- M. C. Gustin, X.-L. Zhou, B. Martinac, C. Kung, *ibid.* 242, 762 (1988).
- X.-L. Zhou, personal communication.
   23 April 1991; 14 May 1991

# Single-Channel Mechanosensitive Currents

Morris and Horn (1) describe ion channel activity, elicited by mechanical stimulation, in both membrane patches and in whole neurons from the snail Lymnaea stagnalis. In whole-cell recordings, mechanical stimulation did not elicit the large macroscopic mechanosensitive currents ( $I_{MS}$ ) that were anticipated from studies of single mechanosensitive (MS) channels in membrane patches. These negative results led Morris and Horn to suggest that single-channel mechanosensitivity is an artifact of patch recording.

In contrast to snail neurons, MS channel currents in spheroplasts of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae were found in both patch and whole-cell recordings (2). Morris and Horn offer two explanations for this difference. I<sub>MS</sub> could result from activation of only a few MS channels near the pipette-tomembrane seal, possibly as a result of the vigorous suction needed to form a seal on the yeast membrane. They also point out that I<sub>MS</sub> in yeast showed nonselectivity and did not saturate at high pressures (2). They suggest that these properties would be consistent with mechanically induced leaks through nonchannel pathways. Both explanations seem untenable for several reasons.

Morris and Horn say that, "on the basis of yeast channel density . . ., the maximum  $I_{\rm MS}$  (at -60 mV) corresponds to recruitment of <2% of the population." This calculation



Fig. 1. Pressure dependence of MS channels in whole-cell mode. Spheroplast preparation, wholecell recording, and internal pressure application were as described in (2). Internal pressure was increased in steps, between each of which the pressure was released. No change in the leakage current was noted between pressure applications. Cell capacitance = 0.69 pF, voltage = +40 mV. Recording solutions, pipette: 100 mM cesium glutamate, 250 mM mannitol, 4 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM EGTA, 2 mM adenosine triphosphate, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.2; bath: 100 mM cesium glutamate, 210 mM mannitol, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MES, pH 5.5

appears to use the highest points shown in figure 3 of the study by Gustin et al. (2). This is an inappropriate reinterpretation of the data because the currents shown in (2)are clearly not maximal, nor did we ever claim that they were. When the voltage across the yeast spheroplast membrane was kept positive on the inside to minimize adaptation, cell-inflating pressure elicited large macroscopic currents (2). These currents showed saturation at increasing pressure (Fig. 1). For three different spheroplasts in which I<sub>MS</sub> saturation was observed, the maximum MS conductance was 105, 99, and 160 picoSiemens (pS)  $\mu m^{-2}$ , respectively. In recordings made from patches of approximately 1 µm<sup>2</sup>, two to six MS channels (35 pS) were observed. The maximal specific whole-cell conductance was therefore roughly equivalent to that expected from patch recordings of yeast MS channels.

The necessity for vigorous suction to form seals on *S. cerevisiae* has little to do with MS ion channel currents in whole-cell recordings. In fungi such as *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Uromyces appendiculatus*, where gigaohm seals form rapidly with little suction, MS ion channel currents are present in patch and whole-cell recordings. MS ion currents in the latter type of recordings, like those from *S. cerevisiae*, are large and saturating at high stimulating pressures (3).

Ion selectivity and inhibitor sensitivity is the same for macroscopic and unitary conductances elicited by mechanical stimulation in S. cerevisiae. As Morris and Horn correctly point out, the  $I_{MS}$  in yeast is nonselective; however, so too is the MS unitary current  $(i_{MS})$  (2). Both the macroscopic and unitary currents elicited by mechanical stimulation in yeast are completely inhibited when 10  $\mu$ M Gd<sup>3+</sup> is applied intracellularly. MS channels in yeast also do not appear to be manifestations of some other channel. The only other channel consistently found in the yeast plasma membrane, an outward-rectifying potassium channel, is not activated when pressure is applied to membrane patches or to whole cells.

MICHAEL C. GUSTIN Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Rice University, Houston, TX 77251

### REFERENCES

1. C. E. Morris and R. Horn, Science 251, 1246 (1991).

We agree with Morris and Horn that MS channels were probably not physiologically active in their preparation. However, before MS channels can be called an artifact, there are two questions to be answered.

