- 25. D. M. MacKay, Nature 289, 117 (1981).
- L. G. Ungerleider and M. Mishkin, in Analysis of Visual Behavior, D. G. Ingle, M. A. Goodale, R. J. Q. Mansfield, Eds. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1982), p. 549-586.
- 27. R. von der Heydt and E. Peterhans, J. Neurosci. 9, 1731 (1989); R. Desimone, T D. Albright, C. G. Gross, C. Bruce, ibid. 4, 2051 (1984); H. Saito et al., ibid. 6, 145 (1986).
- 28. P. H. Schiller, N. K. Logothetis, E. R. Charles, Nature 343, 68 (1990); W. H. Merigan, C. E. Byrne, J. H. R. Maunsell, J. Neurosci. 11, 3422 (1991).
- 29. D. C. Van Essen, B. Olshausen, C. H. Anderson, J. L. Gallant, SPIE Conf. Visual Information Processing: From Neurons to Chips 1473, 17 (1991)
- 30. C. Koch and S. Ullman, Hum. Neurobiol. 4, 219 (1985); F. Crick, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 4586 (1984)
- 31. C. H. Anderson and D. C. Van Essen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 6297 (1987); in Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Visual Search, D. Brogan, Ed. (Taylor and Francis, London, in press).

- 32. J. Moran and R. Desimone, Science 229, 782 (1985).
- R. Desimone, M. Wessinger, L. Thomas, W. Schneider, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 55, 963 (1990); S. E. Petersen, D. L. Robinson, W. Keys, Neuropsychologia 25, 97 (1987).
   M. E. Nelson and J. M. Bower, Trends Neurosci. 13, 403 (1990).
- 35. D. H. Hubel and T. N. Wiesel, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 198, 1 (1977).
- 36. S. Zeki, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 55, 651 (1991).
- J. H. Kaas and M. F. Huerta, Comp. Prim. Biol. 4, 327 (1988). 37.
- 38. T. Kusama and M. Mabuchi, Stereotoxic Atlas of the Brain of Macaca Fuscata (Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970). We thank T. Coogan, J. Gallant, J. Braun, and B. Olshausen for critical
- 39. comments. The research described in this paper was supported in part by grants from NIH, the Office of Naval Research, and the Sloan Foundation, and was carried out in part by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## Climate Forcing by Anthropogenic Aerosols

R. J. CHARLSON, S. E. SCHWARTZ, J. M. HALES, R. D. CESS, J. A. Coakley, Jr., J. E. Hansen, D. J. Hofmann

Although long considered to be of marginal importance to global climate change, tropospheric aerosol contributes substantially to radiative forcing, and anthropogenic sulfate aerosol in particular has imposed a major perturbation to this forcing. Both the direct scattering of shortwavelength solar radiation and the modification of the shortwave reflective properties of clouds by sulfate aerosol particles increase planetary albedo, thereby exerting a cooling influence on the planet. Current climate forcing due to anthropogenic sulfate is estimated to be -1 to -2watts per square meter, globally averaged. This perturbation is comparable in magnitude to current anthropogenic

HE RESPONSE OF THE EARTH'S CLIMATE TO THE PERTURbation in radiative forcing due to increased concentrations of infrared-active (greenhouse) gases is the subject of intense research because of the well-documented increases in concentrations of these gases over the industrial era and the recognition of the climatic importance of the radiative forcing associated with these increases. It is becoming apparent that anthropogenic aerosols exert a radiative influence on climate that is globally comparable to that of greenhouse gases but opposite in sign. However, this aerosol radiative influence has received much less attention than forcing by anthropogenic greenhouse gases. In view of the magnitude of aerosol influences on climate, it seems mandatory that these influences should be included in efforts to obtain accurate estimates of anthropogenic perturbations to the earth's radiation budget at greenhouse gas forcing but opposite in sign. Thus, the aerosol forcing has likely offset global greenhouse warming to a substantial degree. However, differences in geographical and seasonal distributions of these forcings preclude any simple compensation. Aerosol effects must be taken into account in evaluating anthropogenic influences on past, current, and projected future climate and in formulating policy regarding controls on emission of greenhouse gases and sulfur dioxide. Resolution of such policy issues requires integrated research on the magnitude and geographical distribution of aerosol climate forcing and on the controlling chemical and physical processes.

present and over the industrial era. Such estimates are essential for (i) evaluating climate sensitivity from observed climate change, (ii) evaluating the performance of climate models, and (iii) reliably predicting potential future climate changes. In this article we describe the mechanisms by which anthropogenic aerosols perturb the global climate, provide estimates of the global-average magnitude of the aerosol perturbation in radiative forcing, outline the information required to describe the spatially nonuniform perturbation in climate models, assess where additional information is required, and suggest approaches to gaining this information.

Although it has long been recognized that tropospheric aerosols exert a cooling influence on climate because of their scattering of shortwave radiation and the resultant increase in planetary albedo (1), this influence has been widely assumed to be fairly uniform spatially and constant temporally [for example, (2-4)], and this perception has been reflected in most analyses of global climate change (5-8). However, industrial activities, especially emissions of  $SO_2$ , which result in the formation of particulate sulfate  $(SO_4^{2-})$ compounds, contribute substantially to tropospheric aerosol, especially to submicrometer aerosol, which is effective in the scattering of shortwave radiation (9), and this aerosol is distributed quite nonuniformly over the earth and has substantially increased in concentration since around 1850 (10-12). Thus, there is strong reason to infer that anthropogenic sulfate aerosol substantially enhances local

R. J. Charlson is with the Department of Atmospheric Sciences and the Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. S. E. Schwartz is in the Environmental Chemistry Division, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973. J. M. Hales is in the Atmospheric Sciences Department, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352. R. D. Cess is at the Institute for Terrestrial and Planetary Atmospheres, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794–2300. J. A. Coakley, Jr., is with the Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331–2209. J. E. Hansen is at the Goddard Institute for Space Studies, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025. D. J. Hofmann is with the Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 325 Broadway, Boul-der, CO 80303–3328. der, CO 80303-3328.

planetary albedo and is a significant perturbation to climate forcing. There is also a theoretical indication (9, 13) and observational evidence (14, 15) that the reflectivity of clouds that are modified by anthropogenic aerosols is enhanced, which also contributes substantially to climate forcing.

Anthropogenic aerosols perturb radiative forcing differently than greenhouse gases in several important ways. Unlike the principal anthropogenic greenhouse gases, aerosol particles are relatively short-lived in the troposphere, resulting in spatial and temporal nonuniformity of forcing. Aerosol forcing is greatest in daytime and in summer, whereas greenhouse gas forcing acts over the full diurnal and seasonal cycles. Also, unlike molecular absorption, which is invariant from one molecule to the next for a particular species, the single-particle optical properties of aerosol particles depend on particle size and composition. Furthermore, because many aerosol phenomena depend nonlinearly on aerosol concentrations, the influence of the anthropogenic aerosol depends nonlinearly on emissions and interacts with that of the natural background aerosol. Aerosol interactions with clouds are also expected to follow a nonlinear relation with aerosol concentration, size, and composition. Such differences make a description of the aerosol influences on climate much more complex than a treatment of the radiative influences of greenhouse gases.

