Joachim Guenther (1), Sergio Gloor (2), Jurg Sommer (3), Melitta Dihanich (4), and Hana Suidan (5), who are past or present collaborators at the Friedrich Miescher Institute, and Marie-Charlotte Hoffmann (6), who is associated with Cordula Nitsch's group at the University of Basel.

The demonstration that thrombin acts on neuronal cells by activation of a specific receptor (5), initiating still unknown cascades, possibly through a linkage with a G protein (7), indicates that the classical coagulation pathway may not be the primary mode of action in the nervous system, as Marx points out. Similar results supporting this concept were recently obtained by Wouter Moolenaar and his colleagues in Amsterdam (8). In addition, David Small and his collaborators at the University of Melbourne have demonstrated that PN-1 is a potent inhibitor of a secretase of the amyloid precursor protein which can be associated with acetvlcholinesterase and is thought to process the protein from the cell surface or from the extracellular matrix (9). Together with the presence of messenger RNA for prothrombin (4) and thrombin receptor (10) in neural structures, these results indicate that these proteins are not only involved as safeguard components to prevent serious damage from local rupture of the blood-brain barrier, but that they could have additional functions important for the development and plasticity of the nervous system. These novel aspects will render research in this field even more exciting in the years to come.

Denis Monard Friedrich Miescher Institut, Post Office Box 2543, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Guenther, H. Nick, D. Monard, EMBO J. 4, 1963 (1985).
- S. Gloor, K. Odink, J. Guenther, H. Nick, D. Monard, *Cell* **47**, 687 (1986).
 J. Sommer *et al.*, *Biochemistry* **26**, 6407 (1987).
- 4. M. Dihanich, M. Kaser, E. Reinhard, D. Cunning-
- ham, D. Monard, Neuron 6, 575 (1991).
- 5. H. S. Suidan, S. R. Stone, B. A. Hemmings, D. Monard, ibid. 8, 363 (1992). 6
- M.-C. Hoffmann, C. Nitsch, A. L. Scotti, E. Reinhard, D. Monard, Neuroscience, in press.
- T. K. Vu, D. T. Hung, V. I. Wheaton, S. R. Coughlin, 7 Cell 64, 1057 (1991).
- 8. K. Jalink and W. H. Moolenaar, J. Cell Biol., in press.
- 9. D. H. Small et al., J. Neurosci., in press.
- 10. U. B. Rasmussen et al., FEBS Lett. 288, 123 (1991).

Estimating Biomass

Estimates of global and continental biomass and carbon storage are rarely based on data intended for that purpose. This is the case

with the data used as a baseline by Pekka E. Kauppi et al. (Articles, 3 Apr., p. 70). The source they cite for baseline biomass estimates of European forests (1) is a compilation of many unrelated estimates of timber stocks. They convert these estimates to assess biomass and carbon storage and include no estimates of error, without which it is difficult to evaluate discrepancies among estimates or test the significance of suggested trends. Examination of this source and others (2) cited by Kauppi et al. reveals that the data they contain are not well documented, and it is difficult to evaluate their merit.

Under the heading of "Universal-global tendencies" Kauppi et al. cite a source (3) that states that growing stock and timber growth potential in the United States have been repeatedly underestimated. M. Clawson, however, states at the outset of (3) that his study, like many other historical reviews, is "limited by the paucity, suspected inaccuracy, and noncomparability of available data." Kauppi et al. cite this study and conclude that underestimation may be common. On the contrary, it has been shown recently that the biomass and carbon storage of North American boreal and Eastern deciduous forests have been vastly overestimated (4). Whether this is true for Europe we do not know, but it is a question that should be examined. In addition, a recent publication about North American forests (5) from the source of the authors' primary data (1, 2)suggests that in Canada growing stock is declining, which apparently contradicts the same data source. How valid are the results and conclusions of a study that depends on questionable data with no independent measures or confirmation?

> Daniel B. Botkin Llovd G. Simbson H. Jochen Schenk Department of Biological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

REFERENCES

- 1. The Forest Resources of the ECE Region (Europe, USSR, and North America) (ECE/TIM/27, United Nations, Economic Commission for Europe/Food and Agricultural Organization, Geneva, Switzer land, 1985).
- European Timber Trends and Prospects to the Year 2000 and Beyond (ECE/TIM/30, United Nations Economic Commission for Europe/Food and Agricultural Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1986), vol. 2.
- M. Clawson, Science 204, 1168 (1979) 3.
- D. Botkin and L. Simpson, Biogeochemistry 9. 161 (1990).
- 5. Timber Trends and Prospects for North America (ECE/TIM/53, United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Geneva, Switzerland, 1990).

Response: We appreciate the comment by Botkin et al., which we think supports the recommendation we made in our article

SCIENCE • VOL. 257 • 10 JULY 1992

about research priorities with regard to estimating the carbon budget of ecosystems. Confidence limits can only be calculated when the primary measurements are taken from sample plots located randomly or with a systematic grid.

Botkin and Simpson have estimated the carbon storage of aboveground forest vegetation on a continental scale with unbiased sampling (1). Their study area covered 5.1 million square kilometers. Y. Ilvessalo published an early corresponding national study, an unbiased forest inventory covering 0.38 million square kilometers (2). Although his study and subsequent forest resource surveys in Europe were not designed for carbon assessments, they can be used in this context because all trees reaching breast height (1.3 meters) were included in the samples. The large pool of belowground carbon was not measured in either (1) or (2).

It is useful to distinguish between carbon storage and the change of carbon storage. It is the change that counts in budget calculations. Therefore, we need periodically repeated, statistically representative measurements. Forest inventories have been repeated periodically since the 1920s and are probably the only relevant studies providing unbiased time series data for carbon storage in forest vegetation. The sampling grid in these inventories has extended at best to national geographic scale.