1) Did Morris and Horn find less current than expected? Growth cones have two kinds of mechanosensitive channels: stretchactivated (SA) and stretch-inactivated (SI). For SA channels, the stimulation was probably too small to activate a substantial fraction. Sigurdson and Morris (2) showed that SA channels could be activated only by pressures greater than 70 to 100 mmHg. For a typical patch 4 µm in diameter, such pressure produces tensions greater than 9 to 13 dyn/cm. This tension is close to the lytic limit and is difficult to sustain across large areas of membrane without the formation of stress-relieving blebs. It is not clear whether Morris and Horn were able to stimulate even a small fraction of the SA channels. In the case of SI channels, the maximal probability of being open was reported to be 0.024, and the observed density was less than 0.06 per patch or about 0.04 per square micrometer (3). In the unlikely event that all the channels in the cell could be shut by the applied stress, there would be less than 2 pA of current measured by whole-cell patch clamping. There might also have been compensation of SI and SA channels as one type opened and the other closed. (The magnitude of the stimulus in the experiments with hypoosmotic stress is unclear. Morris and Horn found no change in potassium ion (K<sup>+</sup>) currents with swelling, but dilution of the intracellular compartment should have changed the reversal potential). We conclude that Morris and Horn's results satisfy the expectations of the single channels studies, particularly in view of the uncertainties of the stimulus. The low sensitivity of MS channels in in vitro Lymnea neurons may indicate that the channel sensitivity is regulated.

2) Is the insensitivity of whole-cell responses a general finding? It does not appear to be. Davis *et al.* (4) showed that smooth muscle cells had nonselective SA channels and, when the cells were stretched, they generated an inward current. Bear (5) found that liver cells possessed calcium permeable SA currents, and that hypoosmotic stress induced both channel activation and an elevation of whole-cell calcium with a similar time course. Erxleben (6) demonstrated nonselective cation SA channels in the crayfish stretch receptor neuron that had a depolarizing generator potential. The only reservation we have about interpreting Erxleben's data is that the patch experiments were done on the soma or primary dendrite, rather than on secondary processes, and that the distribution of channels might have varied (2).

Gustin et al. (7) demonstrated in yeast that whole-cell currents could be evoked by inflation of the protoplast and that pressure sensitivity increased with the diameter of the protoplast, as expected from Laplace's law. This contradicts the contention of Morris and Horn that stimulation was limited to the region of the pipette attachment, because in that case there should have been no correlation with size.

Without any patch clamping, Sigurdson et al. (8) showed that in in vitro heart cells, gentle mechanical prodding produced an inward calcium flux. This flux satisfied many of the properties expected from SA channels: the flux was blocked by gadolinium, carried by extracellular calcium, and was sensitive to mechanical stimulation. Without specific blockers whole-cell currents are not convincing evidence of specific transduction and the stimulus is, in most cases, poorly controlled.

Finally, Morris and Horn's in proof reference to dissolution of the cytoskeleton in patches (9) is inappropriate. The patches in that study were made with giant pipettes 10 to 15 µm in diameter and did not form gigaseals. Gigasealed patches from normal pipettes contain cytoskeleton (10) that can change with flexing of the patch, or with time (11), and may affect the sensitivity of MS channels.

> FREDERICK SACHS WADE SIGURDSON ABDUL RUKNUDIN CHARLES BOWMAN Department of Biophysical Sciences, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14214

#### REFERENCES

- 1. C. E. Morris and R. Horn, Science 251, 1246 (1991).
- 2. W. J. Sigurdson and C. E. Morris, J. Neurosci. 9, 2801 (1989). 3. C. E. Morris and W. J. Sigurdson, Science 243, 807
- (1989). 4. M. J. Davis, J. A. Donovitz, J. D. Hood, Biophys. J.
- 59, 236a (1991).
- C. E. Bear, Am. J. Physiol. 258, C421 (1990).
   C. Erxleben, J. Gen. Physiol. 94, 1071 (1989).
   M. C. Gustin, X-L. Zhou, B. Martinac, C. Kung, Science **242**, 762 (1988).
- 8. W. Sigurdson and F. Sachs, Biophys. J. 59, 469a (1991)
- 9. R. L. Milton and J. H. Caldwell, Pflugers Arch. 416, 758 (1990).
- 10. A. Ruknudin, M. J. Song, F. Sachs, J. Cell Biol. **112**, 125 (1991) 11. M. Sokabe and F. Sachs, ibid. 111, 599 (1990).
- 19 April 1991; 14 May 1991

16 AUGUST 1991

Response: Gustin's new data leave little doubt that  $I_{MS}$  in yeast spheroplasts are channel-mediated. Three critical questions are no longer at issue. Does  $I_{MS}$  saturate? Is it blocked, like the single-channel current, by gadolinium? Are the channels contributing to  $I_{MS}$  only those near the pipette rim? Additional support is given to the hypothesis of channel-mediation by the finding that yeast species that seal without vigorous suction also exhibit  $I_{MS}$ .

The membranes and SA channels of yeast and snail neurons (1) may simply be different. We note that yeast SA channels (2) are nonselective between cations and anions, that they have two open levels like a twobarrelled channel, and that they occur in a membrane whose natural state is one of high curvature (3). By Laplace's law, the yeast  $I_{\rm MS}$  shown in figure 1 above is activated at tensions that would be generated by applying ~130 mmHg to a typical patch of 5  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. In patch recordings, the ubiquitous snail neuron SA K<sup>+</sup> channels were at least this sensitive (1). This sensitivity did not, however, translate to macroscopic recordings. In yeast, half-maximal activation occurs at  $\sim 5$  dyne/cm<sup>-1</sup>. In our experiments on neuronal membrane, we assume that comparable or greater tensions developed over many square micrometers before stimulusinduced rupturing occurred, but these tensions did not generate the expected currents.

Despite Gustin's results neither the small  $I_{\rm MS}$  we observed in some snail neurons (and in GH<sub>3</sub> cells) nor that in yeast spheroplasts can be assumed to represent physiological currents. We detected currents only in cells that were near rupturing or were irreversibly distended, and the currents in yeast cells were seen in wall-free cells with their cytoplasm exchanged for pipette solution. Nevertheless, we concur that patching does not appear to render SA channels artifactually mechanosensitive in yeast spheroplasts. Data from more preparations are needed before the same can be said of animal cells.

Sachs et al. describe the behavior of patches during sealing and stretching (4, 5). It is clear that patching can traumatize the membrane, and passive (viscoelastic) or active (contractile) effects can interfere with the effects of pressure applied to the pipette (4). Furthermore, the different pipette tip geometries that have been used to record SA channel activity affect the nature of mechanostimuli applied to patched membrane (5). These uncertainties make it difficult to predict the magnitude of in situ or physiological channel mechanosensitivity on the basis of patch mechanosensitivity.

We disagree with the statement that SA channels "could only be activated by pressures greater than 70 to 100 mmHg" in Lymnaea

neurons. Sigurdson found that 30 mmHg suction-activated SA K+ channels in some patches (6), and we reported [(1), reference 13] that SA  $K^+$ channels turned on with suction at  $\geq 40$ mmHg. Moreover, in looking for whole-cell responses, we consistently used stimuli that at high intensity brought the cell to rupture, yet we observed at best minute currents that could be attributed to a handful of channels. Altered reversal potentials during osmotic shock should not have prevented the detection of conductance changes, but we saw none.

We agree with Sachs et al. that macroscopic MS currents can be demonstrated; we observed them in  $GH_3$  cells [(1), reference 23], but only under conditions that caused irreversible distension. Sachs et al. refer to the preliminary report by Davis et al. (7) of mechanosensitive whole-cell currents in smooth muscle, but it is too early to tell whether these macroscopic currents represent a physiologically relevant expression of single-channel SA currents. Are the currents gadolinium-sensitive? Do they saturate or show any "dose" dependence? What is the state of the cells when currents are obtained?

The other preliminary report to which Sachs et al. refer (8) was suggestive, but not conclusive, since no control for Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel blocking by gadolinium was done, no current measurements were made, and mechanostimulation was not necessarily "gentle." The stimulating probe was a patch pipette, used in a manner that sharply indented the plasma membrane, inevitably shearing cortical cytoskeleton against contractile machinery and intracellular organelles. This unusual stimulus may alter the mechanical environment of SA channels, rendering them hypermechanosensitive.