In addition to the tropospheric aerosols, the concentration of sulfate aerosol in the lower stratosphere may also be increasing as a consequence of human activity, perhaps because of emissions from aircraft (16). This increase, however, is so far insufficient to have caused significant perturbation in radiative forcing and consequently is not examined here. In contrast, episodic injections of SO<sub>2</sub> into the stratosphere from volcanic emissions are occasionally large enough to cause significant, transitory enhancement of planetary albedo (17). This phenomenon must be taken into account in considering historical climate trends (5).

### Aerosol Mechanisms Affecting the Earth Radiation Budget

Radiative influences of aerosols on climate may be distinguished as direct, referring to scattering and absorption of radiation by the aerosol particles themselves, and indirect, referring to the influence of aerosols on cloud radiative properties. Aerosols may also affect cloud lifetimes.

Direct radiative influence. It has been recognized for over 60 years that aerosol particles influence the earth's radiative balance directly by backscattering and absorption of shortwave (solar) radiation (1), although regional quantification of this phenomenon has been achieved only slowly (18) and imperfectly. Aerosol particles also absorb longwave (infrared) radiation, but this effect is usually small because the opacity of aerosols decreases at longer wavelengths and because the aerosols are most concentrated in the lower troposphere, where the atmospheric temperature, which governs emission, is practically the same as the surface temperature (4, 7). In the unperturbed atmosphere, the principal aerosol constituents that contribute to light scattering are sulfate from biogenic gaseous sulfur compounds and organic carbon from partial atmospheric oxidation of gaseous biogenic organic compounds, such as terpenes (19). Sea salt and windblown soil dust contribute substantially at some locations, but their effects on the global climate are generally unimportant because the particles are large and usually short-lived and thus are transported only short distances. Submicrometer anthropogenic aerosols responsible for increased light scattering are produced in the atmosphere by chemical reactions of sulfur-, nitrogen-, and carbon-containing gases, predominantly sulfur. Light absorption is dominated by particles containing elemental carbon,  $C^0$ , produced by incomplete combustion of carbonaceous fuel. The light-scattering effect is dominant at most latitudes, but absorption can dominate at high latitude, especially over highly reflective snowor ice-covered surfaces (20–22). Other aerosol substances may also be locally important, especially those that are sporadic, such as those from volcanoes and wildfires as well as windblown dust from deserts.

Indirect radiative influence: Clouds. Cloud droplets form in the lower atmosphere by condensation of water on existing aerosol particles. Consequently, the concentration, size, and water solubility of the aerosol particles on which cloud droplets form (cloud condensation nuclei, CCN) have an immediate influence on the concentration and size of cloud droplets. These influences in turn affect the shortwave radiative properties of clouds that form on the aerosol, even in the absence of any influence on the macroscopic and thermodynamic properties of the clouds. Specifically, increased concentrations of CCN result in increased concentrations of cloud droplets, resulting in enhanced shortwave albedo of clouds (9, 13-15). In contrast, the perturbation in longwave absorption by tropospheric clouds arising from an increase in cloud-droplet concentration is much less, indeed negligible, because tropospheric clouds are already optically thick or nearly so at infrared wavelengths (23). Sulfate aerosols appear to dominate anthropogenic influences on CCN, although particles of smoke from biomass combustion, which are poorly characterized, may be important in some circumstances (24).

Cloud lifetime influence. A decrease in mean droplet size associated with an increase in cloud-droplet concentration is also expected to inhibit precipitation development and hence to increase cloud lifetimes (25-28). Such an enhancement of cloud lifetime and the resultant increase in fractional cloud cover would increase both the short- and longwave radiative influence of clouds. However, because this effect would predominantly influence low clouds, for which the shortwave influence dominates, the net effect would be one of a further cooling. Inhibited precipitation development might further alter the amount and vertical distribution of water and heat in the atmosphere and thereby modify the earth's hydrological cycle. Although these effects cannot yet be quantified, they have the potential of inducing major changes in global weather patterns as well as in the concentration of the dominant greenhouse gas itself, water vapor (27).

### Anthropogenic Perturbation of Tropospheric Aerosol

Until recently, most investigations of the climate influence of tropospheric aerosols [for example, (2-4)] have focused on physical aspects, that is, the direct-scattering effect, and have viewed this aerosol as a "natural background" and therefore as a static feature of global radiative transfer, neglecting the chemical processes that produce the aerosol. A value of aerosol optical depth of about 0.12 is often used in radiative transfer models to represent such a natural background (3, 29). Shortwave scattering by such an aerosol is calculated to cause a 2 to 3 K surface cooling over the globe (4). However, compelling evidence requires abandoning the view that this aerosol represents a natural background.

Anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (primarily from fossil fuel combustion and metal smelting) have increased substantially over the industrial period, and such emissions now substantially exceed, on a global basis, natural emissions of sulfur-containing gases to the atmosphere [mainly dimethylsulfide (DMS) from marine phytoplankton] (12, 30, 31) (Fig. 1). Because residence times of SO<sub>2</sub> and  $SO_4^{2-}$  in the troposphere are short (several days) compared to mixing times in the troposphere (several months within hemispheres; about a year between hemispheres), anthropogenic sulfate is concentrated mainly in industrial regions and areas downwind of them, largely in mid-latitudes. Indeed, concentrations of aerosol sulfate and of CCN within 1000 km or more downwind of regions of industrial emissions are an order of magnitude or more greater than those in remote regions (10, 12, 34, 35). The optically effective and cloud-nucleating fraction of the atmospheric aerosol in these regions in frequently dominated by sulfate particles (22, 35–37). Plumes of light-scattering aerosol extending from industrialized regions to the marine atmosphere have, like windblown dust from deserts, been discerned in satellite measurements (38).

Because more than 90% of industrial SO<sub>2</sub> is emitted in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) (30, 31, 39), anthropogenic sulfate is confined largely to the NH. Widespread distribution of anthropogenic aerosol sulfate in the NH is evidenced by elevated concentrations of non-sea-salt sulfate in aerosol and in precipitation at remote sites in the NH relative to those in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) and by increases in concentrations of sulfate in NH glacial ice over the past 100 years that closely match the record of anthropogenic emissions shown in Fig. 1 (11, 12, 32, 33). Mass concentrations of non-sea-salt aerosol sulfate appear to be enhanced by 30% or more over the natural background in much of the NH marine troposphere (12), although a complete database to support this contention is lacking (40). Furthermore, recent measurements (41) have shown that the aerosol optical depth in the unperturbed SH,  $\delta \approx 0.05$ , is much lower than values that had been ascribed to natural background. Thus, much of the aerosol cooling formerly attributed to natural background must be due to anthropogenic aerosol.