In Europe, forest inventories have been carried out and repeated in Finland, Sweden, and Austria and, with some interruptions and shortcomings, in France. They cover a total of 14% of the European forests area (18% if France is included). The growing stock, an indicator of aboveground carbon storage, increased from 1971 to 1990 by $28 \pm 2.0\%$ in Finland, $14 \pm 2.0\%$ in Sweden, and $24 \pm 2.5\%$ in Austria (3). The development was similar in France.

Our conclusions were based on five kinds of references: (i) complete forest inventory records (from Finland, Sweden, Austria, and, with reservation, France); (ii) incomplete forest inventory records (from Germany and Switzerland); (iii) official statistics on forest resources from the remaining countries; (iv) reviews and primary research articles on growth and yield; and (v) forest products statistics. The data consistently showed a trend of increasing forest biomass, forest growth potential, and accumulation of forest products. The criticism of Botkin et al. applies only to category (iii).

Official forestry statistics can be biased. For some countries (in the worst cases) the information is based on expert opinion. However, we believe that listing and reviewing results from different studies from different countries represents scientific progress as compared with the state of the

LETTERS

art in the 1970s. Others have concluded, on the basis of limited and inconsistent data, that nontropical forests would act as net sources of atmospheric carbon (4).

In this century, land use in Europe has changed markedly. Cattle grazing on forest land has decreased, the use of small-sized wood for fuel has also decreased, fire control has improved, and loggings have shifted from primary to secondary forests. Some pollutants have acted as fertilizers. These changes have contributed to the trend of increasing forest biomass.

The development in other continents was beyond the scope of our paper. However, we presented a hypothesis that "[i]f there has been similar development in other continents, biomass accumulation in nontropical forests can account for a large proportion of the estimated mismatch between sinks and sources of atmospheric carbon dioxide." We look forward to a report of a second periodic measurement of the "Botkin and Simpson grid" (1) after 5 or 10 years, which will test our hypothesis. Then we might approach a solution to the problem of the so-called "missing carbon."

Pekka E. Kauppi Kari Mielikäinen Kullervo Kuusela Finnish Forest Research Institute, Unioninkatu 40 A, SF-00170 Helsinki, Finland

REFERENCES

- D. Botkin and L. Simpson, *Biogeochemistry* 9, 161 (1990).
- Y. Ilvessalo, The Forests of Suomi (Finland). Results of the General Survey of the Forests of the Country Carried Out During the Years 1921–1924 (Government Printing Office, Helsinki, Finland, 1927).
- G. Kempe, personal communication (Sweden); K. Schieler, personal communication (Austria); (1).
- 4. G. M. Woodwell et al., Science 199, 141 (1978).

Previous Sol-Gel Enzymes

In our report of 28 February (p. 1113), "Encapsulation of proteins in transparent porous silicate glasses prepared by the solgel method" (1), after the sentence "Enzymes immobilized in or on inert matrices have been studied extensively as catalysts, but the matrices in general have not been suitable for use in optically based molecular sensors because they are opaque," we cited the work of S. Braun et al. that described the preparation of opaque samples containing enzymes encapsulated using the sol-gel method (2). It has been called to our attention by those authors that our method of referencing did not give them adequate credit because it did not specify that they have used sol-gel methods to encapsulate enzymes. We wish to state that our sentence summarizing enzyme immobilization was too terse and was in no way intended to diminish the important contributions of these authors.

Bruce Dunn

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024–1569 Joan Selverstone Valentine Jeffrey I. Zink Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles

REFERENCES

- L. M. Ellerby, C. R. Nishida, F. Nishida, S. A. Yamanaka, B. Dunn, J. S. Valentine, J. I. Zink, *Science* 255, 1113 (1992).
- S. Braun, S. Rappoport, R. Zusman, D. Avnir, M. Ottolenghi, *Mater. Lett.* 10, 1 (1990).

Oct-3 and Mammalian Development: Correction of Discussion

In our Perspective of 12 July 1991 (p. 144) [Science 253, 144 (1991)], we discussed the role of the POU domain protein Oct-3 in mouse development. One of the papers to which we referred, by M. H. Rosner, R. J. De Santo, H. Arnheiter, and L. M. Staudt (1), which dealt with the role of Oct-3 in the one-cell embryo, has since been retracted because the experimental evidence was fabricated by M. H. Rosner without any knowledge by the other authors. It therefore follows that our discussions of this *Cell* paper should be disregarded. We emphasize that no doubt attaches to any of the other work we reviewed.

Mitchell H. Rosner Harvard Medical School. 180 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115 M. Alessandra Vigano Department of Pharmacology, Chemotherapy, and Medical Toxicology, University of Milan, Milan 20122, Italy Peter W. J. Rigby Laboratory of Eukaryotic Molecular Genetics, National Institute for Medical Research, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, United Kingdom Heinz Arnheiter Laboratory of Viral and Molecular Pathogenesis, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892 Louis M. Staudt Metabolism Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892

REFERENCES

 M. H. Rosner, R. J. De Santo, H. Arnheiter, L. M. Staudt, *Cell* 64, 1103 (1991).

Corrections and Clarifications

The title of the 5 June report on page 1445 by R. C. deL. Milton *et al.* should have been "Total chemical synthesis of a D-enzyme: The enantiomers of HIV-1 protease show reciprocal chiral substrate specificity." Figure 3 in the same report (p. 1447) was inadvertently printed upside down. The labels "L-HIV protease" and "D-HIV protease" were therefore under the wrong illustrations. The correct figure is printed below.



SCIENCE • VOL. 257 • 10 JULY 1992



147