Bear (9) did not make whole-cell recordings. Erxleben's work (10) is important, but he did not claim to have made an unequivocal connection between single-channel and whole-cell currents. Some of the missing links have been listed in (11). If the channels contributing to the whole-cell currents measured by Gustin et al. (12) were mechanically disturbed near the recording pipette, they would have experienced the curvature of the cell and so would, indeed, have been expected to conform to Laplace's law. Finally, we made no reference to "dissolution of the cytoskeleton." We used the term "disrupt." Sachs et al. (4) show just how disrupted the cytoskeleton can be beneath a patched membrane.

CATHERINE E. MORRIS Neurosciences, Loeb Institute, Ottawa Civic Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4E9, Canada **RICHARD HORN** Department of Neurosciences, Roche Institute of Molecular Biology, Nutley, NJ 07110

TECHNICAL COMMENTS 801

## REFERENCES

- 1. C. E. Morris and R. Horn, Science 251, 1246 (1991). 2. M. C. Gustin, X.-L. Zhou, B. Martinac, C. Kung,
- ibid. 242, 762 (1988). 3. B. Martinac, J. Adler, C. Kung, Nature 348, 261
- (1990)4. M. Sokabe and F. Sachs, J. Cell Biol. 111, 599
- (1990)5. M. Sokabe, F. Sachs, Z. Jing, Biophys. J. 59, 722
- (1991). 6. W. J. Sigurdson, thesis, University of Ottawa,
- (1990). 7. M. J. Davis, J. A. Donovitz, J. D. Hood, Biophys. J. 59, 236a (1991).
- 39, 230a (1991).
   W. J. Sigurdson and F. Sachs, *ibid.*, p. 469a.
   C. E. Bear, Am. J. Physiol. 258, C421 (1990)
- 10. C. Erxleben, J. Gen. Physiol. 94, 1071 (1989).
- C. E. Morris, J. Memb. Biol. 113, 93 (1990).
   M. C. Gustin, X.-L. Zhou, B. Martinac, C. Kung,
- Science 242, 762 (1988).

# Lysyl Oxidase and rrg Messenger RNA

Several of us recently reported that the rrg gene was a putative tumor suppressor gene or anti-oncogene of ras (1). Mouse NIH 3T3 cell lines transformed by ras expressed almost no rrg, in contrast with nontransformed NIH 3T3 cell lines and interferonreverted cell lines. Revertants continued to express ras p21 and mRNA in amounts comparable to those expressed by transformed cell lines. A revertant cell line transfected with an rrg antisense expression construct became retransformed. These data

Table 1. Lysyl oxidase activity in cell lines. Lysyl oxidase activity was assayed in both media and cell extract, summed to give total activity, and normalized against cell number and cell protein. In all cell lines, more than 90% of the total lysyl oxidase activity was in the media. ND, not detectable. AS, antisense cell lines, PR4 cells with rrg antisense expression construct; S, sense cell lines, PR4 cells with mg sense expression construct; AS3BT1, cell line cultured from tumor induced in a nude mouse by subcutaneous injection of cell line AS-3B. Tumors grew to  $\sim 1$  cm in diameter within 7 days of first appearance (+++), within 7 to 14 days of first appearance (++), or 14 days or more after first appearance (+); or they did not grow (-).

Cell line	Total lysyl oxidase activity (11)		Tumor
	Cpm/ 10 <sup>6</sup> cells	Cpm/ µg protein	growth (1)
NIH 3T3	11,945	22.1	_
PR4	5,260	20.9	-
RS485	620	2.7	+++
AS-3B	1,486	9.2	+++
AS-3BT1	ND	ND	
AS-30	1,744	11.5	+++
AS-4	4,065	12.3	+
S-10	17,927	38.5	_
S-16	6,267	33.3	-

suggested that the regulated expression of rrg product forms a part of the pathway of cell transformation by ras.

A search (2) of GenBank Release 65.0 and PIR Release 26.0 revealed a match between rrg cDNA sequences (3) and a 2672-bp cDNA of rat lysyl oxidase (4). The two nucleotide sequences were 92% identical, but the protein sequences were only 79% similar with two blocks of nonhomologous sequences interrupting the alignment. Frame shifts at four locations restored identity; each shift location was rich in GC. GC-rich areas are often compressed on sequencing gels. The sequences of rrg and rat lysyl oxidase cDNA in these areas were verified with the dGTP analog dITP, which prevents compressions (5). Several base insertions in the rat sequence restored exact alignment with rrg (6). Therefore, rrg, a regulator of ras expression, encodes lysyl oxidase.