Some studies [for example, (5)] have used the fraction of the total aerosol source strength as a basis for estimating the magnitude of the anthropogenic fraction of radiative forcing by tropospheric aerosols. This approach is likely to underemphasize the importance of aerosols derived from industrial SO<sub>2</sub> for three reasons. (i) Sulfate particles from SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation are much smaller than mechanically produced materials such as soil dust and sea salt. The lifetime of sulfate in the troposphere (several days) is therefore long compared to that of the main mass of soil dust and sea salt (a day or less). Thus, mass concentration in the troposphere is more sensitive to source



Fig. 1. Time history of total global anthropogenic emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> (shown as grams and moles of sulfur). Adapted from (32) (filled points); open points are from (33). Also shown are estimates of total global and NH natural emissions of gaseous, reduced-sulfur compounds and SO<sub>2</sub> (31); the widths of the bands represent ranges of estimates. Present NH anthropogenic emissions are SO<sub>2</sub> ~94% of the global total.

strength for the long-lived  $SO_4^{2-}$  than for the short-lived substances. (ii) The optical-scattering efficiency per unit mass concentration of submicrometer sulfates is an order of magnitude greater than that of supermicrometer dust (9, 22, 42). (iii) Unlike soil dust, the key sulfate compounds  $[H_2SO_4$  to  $(NH_4)_2SO_4]$  are very hygroscopic (43); hence, at relative humidities typical of the lower troposphere (75 to 80%), these particles have roughly twice the optical scattering per unit mass concentration as dry particles (22, 44, 45). Thus, their effect on aerosol optical depth is disproportionately large compared to their dry mass concentration.

Although not explicitly coupled to chemical sources, the indirect radiative effect of anthropogenic sulfate aerosol and its global implications are suggested by satellite observations of cloud brightening in polluted air (15) and by increased cloud-top albedo and increased concentrations of cloud droplets associated with exhaust plumes of ships at sea (ship trails) (14). High CCN concentrations downwind of major SO<sub>2</sub> emission regions also are circumstantial evidence that atmospheric chemical reactions of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution produce CCN (34). The physical and climatic effects have only recently been connected to the atmospheric chemical reactions that produce the cloud-active particles (46).

Although the climatic significance of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions is only now coming to be recognized, many features of the overall picture relating these emissions to climate forcing have been recognized and relatively well understood for some time. It has long been recognized that pollution aerosol could cause significant radiative forcing (47), but the physical effect was not connected to the sources and chemical processes that maintain the aerosol concentration field. The atmospheric chemistry community recognized the role of chemical reactions of sulfur gases as a major source of aerosol particles (48) but did not appreciate the climatic impact of such an aerosol. Although satellite images (38, 49) and networks of chemical and optical measurements (50) showed that the horizontal extent of the influence of anthropogenic  $SO_4^{2-}$  on aerosol optical depth was 1000 to 2000 km or more, the climatic influence of such a widespread perturbation in optical depth was not appreciated. Researchers who recognized chemical processes as the source of climate forcing aerosols (51) failed to extend their results to the hemispheric or global scale. Researchers linking climate influences to the optical properties of anthropogenic sulfate aerosol (2) did not consider chemical processes. A large body of research addressing the transformation, transport, and deposition of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> [for reviews, see, for example, (52, 53)] focused on the chemical and biological consequences of these emissions, largely on local and regional scales, rather than on any climate influence. Microphysical evidence, such as the morphology of sampled aerosol particles and the volatility of CCN (36), indicates that the number population of marine CCN is dominated by sulfate particles. Nevertheless, researchers demonstrating the dominance of natural marine aerosol production by oxidation of DMS and the global climatic influence of this aerosol either failed to account for the role of anthropogenic emissions or intentionally excluded consideration of these emissions (46, 54).

Although all of the components of the linkage of anthropogenic emissions to climate forcing rest on a substantial body of research, the picture as a whole is only now emerging. This picture, together with the substantial excess of anthropogenic gases in comparison to natural sulfur gases entering the NH atmosphere in recent decades (Fig. 1), presents a compelling argument that anthropogenic sulfate aerosol has exerted an influence on climate over this period, certainly in and downwind of industrial regions where an increase in the  $SO_2$  emission has occurred, and perhaps throughout the NH.

#### **Global-Mean Radiative Forcing Perturbation**

Estimates of the global-average perturbations in radiative forcing induced by anthropogenic aerosols because of direct light scattering and enhanced cloud albedo permit a comparison of the climate influence of these aerosols with that due to increases in concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and other anthropogenic greenhouse gases. A doubling of global concentrations of  $CO_2$  is thought (8) to contribute an increase in longwave radiative forcing of the troposphere of ~4.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>, and the increase from the preindustrial concentration of 280 ppm to the 1990 value of 353 ppm represents an increase of ~1.25 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The total increase in forcing by all anthropogenic greenhouse gases over this period is estimated to have been 2 to 2.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> (5, 8). We use these comparisons as a yardstick by which to assess the importance of the aerosol forcing, but because of their different natures, the radiative forcing by anthropogenic sulfate aerosol should not be viewed as a simple compensation of greenhouse gas forcing.

*Direct forcing.* The areal mean shortwave forcing  $\overline{\Delta F_R}$  resulting from an increase in aerosol concentration can be expressed as

$$\overline{\Delta F_{\rm R}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\rm T} (1 - A_{\rm c}) \overline{\Delta R_{\rm a}}$$
(1)

where  $(1/4 F_T)$  is the global mean top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) radiative flux,  $A_c$  is the fractional cloud cover, and  $\overline{\Delta R_a}$  is the perturbation in planetary mean albedo due to enhanced aerosol concentration. The factor  $(1 - A_c)$  is introduced because the albedo is enhanced mainly for non-cloud-covered portions of the planet. The negative sign denotes that the forcing represents a cooling tendency. For an optically thin, light-scattering aerosol (optical depth  $\delta_a << 1$ ),  $\overline{\Delta R_a}$  is linear in the optical depth due to that substance and can be represented (45) as

$$\overline{\Delta R_{a}} \cong 2T^{2}(1-\overline{R}_{s})^{2}\overline{\beta} \,\delta_{a}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where T is the fraction of incident light that is transmitted by the atmospheric layer above the aerosol layer (that is, not absorbed by water vapor),  $\overline{R}_s$  is the mean albedo of the underlying surface,  $\overline{\beta}$  is the fraction of the radiation scattered upward by the aerosol, and  $\overline{\delta_a}$  is the areal mean optical depth of the aerosol. Combination of Eqs. 1 and 2 yields for sulfate aerosol

$$\overline{\Delta F_{\rm R}} \simeq -\frac{1}{2} F_{\rm T} T^2 (1 - A_{\rm c}) (1 - \overline{R}_{\rm s})^2 \overline{\beta} \,\overline{\delta_{{\rm SO}_4^{2-}}} \tag{3}$$

