## Free Energy and Temperature Dependence of Electron Transfer at the Metal-Electrolyte Interface

## CHRISTOPHER E. D. CHIDSEY

The rate constant of the electron-transfer reaction between a gold electrode and an electroactive ferrocene group has been measured at a structurally well-defined metalelectrolyte interface at temperatures from 1° to 47°C and reaction free energies from -1.0 to +0.8 electron volts (eV). The ferrocene group was positioned a fixed distance from the gold surface by the self-assembly of a mixed thiol monolayer of  $(\eta^5C_5H_5)Fe(\eta^5C_5H_4)CO_2(CH_2)_{16}SH$  and  $CH_3(CH_2)_{15}SH$ . Rate constants from 1 per second  $(s^{-1})$  to  $2 \times 10^4 s^{-1}$  in 1 molar HClO<sub>4</sub> are reasonably fit with a reorganization energy of 0.85 eV and a prefactor for electron tunneling of  $7 \times 10^4 s^{-1}$  eV<sup>-1</sup>. Such self-assembled monolayers can be used to systematically probe the dependence of electron-transfer rates on distance, medium, and spacer structure, and to provide an empirical basis for the construction of interfacial devices such as sensors and transducers that utilize macroscopically directional electron-transfer reactions.

ONG-DISTANCE, INTERFACIAL ELECtron transfer plays a central role in such diverse processes as the bioenergetics of photosynthesis and respiration, the dye-sensitization of photographic materials, the collection of minority carriers at semiconductor photoelectrodes, and the direct amperometric detection of analytes with enzyme-modified electrodes. However, few well-defined experimental systems have been available to probe such fundamental issues as the dependence of interfacial electrontransfer rates on the reaction free energy, the electron-transfer distance, or the molecular structure of the interface. Li and Weaver have shown that the rate of electron transfer between an electrode and an electroactive group tethered to the surface through a carbon chain drops off exponentially with the chain length (1). Further study of that system was handicapped by the unknown and possibly heterogeneous structure of the interface. In contrast, rigid, structurally well-defined molecules containing two or more electroactive sites have been used to study intramolecular electron transfer for several years now (2).

Here, I report measurements of the interfacial electron-transfer rate between a gold electrode and an electroactive ferrocene group held a fixed separation from the electrode by a monolayer (Fig. 1). This previously characterized interface (3) is prepared by the self-assembly of alkane thiol derivatives on Au (4-7) and has been inferred (3) to have the same structure as the unsubstituted alkane thiol monolayers on Au(111)

22 FEBRUARY 1991

rates  $(k_{\rm f} \text{ and } k_{\rm b}, \text{ respectively})$  are measured over a large range of potentials, allowing the thermal activation and electron tunneling components of this prototypical interfacial electron-transfer reaction to be separately determined. The samples are prepared by incubating freshly vapor-deposited Au mirrors for 2 days in a coadsorption solution of a ferrocene-termi-

(8-11). In this report, the ferrocene sites are

shown to be kinetically homogeneous, and

the forward and backward electron-transfer

freshly vapor-deposited Au mirrors for 2 days in a coadsorption solution of a ferrocene-termialkane thiol nated 10.1 mM  $(\eta^5 C_5 H_5) Fe(\eta^5 C_5 H_4) CO_2(CH_2)_{16} SH]$  and an unsubstituted alkane thiol [0.9 mM CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>15</sub>SH] in ethanol, followed by at least 10 days in an "exchange solution" of 1 mM CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>15</sub>SH in ethanol to replace loosely bound ferrocene-terminated alkane thiols with unsubstituted thiols (3). Finally, the samples are placed in an electrochemical cell with 1 M aqueous HClO<sub>4</sub>, a Ag/(1 mM Ag-ClO<sub>4</sub>, 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>) reference electrode, and a Pt counterelectrode (3).

The reduction and subsequent reoxidation of an electroactive group at a metal electrode is written (12)

$$ox + e^{-} \underset{k_{b}}{\overset{k_{f}}{\rightleftharpoons}} red \qquad (1)$$

where ox is the oxidized form of the electroactive group (the cationic ferricenium group in this work) and red is the reduced form (the ferrocene group). The reaction free energy  $\Delta G^0$  is:

$$\Delta G^0 = e(E - E^{0'}) \tag{2}$$

where e is the electron charge, E is the

electrode potential, and  $E^{0'}$  is the formal potential of the electroactive group. When  $E = E^{0'}$ ,  $k_{\rm f} = k_{\rm b}$ . In general,  $k_{\rm f}$  is expected to increase rapidly with decreasing reaction free energy, and  $k_{\rm b}$  is expected to increase rapidly with increasing reaction free energy. Both the energetics and kinetics of the reaction can thus be conveniently varied by changing E (13).

In Fig. 2, the electrode potential is first scanned positively through  $E^{0'}$  to oxidize the ferrocene to ferricenium (positive current peaks) and then scanned negatively to reduce the ferricenium back to ferrocene (negative current peaks). At the slowest scan



Fig. 1. Inferred structure of the monolayer formed by coadsorption of a ferrocene-terminated alkane thiol (highlighted with black bonds) and an unsubstituted alkane thiol on Au(111). The conformation about the ester group is chosen to illustrate the closest approach of the ferrocene group to the electrode,  $\sim 20$  Å.



**Fig. 2.** Cyclic voltammograms of a mixed monolayer of ferrocene-terminated alkane thiol and unsubstituted alkane thiol on gold. The current *i* is normalized by the electrode area, A = 0.70cm<sup>2</sup>, and potential scan with rate v: 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (solid), 120 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (dashed), and 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (dotted). The formal potential of the ferrocene group,  $E^{0'}$ , is indicated by the vertical line. Potentials are measured relative to a Ag/(1 mMAg-ClO<sub>4</sub>, 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>) reference electrode.

AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974.

rate (10 mV  $s^{-1}$ ), the positive and negative current peaks occur at almost the same potential, indicating that the monolayer remains nearly at equilibrium with the electrode throughout the experiment. The fullwidths at half-maximum of the peaks are ~95 mV, very close to the ideal (entropically determined) value of 90 mV expected for identical, independent sites at room temperature (12). At higher scan rates, the positive and negative current peaks split apart substantially, indicating that the scan rate is now comparable to the rates of electron transfer (14). These peak splittings can be converted to a standard electron-transfer rate constant,  $k^0 = 1.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , by the formalism of Laviron (3, 15) However, the formalism assumes a particular potential dependence of the rate. The current transient following a potential step is a more direct measure of the kinetics.



If the current transients are slow enough to be accurately recorded, the potential-step experiment has three important advantages: (i) the kinetics for different potentials (that is, different reaction free energies) are not convoluted by a potential scan; (ii) the kinetic homogeneity of the sites can be judged by the functional form of the current transient (for the reaction in Eq. 1, the decay of the electron-transfer current should be a single exponential with a decay rate constant of  $k_f + k_b$ ; and (iii) the rates can be measured far from  $E^{0'}$  (that is, at large positive or negative reaction free energy).

An example of the potential-step experiment is shown in Fig. 3A. After a step from  $E^{0'}$  to a more negative potential, there is initially a short current transient due to charging the electrochemical double layer, and then the electron-transfer current decays on the time scale of seconds. Following a step back to  $E^{0'}$ , a current transient of opposite sign is observed. