Peter Hobbs of the University of Washington, co-leader of a research team that held a press conference upon its return to Washington, D.C. last week. "We do not expect that smoke from the Kuwait fires will have any significant effect on the weather or climate outside the Gulf region," said Hobbs, one of 27 scientists who flew over Kuwait in May and June collecting air samples.

The reason for his optimism: Even at their highest, the plumes are not climbing above 5 to 6 kilometers, well below the stratospheric winds (at around 12 kilometers) that might sweep soot particles around the world and keep them airborne for months. Moreover, the particles themselves are hydrophilic rather than hydrophobic (attracting rather than repelling water), indicating they will form droplets and wash out of the atmosphere within days.

Another bit of encouraging news, according to Alan Bandy, a sulfur chemist from Drexel University, is that hydrogen sulfide, a lethal gas many feared would be present, was "completely missing." Nor was there as much sulfur dioxide (a precursor of acid rain) as expected. Bandy said that 400 kilometers downwind of the fires, the SO₂ had dissipated to concentrations of 1 to 10 parts per billion, an amount typically found in "large urban areas."

Even so, according to team co-leader Lawrence Radke, a staff scientist at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, "Kuwait is being painted black" by a "huge pall" of smoke and unburned oil droplets hundreds of kilometers wide. Hobbs said flying over the scene was "as near as I want to get to hell."

The high concentration of particles in the air (100,000 per cubic centimeter) presents a hazard for people living in the area. Petroleum compounds in the smoke also pose a health threat, but the U.S. team has not yet analyzed its air samples for toxicity.

The smoke may also wreak havoc with local weather.

Hobbs pointed out that in Bahrain—250 kilometers away from the fires—this past May was the coldest on record in 35 years. And other long-term effects could still appear, he said.

U.S. Eases the Pressure on RU-486

The United States has apparently changed its position toward research by the World Health Organization (WHO) into RU-486, the controversial drug used to terminate pregnancy.

In recent months, U.S. officials opposed to the research had put considerable pressure on WHO, according to representatives of the advocacy group Population Crisis Committee (PCC). Last spring, for example, the State Department sent a letter to WHO asking for an accounting of U.S. funding going to the research. But at a late June meeting of WHO's Human Reproduction Program in Geneva, the U.S. government seemed to be backing off.

A U.S. representative at the meeting, Duff Gillespie, initially issued a statement warning that WHO could become embroiled in the controversy raging around RU-486 and abortion in general in the United States. "This visibility [of RU-486 research] could lead to specific negative consequences, even to pressure to reduce the United States contribution to WHO," he said.

Later at the same meeting, he followed with a more lenient statement: "The [U.S. government] has never asked WHO to stop all research on RU-486 nor have we informed WHO that we would cut off U.S. contributions to WHO should such research continue."

A representative of WHO says the organization will go on with its research program. Researchers will be looking beyond the role of RU-486 in triggering abortion, he says, to explore use of the drug to control fertility in other ways—as a constituent in birth control pills, for example.

Health Care: Teens Can Go It Alone

A substantial body of law not to mention the natural inclination of most parents—assumes that children aren't capable of choosing their own medical treatment. It only stands to reason that minors lack the maturity and experience to make wise decisions.

But the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) suggests in a recent report* that this common sense might not hold true after all-at least in the case of adolescents. After reviewing a series of studies on minors' health care decisions, the Carnegie Council on Adolescent Development-working under contract to OTA-said it "generally found few differences in health care decision making as a function of age for adolescents as young as 14 or 15 years of age."

The Carnegie Council selected seven "core" studies that

Essence of a Smile



"One small muscle contraction can turn a lover's knees to jelly, a parent into a slave, and voters into automatons," observes University of Florida psychologist Cristiana Leonard in a paper appearing in the May *Psychological Science*. How, she wondered, does a smile work its magic?

compared adolescent responses

to real and hypothetical medical

dilemmas with those of young

adults (generally aged 18 to 25).

For instance, one study sur-

veyed 75 socioeconomically di-

verse females between 13 and

21 who were having pregnancy

tests. The researchers found

that both the adolescents and

the young adults in the group

tended to consider the conse-

quences of abortion with equal

thoroughness and "quality" of

The studies did tend to turn

up one difference between ado-

lescents and young adults:

Adults more often seek inde-

pendent second opinions. But

most findings, OTA suggests,

buttress arguments for lower-

ing the legal age of consent for

medical procedures (now 18 in

*Adolescent Health—Volume 3: Crosscutting Issues in the Delivery of Health

and Related Services, Office of Tech-

nology Assessment, U.S. Congress, OTA-H-467, June 1991.

reasoning.

most states).

To find out, she made a series of images of smiles as they developed on the faces of four women. She asked observers to rate the smiles by "pleasantness," then did some digital sleuthing for the underlying factor.



By dividing the images into many pixels and monitoring the way each pixel changed from

> moment to moment, she was able to quantify the evolution of each smile over time. The most "pleasant" smiles, it turned out, were those that crested fast and then leveled off.

> People whose smiles don't follow that pleasing pattern have a genuine handicap, Leonard argues. "Kids who don't produce proper ex-

pressions often get ignored or abused by their parents," she says. "A lot of mental illness involves not being able to detect, decode, or produce these facial expressions." People with

Parkinson's disease and schizophrenia, Leonard says, often suffer from such limitations. She hopes her studies might lead to tactics for helping such people regain a facility with facial expressions.