Loading of sulfate aerosol enters this equation by the dependence of the areal mean extinction on the areal mean column burden of anthropogenic sulfate aerosol,  $\overline{B_{SO_4}^{2-}}$  (mol m<sup>-2</sup>),

$$\overline{\delta_{\mathrm{SO}_4^{2^-}}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{SO}_4^{2^-}} f(\mathrm{RH}) \overline{B_{\mathrm{SO}_4^{2^-}}}$$
(4)

where  $\alpha_{SO_4^{2-}}$  is the molar scattering cross section of sulfate at a reference low relative humidity (30%), which in industrial regions exhibits a near-universal value of ~500 m<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> (~5 m<sup>2</sup> per gram of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) (22, 37, 42, 55, 56), and *f*(RH) accounts for the relative increase in scattering due to the increase in particle size associated with deliquescent or hygroscopic accretion of water with increasing relative humidity (44, 45). The sulfate burden is related to parameters involving sources and removal of atmospheric sulfate:

$$\overline{B_{\rm SO_4^{2-}}} = \frac{Q_{\rm SO_2} Y_{\rm SO_4^{2-}} \tau_{\rm SO_4^{2-}}}{A}$$
(5)

where  $Q_{SO_2}$  (in moles of S per year) is the source strength of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub>,  $Y_{SO_4^{2-}}$  is the fractional yield of emitted SO<sub>2</sub> that reacts to produce SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> aerosol,  $\tau_{SO_4^{2-}}$  (years) is the sulfate lifetime in the atmosphere or in the geographical region under consideration, and A is the area of the geographical region to which the material is presumed to be confined, for example, the entire earth, the NH, or some smaller region.

Reasonable values of the parameters in Eqs. 1 to 5 (Table 1) (22, 37, 44, 45, 57-61), which take into account the geographic distribution of cloud cover and surface albedo, place the global-mean direct radiative forcing due to sulfate aerosol at -1 W m<sup>-2</sup>, uncertain to a factor of 2. This value is comparable in magnitude to the forcing ascribed to the  $\sim 25\%$  increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration above the preindustrial value. Despite the considerable uncertainty in the input parameters and consequently in the estimated forcing, the calculation serves to establish the significance of the direct aerosol forcing. Central to this approach is separation of the forcing by anthropogenic  $SO_4^{2-}$  aerosol from that either by the total anthropogenic aerosol or by the totality of tropospheric aerosol. This separation assumes that the  $SO_4^{2-}$  forcing is proportional to concentrations of anthropogenic  $SO_4^{2-}$ . The resulting forcing can thus be viewed as the partial derivative of radiative forcing with respect to anthropogenic sulfate. Because more than 90% of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> is emitted in the NH (31, 39), the resultant forcing is exerted predominantly in the NH, with a magnitude roughly twice the global-average value.

More elaborate calculations, both for an assumed distribution of extinction (4, 6) that depends on latitude but is longitudinally invariant and for spatially varying concentrations of sulfate (45), yield forcings similar to those of the above global-average model. The regional energy budget calculations also show that although the forcing due to anthropogenic sulfate is distributed broadly across the NH, the radiative forcing varies considerably with location.

Indirect (cloud) radiative forcing. We consider the perturbation of cloud-top albedo  $R_{\rm CT}$  due to an increase in droplet number concentration N under the assumption that the liquid-water volume

**Table 1.** Evaluation of global-mean direct radiative forcing due to anthropogenic sulfate (Eqs. 1 through 5). Double underbar indicates evaluation from preceding quantities.

Quan- tity	Value	Units	Relative uncertainty (%)	Refer- ence
$\overline{Q_{SO_2}}$ $Y_{SO_4}^{2-}$	$90 \times 10^{12}$ $2.8 \times 10^{12}$	g of sulfur per year mol of sulfur per year	15	×
Yeo 2-	0.4	mor or summer per year	50	+
$\tau_{\mathrm{SO_4}^{2^-}}$ A	$0.02 \\ 5 \times 10^{14}$	year m <sup>2</sup>	50	† ‡
$\overline{B_{\rm SO_4}^{2-}}$ $\alpha_{\rm SO_4}^{2-}$	$4.6  imes 10^{-3} \ 4.8  imes 10^{-5}$	$(g SO_4^{2-}) m^{-2} mol m^{-2}$		
$\alpha_{SO_4}{}^{2-}$	$5 \\ 4.8 \times 10^2$	$\frac{m^{2}}{m^{2}} (g SO_{4}^{2-})^{-1}$ m <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>	40	(22, 37, 45, 58)
f(RH)	1.7		20	(44, 45)
$\frac{\overline{\delta_{\mathrm{SO4}}^{2^{-}}}}{F_{\mathrm{T}}}$	0.04 1370	W m <sup>-2</sup>		
$T_{T}$	0.76	¥¥ 111	20	
$1 - A_c$	0.4		10	(59)
$\frac{1}{1} - \frac{A}{R_{s}}$ $\frac{1}{\beta} - \overline{R}_{s}$	0.85		10	(60)
β	0.29		25	(61)
$\overline{\Delta F_{\mathrm{R}}}$	-1.3	$W m^{-2}$		

\*From Fig. 1.  $\uparrow$ Mean dry-deposition velocity of SO<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup>; height of mixed layer, 2 km;  $k_{SO_2-OH}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; mean OH concentration,  $1 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>; in-cloud conversion assumed equal to that of gas phase (45). These parameter values are consistent with results of more detailed calculations [for example, (57)].  $\ddagger \tau_{SO4}^{2-}$ , 1 week, representing the frequency of encountering precipitation removal.

fraction L is unchanged by the perturbation in N; any increase in L due to inhibition of precipitation would enhance the perturbation in radiative forcing. An approximate analytical expression for the reflectance of a nonabsorbing, horizontally homogeneous cloud is (62, 63)

$$R_{\rm CT} = \frac{\beta(\mu_0)\delta_{\rm C}/\mu_0}{1+\beta(\mu_0)\delta_{\rm C}/\mu_0} \tag{6}$$

Here  $\mu_0$  is the cosine of the solar zenith angle,  $\beta(\mu_0)$  is the fraction of sunlight incident to the cloud at angle  $\mu_0$  that is scattered in the upward direction for single-particle scattering, and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  is the optical depth of the cloud,

$$\delta_{\rm C} = \pi r_{\rm e}^2 \, Q_{\rm ext} N z_{\rm C} \tag{7}$$

where  $z_{\rm C}$  is the thickness of the cloud,  $r_{\rm e}$  is the effective drop radius, and  $Q_{\rm ext}$  is the average extinction efficiency. For cloud droplets of radius much greater than the wavelength of visible light,  $Q_{\rm ext}$  may be approximated as a constant,  $Q_{\rm ext} \approx 2$ . The upward fraction  $\beta$  is a slowly varying function of  $\mu_0$  and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (64).