Determinations of lysyl oxidase activity in the culture media of NIH 3T3 and derived cell lines (Table 1) indicated a direct correlation between lysyl oxidase activity and rrg mRNA expression (Fig. 1). Nontransformed cell lines exhibited high lysyl oxidase activity and large amounts of mRNA, while the transformed lines had low lysyl oxidase activity and small amounts of mRNA.

The down-regulation of lysyl oxidase expression in transformation and the induction of lysyl oxidase in interferon-mediated reversion of transformed cells suggests that this enzyme plays a role in tumor suppression. Lysyl oxidase is a copper-dependent amine oxidase that catalyzes the oxidative deamination of peptidyl lysine in elastin and collagen; intra- and intermolecular conden-



Fig. 1. RNA blot hybridized with rat lysyl oxidase cDNA (4). Total cellular RNA (15  $\mu$ g) was separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agaroseformaldehyde gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Cell lines as in Table 1. Lanes: 1, NIH 3T3; 2, PR4 (revertant of RS485); 3, RS485 (ras-transformed NIH 3T3); 4, AS-3B; 5, AS-3BT1; 6, AS-30; 7, AS-4; 8, S-10; 9, S-16. Bottom panel shows an ethidium bromide staining of 28S ribosomal RNA.

sations then form covalent cross-linkages that insolubilize these matrix proteins (7). The cDNA sequences each predict signal peptide sequences and cleavage sites as well as potential sites for N-glycosylation (4, 6). This is consistent with the known secretory fate of lysyl oxidase in cultured cells (Table 1) (8). Thus, if catalytic activity is essential to the reversion process, it likely occurs in extracellular space.

There is ample evidence for the modulation of cell phenotype by the extracellular matrix (9). Intracellular communication with extracellular cross-linked collagen or elastin may be critical to the present observation. Alternatively, as lysyl oxidase can act on proteins other than elastin and collagen in vitro (10), it may oxidize other accessible proteins such as membrane-bound receptors that are capable of transducing signals through ras, or it may oxidize other matrix components to modulate matrix-cell communication, which is important to the nontransformed phenotype

KAYLENE KENYON SARA CONTENTE Department of Pathology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD 20814 Philip C. Trackman JIN TANG HERBERT M. KAGAN Department of Biochemistry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118 ROBERT M. FRIEDMAN Department of Pathology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. S. Contente, K. Kenyon, D Rimoldi, R. M. Fried-
- man, Science 249, 796 (1990).
  D. Benson et al., Genomics 6, 389 (1990); S. F.
- D. benson et al., Genomics 6, 369 (1990); S. F. Altschul et al., J. Mol Biol 215, 4863 (1990). Sequences for rrg-3, rg-4, and rg-6 have been deposited with GenBank, accession numbers M65142 and M65143.
- P. C. Trackman et al., Biochemisty 29, 4863 (1990). S. Tabor and C. C. Richardson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 4767 (1987).
- P. C. Trackman et al., Biochemistry, in press. 6.
- S. R. Pinnell and G. R. Martin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 61, 708 (1968).
- 8. H. Kuivaniemi, L. Ala-Kokko, K. I. Kivirikko, Biochem. Biophys. Acta. 883, 326 (1986); H. Kuivaniemi et al., FEBS Lett. 195, 261 (1986).
- 9. D. Schuppan, Semin. Liver Dis. 10, 1 (1990); J. Keshmirian, G. Bray, S. Carbonetto, J. Neurocytol. 18, 491 (1989)
- 10. H. M. Kagan et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 115, 186 (1983); H. M. Kagan et al., J. Biol Chem. 259, 11203 (1984).
- 11. Z. Indik et al., Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 280, 80 (1990).
- Supported by NIH grants R01 CA37351-04A1, R37 AR18880, and P01 HL13262 and an agree-12. ment with the National Foundation for Cancer Research.

25 April 1991; accepted 23 May 1991