American Association for the Advancement of Science Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr. Deputy Editor: Ellis Rubinstein Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford International Editor: Alun Anderson Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences); Thomas R. Cech (Biological Sciences)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Martha Coleman, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss Associate Editors: R. Brooks Hanson, Pamela J. Hines, Kelly LaMarco, Linda J. Miller, L. Bryan Ray Letters: Christine Gilbert, editor, Steven S. Lapham Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, editor, Teresa Fryberger Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Denise Gipson, Julianne Hunt, Steven Powell Copy Desk: MaryBeth Branigan, Joi S. Granger, Margaret E. Grav. Beverly Shields Production Director: James Landry Production Manager: Wendy K. Shank Assistant Production Manager: Catherine S. Siskos Production Associate: Scherraine Mack Art Director: Amy Decker Henry Assistant Art Director: Julie Chern Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Diana DeFrancesco, Linda C. Owens Systems Analyst: William Carter NEWS STAFF Managing News Editor: Colin Norman Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, John M. Benditt, lean Mary

News and Comment/Research News: Ivan Amato, Faye Flam, Troy Gately (copy), Ann Gibbons, David P. Hamilton, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Joseph Palca, Leslie Roberts, Richard Stone Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (West Coast), Michelle Hoffman (Northeast), Anne Simon Moffat (Midwest) Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Jeremy Cherfas, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, M. Mitchell Waldrop, Karen Wright

BUSINESS STAFF

Marketing Director: Beth Rosner Circulation Director: Michael Spinella Fulfillment Manager: Marlene Zendell Financial Analyst: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold Classified Advertising Supervisor: Michele Pearl

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Hoffman Estates, IL 60195: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (708-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 1318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on pages 35–37 of the 4 January 1991 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725. Subscription/Member Benefits Questions: 202-326-6417. Science: 202-326-6500. Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400.



26 JULY 1991 VOLUME 253 NUMBER 5018

Excessive Fear of PCBs

hen mentioned by the media, the polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are described as cancer-causing chemicals. A more precise statement would be that huge daily lifelong doses of some of the PCBs are cancer-causing in rats. Many industrial workers were exposed to substantial amounts of PCBs during the 1950s, '60s, and early '70s. Some of the workers respired a total of 15 grams or more. But the industrial exposure led to no known cases of cancer. Nevertheless, as much as \$100 billion could ultimately be spent trying to remove PCBs from the environment.

The PCBs are synthesized by chlorination of biphenyl $(C_{12}H_{10})$. Two benzene rings are connected by a single bond. The products of chlorination potentially include 209 different compounds having one to ten chlorines. The highly chlorinated PCBs have extremely low vapor pressure, are practically insoluble in water, and are usually immobile in soil. Transformer oils were essentially a mixture of PCBs having five, six, or seven chlorines. These were manufactured in the United States by Monsanto and given the name Aroclor 1260 (12 carbons and 60% chlorine). Of the PCBs sold in the United States, Aroclor 1260 and more highly chlorinated PCBs constituted only 12%. Most of the tests for carcinogenicity in rats have been made using Aroclor 1260. These have revealed cancerous tumors in rat livers. However, a major study conducted in West Germany included PCBs with 60 and 42% chlorine content.* Rats ingesting daily the more highly chlorinated PCBs had a low tumorigenic response in the liver, had less total cancer than the controls, and lived longer than the controls.

In spite of such evidence the Environmental Protection Agency has moved to tighten its regulatory stance on PCBs. In the 30 January 1991 *Federal Register*, EPA stated that it agreed that "there is inadequate evidence of carcinogenicity of PCBs in humans." It mentioned the tests showing that "PCBs that are 60% chlorinated have been reported to be carcinogenic in animals, while PCBs with a lower chlorine content concentration (chlorine 54%) have produced cancer in animals that was not statistically significant." The EPA comment did not mention the important West German study. It instead stated that "it appears reasonable to regulate PCBs as a class of compounds with a cancer classification of Group B2.... Therefore, according to EPA policy, the MCLG [maximum contamination limit goal] for PCBs is zero. The proposed MCL is 0.0005 mg/l, the practical quantification limit."

The various experiments on the carcinogenicity of PCBs have been conducted at different laboratories using different strains of rats and different criteria in the pathologic examinations. The Institute for Evaluating Health Risks (IEHR) has just completed a project in which the pathological diagnoses in five key rat PCB studies were reassessed by a panel of expert pathologists. They reaffirmed the carcinogenicity of the 60% chlorinated PCBs. They "reaffirmed that chronic exposure to a PCB formulation that was 54% chlorinated did not yield a statistically significant increase of either benign or malignant tumors." Their examination of the relevant pathologic slides "revealed that rats chronically exposed to a PCB formulation that was 42% chlorinated did not develop any increase in malignant tumors or a statistically significant increase in benign tumors."

John A. Moore, a former EPA official who is president of IEHR, commented on the studies as follows: "These reassessment results indicate that the following two traditional EPA policy positions be reconsidered: 1) an assumption that *all* PCB formulations are probable human carcinogens; 2) the assumption that all PCB formulations have the same quantitative potency to cause cancer."

The fate of PCBs in the environment depends on chlorine content. Under aerobic conditions in nature mono- and dichloro- and even some tri- and tetrachlorodiphenyls are slowly metabolized by microorganisms. Aerobes in general are unable to metabolize highly chlorinated biphenyls. However, under anaerobic conditions partial dechlorination slowly occurs making the resultant substance vulnerable if aerobic conditions are later established.

Manufacture of PCBs in the United States ceased in about 1978. Since then they have been slowly disappearing. From the standpoint of health effects there is no justification to base regulations of all PCBs on tests with Aroclor 1260.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

^{*}E. Schaeffer, H. Greim, W. Goessner, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 75, 278 (1984).