To examine the perturbation in  $R_{\rm CT}$  due to a perturbation in N, we note that for a cloud of fixed L,  $r_{\rm e}$  and N are not independent but are related approximately by  $L = (4/3)\pi r_{\rm e}^3 N$ , hence

$$\delta_{\rm C} = \pi Q_{\rm ext} z_{\rm C} \left(\frac{3L}{4\pi}\right)^{2/3} N^{1/3} \tag{8}$$

Equations 6 and 8 permit calculation of the cloud albedo for a given physical thickness of cloud, liquid-water volume fraction, and cloud-droplet number density (Fig. 2). Cloud-top albedo is most sensitive to a change in N when  $0.3 \leq R_{\rm CT} \leq 0.7$ . In this range a 1% increase in N leads to an increase in  $R_{\rm CT}$  of  $\sim 8 \times 10^{-4}$ .

The perturbation in global-mean radiative forcing that would result from a change in cloud-top albedo of marine stratus and stratocumulus clouds was assessed by Charlson *et al.* (46). The change in TOA albedo for a given change in cloud-top albedo  $\Delta R_{\rm CT}$  is

$$\Delta R_{\rm TOA} = 0.8 \Delta R_{\rm CT} \tag{9}$$

If the fraction of the globe that is covered by marine stratiform clouds,  $A_{mst}$ , remains unchanged by the increase in N, then the change in the global-mean albedo is

$$\Delta R_{\rm GM} = A_{\rm mst} \Delta R_{\rm TOA} \tag{10}$$

The resulting change in solar radiative forcing is given by the change in global-mean albedo times the global-mean TOA radiative flux  $(1/4 F_T)$ :

$$\Delta F_{\rm C} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\rm T} \Delta R_{\rm GM} \tag{11}$$

The perturbation in forcing responds linearly to the perturbation in cloud-top albedo and can thus be displayed as a linear dependence on log N (Fig. 3) (65); a uniform global increase of droplet concentration of 30% in only marine stratus and stratocumulus clouds would decrease global-mean shortwave radiative forcing by ~2 W m<sup>-2</sup> (46, 66). On the basis that mass concentrations of non-sea-salt sulfate aerosol at remote locations in the NH exceed those in the SH by  $\sim$ 30%, and assuming that the relative change in number concentration is the same as the relative change in mass concentration, Schwartz (12) suggested that the present-day mean NH perturbation in cloud radiative forcing due to anthropogenic sulfates is approximately  $-2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . For an assumed global-mean enhancement in N of 15% (Table 2), the calculated global-mean perturbation in shortwave radiative forcing by clouds is approximately  $-1 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . By far the greatest uncertainty in this estimate is the degree that cloud-droplet number concentration is enhanced, **Fig. 2.** Calculated sensitivity of cloud-top albedo  $R_{\rm CT}$  to change in the logarithm of the concentration of cloud droplets, *N*, as a function of  $R_{\rm CT}$ . A broad maximum is exhibited for intermediate values of  $R_{\rm CT}$ ,  $0.3 \leq R_{\rm CT} \leq 0.7$ , characteristic of marine stratus and stratocumulus. The maximum corresponds to a value of 1/12 per Napier or ~0.192 per decade.



which is uncertain by at least a factor of 2 (67). Substantially greater perturbations,  $-10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  or more, would be expected in regions directly influenced by industrial emissions, where CCN number concentrations are commonly enhanced by a factor of 5 or more above the natural background (34, 35).

#### Describing Anthropogenic Aerosol Forcing in Climate Models

Although a strong case is made that anthropogenic sulfate aerosols exert an important influence on the earth's radiative balance, there remain large gaps in the knowledge necessary to quantify this influence in models of current, past, or future climate. To incorporate the influence of aerosols, researchers will need to develop a basis for coupling the chemical processes that produce the aerosols and the meterological processes that distribute and remove them to the physical properties of the aerosols that influence radiative transfer and cloud microphysical properties. The components constituting the overall phenomenon are indicated in Fig. 4.

Because the optical and cloud-nucleating properties of an aerosol depend on the size and composition of the particles constituting the aerosol, the influence of the aerosol cannot be uniquely and unequivocally ascribed to specific chemical substances. Rates of new particle formation and of the time evolution of the size distribution of aerosols, which affect their optical and cloud-nucleating properties, are highly dependent on the rate of production of condensible material and on the concentration and size distribution of aerosol particles already present. A description of these rates is uncertain to orders of magnitude and is a major unsolved problem.



**Fig. 3.** Calculated perturbation in cloud-top albedo  $\Delta R_{\rm CT}$  (left ordinate), top-of-atmosphere albedo above marine stratus  $\Delta R_{\rm TOA}$ , global-mean albedo  $\Delta R_{\rm GM}$ , and global-mean cloud radiative forcing  $\Delta F_{\rm C}$  (right ordinates) resulting from an increase in cloud droplet number concentration by the factor indicated by the abscissa. The global-mean calculations were made with the assumption (46) that the perturbation affects only nonoverlapped marine stratus and stratocumulus clouds having a fractional area of 30%. Modified from (65).

**Table 2.** Evaluation of perturbation in global-mean cloud radiative forcing due to anthropogenic sulfate (Eqs. 6 through 11). Double underbar indicates evaluation from preceding quantities.

Quantity	Value	Units	Reference
$\frac{N/N_0}{dR_{\rm CT}/d \ln N}$	1.15 0.083		* Fig. 3
$\frac{\Delta R_{\rm CT}}{dR_{\rm TOA}/dR_{\rm CT}}$	0.012 0.8		(46)
$\Delta R_{ m TOA} A_{ m mst}$	0.009 0.3		+
$\Delta R_{GM}$ $F_{T}$	0.003	$\mathrm{W}~\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	
$\Delta F_{\rm C}$	-1	$W m^{-2}$	

\*Based on comparison of mass concentrations of non-sea-salt sulfate aerosol at remote locations of the NH and SH and the assumption that CCN concentration scales linearly with non-sea-salt sulfate mass (12). +Fraction of hemisphere occupied by nonoverlapped marine stratus and stratocumulus (46).

The indirect (cloud) forcing is more difficult to address than the direct forcing. Additional key phenomena that must be considered are (i) the relations between mass and number concentrations and composition of precloud aerosol concentrations and the number, concentration, and size distribution of cloud droplets formed in air containing the aerosol; and (ii) the dependence of aerosol perturbation to cloud radiative forcing on the nature of the cloud fields. The nature of the relation between CCN concentrations and sulfate concentrations, which is likely to be nonlinear (28, 68), is not established (69).

