the notion of consciousness, one is left with the impression that, for Dennett, it is a concept that can be approached only by indirection and metaphor.

A second and related problem is Dennett's failure to address the biology (as opposed to the phenomenology) of consciousness. He pays scant attention to the fact that consciousness is normally turned on and off (when we wake up and fall asleep), that it can be abridged by drugs or trauma, and that it depends critically upon special subsets of neurons in the reticular formation of the brainstem (and elsewhere) whose job it is to control the ability of the brain to be conscious. There is, in this book, no consideration of sleep, attention, anesthesia, or the classic studies that demonstrate how the brain modulates consciousness. These are issues that most thinkers—whether biologically inclined or otherwise—would probably deem relevant to a modern "explanation" of our ability to be so acutely aware of the present. This reservation is not simply a biologist's complaint that the book contains too much philosophy and not enough neurology. The gripe is that Dennett, more often than not, is philosophizing about the wrong thing.

A third problem is the strategy of his presentation. Dennett, a highly skilled writer broadly knowledgeable about a wealth of psychophysical, neurobiological, and historical material relevant to his task, is also long-winded. He takes fully 250 pages to reach something that might be considered a direct statement of his theory. The argument is sometimes usefully Socratic, but it is often oblique and cluttered. Anecdotes, philosophical asides, literary interludes, and fashionable allusions intrude at every turn and eventually become the substance of the book, rather than its adornment. From Joyce to Julesz; from Kant to Kissinger; from Husserl to Huxley; the Turing test, AI, Kolers's colorphi phenomenon, the Baldwin effect, blindsight, zombies, Dawkins's memes, Searle's Chinese room—it is all here in fascinating but sometimes mind-boggling and seemingly pointless detail that eventually overwhelms Dennett's logic. In the end, one wonders if he simply doesn't see his own argument clearly enough to make it direct and forceful.

Despite Dennett's ultimate failure to provide a radically new explanation of con-

sciousness (as promised), the book does provide an engaging review of this long-standing and tendentious controversy. The considerable reward of reading it is a wealth of provocative vignettes, psychophysical conundrums, and literary examples that are a lot of fun—and probably relevant to thinking about consciousness. In the end, however, Dennett admits—with admirable candor—that he has really only replaced one set of metaphors (those of the Cartesian Theater) with another (multiple drafts, virtual machines, pandemoniums). In this day and age, many readers will conclude that metaphors are not enough.

Dale Purves

Department of Neurobiology,
Duke University Medical Center,
Durham, NC 27710

Tracking Phytochrome

Pigment of the Imagination. A History of Phytochrome Research. LINDA C. SAGE. Academic Press, San Diego, CA 1992. xx, 562 pp., illus. \$99.50.

During the decades in which photosynthesis researchers were focusing on light as a source of energy, phytochrome researchers were demonstrating the importance of light quality, quantity, and duration as environmental signals that modulate many developmental processes in plants. Phytochrome represents an important class of light-sensing pigments and is unique among photosensory pigments in that it is photoreversible. Absorption of red light converts it to a form that absorbs far-red light and absorption of far-red light converts it back to the form that absorbs red light. This photoconversion is a key component in phytochrome's ability to trigger a variety of growth responses that allow plants to adjust to changes in their surroundings throughout all stages of their life cycle, from seed germination to flower development. Some responses, such as induction of the expression of several photosynthetic genes by red light, can be rapidly induced by a single, short pulse of light. In other cases the responses occur more slowly and require repeated exposures to particular light conditions, in many cases requiring the measurement of daylength. For example, some plants flower only after they are exposed to the increasingly longer days of summer, whereas others require additional exposure to the shorter days of late summer before they will flower.

Pigment of the Imagination provides a fascinating account of the vast amount of

phytochrome research that has been conducted during the past eight decades. It is the product of extensive work by Linda Sage, who analyzed scientific literature and personal records and conducted numerous interviews. The book is divided into two parts, each with 17 chapters. Part 1 chronicles the major events that took place from the initial discovery that plants were able to respond to photoperiodic stimuli to the extraction of the light-sensing pigment from seedlings. Part 2 deals with research conducted over the last 20 years and includes a thorough and current account of the important advances that have been made on many fronts, including refinements in the techniques of molecular biology.

Part 1 is a well-balanced blend of research data and personal information that provides the reader with the facts as well as an appreciation for the ingenuity and insights of many of the key investigators. For example, in describing the early work on the action spectrum for flower initiation, Sage not only presents the principles behind action spectroscopy and the results obtained but also provides fascinating details about the way Sterling Hendricks and his colleagues begged and borrowed components for the Beltsville spectrograph when they were short of research funds. Although instrumentation was critical for much of the research that resulted in the eventual discovery of phytochrome, Sage is careful to stress that it was the insightful analysis of the data that led to many of the crucial advances. The early days of phytochrome research provide wonderful examples of the benefits of collaborative research among scientists trained in a variety of disciplines.

An interesting, but very brief, discussion is included in part 1 of how a minor investment of about \$10,000 in basic research led to billions of dollars of benefits to farmers, horticulturalists, and plant breeders. For example, agronomists now test the photoperiodic requirements of new crop varieties so they can be introduced only where the growing season provides the necessary daylength. Horticulturalists routinely change daylengths in greenhouses to control flowering in a vast array of ornamental plants in such a way that, for many plants, blooms can be obtained in all seasons. Plant breeders manipulate daylength in greenhouses to increase the number of generations per year, to obtain seed of varieties that fail to reproduce outdoors, and to synchronize flowering of varieties that normally flower at different times for generating hybrids. These important offshoots of the basic research on phytochrome are often overlooked in textbooks, and so a little more space devoted to this topic would have been welcome.

With preparations of the phytochrome protein in hand, an increase in the number of researchers in the field, and numerous technological advances, the time was ripe to try to solve the ultimate mystery: How is the primary absorption of light by phytochrome converted into a growth response? Although the jury is still out on this issue, part 2 provides a wealth of information obtained from various fronts. Several chapters chronicle the frustrations and triumphs that led to the detailed characterization of the biochemical nature of the protein-pigment complex, the cloning of the first phytochrome gene, and the discovery that there are multiple species of phytochrome. Other chapters focus on various aspects of phytochrome physiology in a diversity of systems, including the importance of the phytochrome system in the natural environment and the interactions between circadian rhythms and phytochrome action. In the 1960s it became apparent that phytochrome determines some responses through the regulation of gene expression, and several chapters cover many of the advances that have been made in characterizing the interactions between regulatory sequences in several phytochrome-regulated genes and the transacting factors that interact with them. Considering that much has happened since the book was written, part 2 does a superb job of bringing together contemporary information on the latest advances with molecular genetics, biochemistry, and biophysics to dissect the complex physiology of phytochrome action.

In addition to being a valuable resource for both the novice and the seasoned photobiology researcher, *Pigment of the Imagination* should be enjoyed by everyone interested in plant physiology or the history of science, whether teacher or researcher. In many ways this book is like a good mystery story. In this case the mystery began with the profound discovery that flowering in some plants is triggered by their ability to detect daylength or the duration of the photoperiod. This led to the mobilization of research "detectives" from around the world to chase down and identify the "culprit," phytochrome. The chase often led to dead ends and involved some wild speculations, but Sage shows us that it was during some of these wayward quests that important clues were uncovered and new research directions were carved out. I look forward to the sequel, in which we will find out how researchers finally arrive at a comprehensive understanding of the mode of action of the pigment that has captured the imagination of so many.

Roger P. Hangarter

Department of Plant Biology,
Ohio State University,
Columbus, OH 43210