The knowledge required to evaluate the influence of anthropogenic aerosols on cloud lifetimes, atmospheric dynamics, and the hydrological cycle includes the dependence of precipitation formation processes on cloud microphysical properties, such as number concentration and size distributions of cloud droplets. These secondary phenomena are considerably more difficult to quantify than the radiative properties, and additional research is required to accurately describe them in climate models (69).

Because anthropogenic aerosols are short-lived in the atmosphere and their sources are geographically localized, their concentration is not uniformly distributed. It is therefore necessary to specify pertinent aerosol properties as functions of location and time rather than merely as spatial- or temporal-average quantities. Verticaldistribution information is required because light scattering and absorption are altitude-dependent, as are cloud properties. Horizontal distribution information is essential because of the variability of cloud properties, aerosol removal processes, and surface albedo, and because of nonlinearities in the dependence of cloud radiative properties on CCN concentrations. Temporal distribution information is needed because of covariance of aerosol concentrations and removal processes with diurnally, synoptically, and seasonally varying features of the earth-atmosphere system. Once the spatial and temporal dependences of the pertinent aerosol properties are known, these dependences can be incorporated in dynamical models of the global atmosphere to evaluate the magnitude of the perturbation in forcing and in associated climatic changes due to anthropogenic aerosols.

In view of these details of the aerosol forcing problem and the major unsolved problems currently precluding a purely theoretical, model-based description of this forcing, a semiempirical approach seems most likely to succeed in the near-term. Such an approach would utilize empirically determined aerosol size distributions, dependences of size distribution on relative humidity, and relations between size distributions of aerosols and their optical and cloud nucleation properties, in conjunction with models that explicitly calculate aerosol mass loading and composition, taking into account sources of precursor gases, transport, gas-to-particle conversion, and deposition. This approach could thus build on the foundation that has been developed in studies of the atmospheric chemistry of sulfur compounds conducted in support of issues such as acid deposition and visibility reduction [for example, (53)].

Better quantification of aerosol radiative forcing and of its relation to anthropogenic emissions requires globally representative measurements of aerosol and cloud properties. Long-term monitoring of the aerosol optical depth and of effective particle size can be achieved by satellites equipped with photopolarimeters to measure



**Fig. 4.** Flow diagram of processes and properties of anthropogenic aerosols pertinent to their radiative forcing of climate by direct light scattering and by enhancement of cloud albedo. Processes are denoted by ellipses and properties by rectangles. Measurable quantities and research tasks are denoted beneath the pertinent portion of the flow diagram.

the radiance and polarization of reflected sunlight in the spectral range from the near-ultraviolet to the near-infrared (70). Simultaneous monitoring of global cloud properties, specifically, cloud height, cloud optical depth, and particle phase and effective size, may be obtained from the same satellites. Such empirical aerosol and cloud data, including year-to-year changes and long-term trends, could provide a wide variety of tests for models of aerosol and cloud physics. However, evaluation and improvement of inferences drawn from satellite measurements require that the measurements are tied to concurrent ground-based measurements of optical properties and to in situ surface and aircraft measurements of chemical and microphysical properties (71). The combination of such measurements would permit rigorous testing of model calculations of forcing necessary to give confidence in their use as climate models.

#### Summary

Taken as an integrated body of information, the arguments and data presented above lead to the conclusion that anthropogenic sulfate produces a mean radiative forcing of the NH climate that is comparable in magnitude but opposite in sign to the anthropogenic perturbation in forcing by greenhouse gases. The direct aerosol and indirect cloud components each contribute a globally averaged forcing of roughly  $-1 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  that is confined predominantly to the NH. However, aerosol forcing differs greatly in nature from greenhouse gas forcing. Such differences make it mandatory that aerosol influences are explicitly represented in climate models rather than simply represented as a scalable anti-greenhouse influence (72).

The large uncertainties in the magnitude and geographical distribution of aerosol forcing, much larger than those associated with greenhouse forcing, indicate the need for substantial research to improve the description of aerosol forcing. Among the components of such a research program would be a new set of satellites dedicated to questions of radiative forcing and able to provide the needed global coverage and high frequency of sampling. Connection of this global data set to local and column integral optical, chemical, and microphysical properties requires a set of ground-based observing sites carefully located to delineate the key characteristics of air masses that are either influenced or unaffected by anthropogenic sulfate. Understanding the chemical and physical processes that produce the aerosol and control its relevant properties requires both laboratory and field studies. These research activities must be integrated. Ground-based, airborne, satellite, and laboratory data acquisition activities should be coordinated, and modeling is needed at a variety of scales, from the mesoscale to the global scale, to aid in the design of measurement strategies, to provide a framework for data analysis, and to examine the climatic impact of anthropogenic aerosols.

Key questions remain unanswered regarding the climate influence of anthropogenic aerosol and its relation to the climate influence of increased concentrations of greenhouse gases: To what degree do such physically, altitudinally, geographically, and temporally different forcings compensate each other? Do such disparate forcings produce identical but opposite meterological and climatological responses? Have the radiative effects of sulfate aerosol decreased the magnitude of warming from anthropogenic greenhouse gases or delayed its onset or both? Or are there other climatic influences of anthropogenic aerosol that might have offset the radiative influences described here? To what extent will reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions for control of acid deposition exacerbate the greenhouse effect? Perhaps the sobering reality of unanswered questions such as these will remind the research and policy communities that relating climate response to anthropogenic perturbations is still a long way from being an exercise in engineering design.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. Ångström, Geogr. Ann. 11, 156 (1929).
   B. Bolin and R. J. Charlson, Ambio 5, 47 (1976).
   O. B. Toon and J. B. Pollack, J. Appl. Meteorol. 15, 225 (1976).
   J. A. Coakley, Jr., R. D. Cess, F. B. Yurevich, J. Atmos. Sci. 40, 116 (1983).
- J. E. Hansen and A. A. Lacis, Nature 346, 713 (1990).
- D. Halsch and R. R. Lacs, Value 340, 715 (1990).
   H. Grassl, in *The Changing Atmosphere*, S. Rowland and I. S. A. Isaksen, Eds. (Wiley, Chichester, United Kingdom, 1988), pp. 187–199.
   \_\_\_\_\_, in *Aerosols and Climate*, P. V. Hobbs and M. P. McCormick, Eds. (Deepak, Hampton, VA, 1988), pp. 241–252.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, The IPCC Scientific Assessment, J. T. Houghton, G. T. J. Jenkins, J. J. Ephraums, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- S. Twomey, Almospheric Aerosols (Elsevier, New York, 1977).
   D. R. Lawson and J. W. Winchester, Science 205, 1267 (1979).
   M. M. Herron, J. Geophys. Res. 87, 3052 (1982); P. A. Mayewski et al., Nature 346, 554 (1990).

- S. E. Schwartz, Nature 336, 441 (1988).
   S. Twomey, M. Piepgrass, T. L. Wolfe, Tellus 36B, 356 (1984).
   J. A. Coakley, Jr., R. L. Bernstein, P. A. Durkee, Science 237, 1020 (1987); R. S. Corer, Atmos. Environ. 21, 1417 (1987); L. F. Radke, J. A. Coakley, Jr., M. D. King, Science 246, 1146 (1989).
- 15. P. Durkee, in Preprints, Symposium on the Role of Clouds in Atmospheric Chemistry and Global Climate (American Meteorological Society, Boston, 1988), pp. 157-160.
- 16. D. J. Hofmann, Science 248, 996 (1990).
- ...., in Aerosols and Climate, P. V. Hobbs, and M. P. McCormick, Eds., (Deepak, Hampton, VA, 1988), pp. 195–214. 17
- E. C. Flowers, R. A. McCormick, K. R. Kurfis, J. Appl. Meteorol. 8, 955 (1969). R. Jaenicke, in Landolt-Börnstein, Numerical Data and Functional Relationships in 18 19 Science and Technology, new series group 5, vol. 4, Meteorology, vol. 6, Physical and Chemical Properties of the Air, G. Fisher, Ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1988).
- J.-P. Blanchet, Atmos. Environ. 23, 2609 (1989).
   Mass ratios of SQ<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> to C<sup>0</sup> in aerosol and rain in near-source regions are typically 10 to 20 [J. Heintzenberg and A. Mészáros, J. Hung. Meteorol. Soc. 89, 313 (1985); J. A. Ogren and R. J. Charlson, Tellus 36B, 212 (1984)]. Because the scattering cross section of SQ<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and the absorption cross section of C<sup>0</sup> are nearly could (10 or 2<sup>-</sup>). equal ( $\sim 10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ) at representative relative humidities in the planetary boundary layer, these concentration ratios imply single-scattering albedo values  $\tilde{\omega}$  of 0.9 to 0.95. Downwind from these sites additional oxidation of  $SO_2$  increases the concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  but not that of  $C^0$ , increasing the ratio of scattering to absorption still further. Over surfaces with low albedo (<0.1), characteristic of most of the earth, such aerosols cool rather than warm the earth (4); thus, the scattering by  $SO_4^{2-}$  should dominate absorption by C<sup>0</sup>. These calculated values are consistent with measured values of  $\tilde{\omega}$  in rural areas of the eastern United States (22), corrected for relative humidity.
- 2.2
- A. P. Waggoner et al., Atmos. Environ. 15, 1891 (1981). G. W. Paltridge and C. M. R. Platt, Radiative Processes in Meteorology and Climatology (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976). 23.
- 24 J. E. Penner, S. J. Ghan, J. J. Walton, in Global Biomass Burning, J. S. Levine, Ed. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991), pp. 387-393. R. R. Braham, Jr., Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 55, 100 (1974).
- J. W. Fitzgerald and P. A. Spyers-Duran, J. Appl. Meteorol. 12, 511 (1973); B. A. Albrecht, Science 245, 1227 (1989).
- S. Twomey, Atmos. Environ. 25A, 2435 (1991) 27.
- 28. M. B. Baker and R. J. Charlson, Nature 345, 142 (1990). Aerosol optical depth  $\delta$  is defined by the Beer-Lambert law for transmission of 29 sunlight intensity I vertically through the atmosphere as  $\delta =$  $-\ln (I/I_0)$ , where  $I_0$ denotes the intensity for an aerosol-free atmosphere.
- P. A. Spiro, D. J. Jacob, J. A. Logan J. Geophys. Res., in press.
   T. S. Bates, B. K. Lamb, A. Guenther, J. Dignon, R. E. Stoiber, J. Atmos. Chem., in press
- 32. A. G. Ryaboshapko, in The Global Biogeochemical Sulfur Cycle, SCOPE Report 19,

- X. G. Kyadosnapko, in *The Global Biogeothermical Sulfur Cycle*, SCOPE Report 17, M. V. Ivanov and J. R. Freney, Eds. (Wiley, New York, 1983), pp. 203–296.
   D. Möller, *Atmos. Environ.* 18, 19 (1984).
   L. F. Radke and P. V. Hobbs, *Science* 193, 999 (1976).
   R. F. Pueschel, C. C. Van Valin, R. G. Castillo, J. A. Kadlecek, E. Ganor, *J. Clim.* Appl. Meteorol. 25, 1908 (1986).
- 36. S. Twomey, J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 3779 (1971).
- H. M. ten Brink, S. E. Schwartz, P. H. Daum, Atmos. Environ. 21, 2035 (1987).
   W. A. Lyons, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 338, 418 (1980); R. S. Fraser, Y. J. Kaufman, R. L. Mahoney, Atmos. Environ. 18, 2577 (1984); C. R. N. Rao, L. L. Stowe, E. P. McClain, J. Sapper, in Aerosols and Climate P. V. Hobbs and M. P. McCormick,
- Eds. (Deepak, Hampton, VA, 1988), pp. 69-79.

- C. F. Cullis and M. M. Hirschler, Atmos. Environ. 14, 1263 (1980).
   R. J. Charlson, J. E. Lovelock, M. O. Andreae, S. G. Warren, Nature 340, 437 (1989).
   B. W. Forgan, Baseline (CSIRO) 1985 56, 69 (1987).
   R. G. Pinnick, S. G. Jennings, P. Chýlek, J. Geophys. Res. 85, 4059 (1980).
   I. N. Tang, in Generation of Aerosols, K. Willeke, Ed. (Ann Arbor Science, Ann March 1971) 1071
- I. N. Lang, in Generation of Aerosols, K. Willeke, Ed. (Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, MI, 1980), pp. 153–167.
   R. J. Charlson, D. S. Covert, T. V. Larson, in *Hygroscopic Aerosols*, L. H. Ruhnke and A. Deepak, Eds. (Deepak, Hampton, VA, 1984), pp. 35–44.
   J. Langner and H. Rodhe, J. Atmos. Chem. 13, 225 (1991); R. J. Charlson, J. Langner, H. Rodhe, C. B. Leovy, S. G. Warren, Tellus 43AB, 152 (1991).
   R. J. Charlson, J. E. Lovelock, M. O. Andreae, S. G. Warren, Nature 326, 655 (1987)
- (1987). 47. S. Twomey, in Inadvertent Climate Modification, C. L. Wilson and W. H. Matthews,

- Eds. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1971), p. 229.
  48. K. T. Whitby, Atmos. Environ. 12, 115 (1978).
  49. R. B. Husar and D. E. Patterson, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 338, 399 (1980).
  50. R. E. Weiss, A. P. Waggoner, R. J. Charlson, N. C. Ahlquist, Science 195, 979 (1977). (1977)
- R. J. Ball and G. D. Robinson, J. Appl. Meteorol. 21, 171 (1982).
   S. E. Schwartz, Science 243, 753 (1989).
- 53. National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program, Emissions, Atmospheric Processes and Deposition, vol. 1 of Acidic Deposition: State of Science and Technology Report (Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1991).
  54. G. E. Shaw, Climatic Change 5, 297 (1983); E. K. Bigg, J. L. Gras, C. Evans, J.
- Atmos. Chem. 1, 203 (1984).
- 55. W. H. White, Atmos. Environ. 20, 1659 (1986).
- 56. Because the scattering cross section also depends on the size distribution of the aerosol [for example, (9, 22, 42)], the assumption of a scattering cross section for sulfate presupposes that the size distribution for the aerosol is known and is spatially and temporally invariant. H. Rodhe and I. Isaksen, J. Geophys. Res. 85, 7401 (1980). A. P. Waggoner et al., Nature 261, 120 (1976).

- 59. S. G. Warren, C. J. Hahn, J. London, R. M. Chervin, R. L. Jenne, Global Distribution of Total Cloud Cover and Cloud Type Amounts Over Land, NCAR Technical note TN-273+STR (National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boul-der, CO, 1986); Global Distribution of Total Cloud Cover and Cloud Type Amounts Over the Ocean, NCAR Technical note TN-317+STR (National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, 1988).
- A. Robock, Mon. Weather Rev. 108, 267 (1980). 60.
- W. J. Wiscombe and G. W. Grans, J. Atmos. Sci. 33, 2240 (1976).
   J. A. Coakley, Jr., and P. Chýlek, *ibid.* 32, 409 (1975).
- 63. This expression has the advantage of simplicity and exhibits essentially the same sensitivity as more detailed calculations (13, 46).
- 64. G. L. Stephens, J. Atmos. Sci. 35, 2123 (1978).

- 65. S. E. Schwartz, in Preprints, Symposium on the Role of Clouds in Atmospheric Chemistry and Global Climate (American Meteorological Society, Boston, 1988), pp. 4–8.
  66. A sensitivity to a perturbation in N similar to that given here has been found by
- calculations with general circulation models in which N is arbitrarily increased [A. Slingo, Nature 343, 49 (1990); S. J. Ghan, K. E. Taylor, J. E. Penner, D. J. Erickson III, Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 607 (1990)].
- Y. J. Kaufman, R. S. Fraser, R. L. Mahoney, J. Clim. 4, 578 (1991).
   J. B. Jensen and R. J. Charlson, *Tellus* 34B, 367 (1984); W. R. Leaitch, J. W. Strapp, G. A. Isaac, J. G. Hudson, *ibid.* 38B, 328 (1986); N. V. Gillani *et al.*, in Bereinigitation Scavenging and Atmosphere-Surface Exchange, S. E. Schwartz and W. G. N. Slinn, coordinators (Hemisphere, Washington, DC, in press).
   World Meteorological Organization, "Report of the Experts Meeting on interaction between aerosols and clouds," G. Vali Ed., Report WCRP-59, WMO/TD-No. (22) (World Meteorological Science).

- don between acrosols and clouds, 'G. Van Ed., *Report WCRP-39, WMO/TD-No.* 423 (World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 1991).
  70. J. Hansen, W. Rossow, I. Fung, *Iss. Sci. Technol.* 7, 62 (1990).
  71. L. L. Stowe, R. Hitzenberger, A. Deepak, "Experts meeting on space observations of tropospheric aerosols and complementary measurements," *Report WCRP-48, WMO/TD No.* 389 (World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 1990). J. Hofmann, "Atmospheric aerosole and climate change A NOAA invitation," and the statement of the "Atmospheric aerosols and climate change-A NOAA initiative," unpublished manuscript; National Research Council Committee on Atmospheric Chemistry, The Department of Energy's Atmospheric Chemistry Program-A Critical Review (National Academy
- 72. W.-C. Wang, M. P. Dudek, X.-Z. Liang, J. T. Kiehl, *Nature* 350, 573 (1991).
  73. This work has been supported by Department of Energy (DOE) grant DE-FG02-85ER60314 (R.D.C.), NSF grant ATM-8813825 and DOE Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program (R.J.C.), NSF grant ATM-8912669 (J.A.C.), DOE contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 (J.M.H.), National Aeronautics and Space Administration Climate Program and Environmental Protection Agency Global Change and Climate Change Divisions and grant R816395 (J.E.H.), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (D.J.H.), and DOE contract DE-AC02-76CH00016 (S.E.S.).

# Addictive Drugs: The Cigarette Experience

THOMAS C. SCHELLING

Cigarettes are among the most addictive substances of abuse and by far the most deadly. In this country smokers know it and try to stop. Their success has been dramatic but partial and excruciatingly slow, and until recently quite uncoerced by government. Cigarettes and nicotine have characteristics distinct among addictive drugs, and some of these help explain why efforts to quit smoking are so often frustrated. Nicotine itself is the most interesting chemical in the treatment of addiction and, in some forms, can pose a dilemma: compromise by settling for pure nicotine indefinitely, or stay with cigarettes and keep trying to quit. Nicotine is not alone among addictive drugs in becoming increasingly identified with the poorer classes.

ALF THE MEN WHO EVER SMOKED IN THIS COUNTRY have quit, and nearly half the women. At the end of World War II, three-quarters of young men smoked; the fraction is now less than a third and going down. Fifty million people have quit smoking, and another 50 million who would have become smokers since 1945 did not.

This dramatic abandonment of a life-threatening behavior was entirely voluntary. Until recently there was virtually no regulation of smoking by any level of government. The situation changed sharply in the late 1980s after dramatic changes in smoking behavior were well under way.

Surveys documented that the public was aware of the risks (1). Ninety percent or more answered yes to whether smoking caused cancer and heart disease. The facts were impressive. In 1982 the Surgeon General estimated 130,000 premature cancer deaths, in 1983 170,000 deaths from heart disease, and in 1984 50,000 deaths from lung disease (2). The total was later increased to more than 400,000.

Where do people learn about these dangers? Newspapers reported the annual reports of the surgeons general, but smoking was rarely news and inherently a dull subject. Only recently have city ordinances, airline restrictions, liability suits, advertising bans, and excise taxes made cigarettes occasional front-page news. Magazines rarely mention smoking; some of the most popular magazines report more than 25% of their advertising revenues from cigarettes.

The only emphatic repetitive communications about the hazards of smoking are the advertisements on billboards, and in magazines and newspapers. For two decades the central theme has been tar and nicotine. The message sent is that lighter cigarettes are safer but the message received must also be that smoking is dangerous. It is anybody's guess whether the cumulative impact is to entice people into smoking and to keep them smoking, or to drill home the deadly message about tar and nicotine.

No surgeon general has ever publicized the benefits of lower tar and nicotine, but the tar and nicotine have fallen by half. Smokers can infer that the government would not require labeling unless tar and nicotine made a difference.

Thirty years ago smoking was not much associated with social

The author is in the Department of Economics and the School of Public Affairs, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, and was formerly director of the Institute for the Study of Smoking Behavior and Policy.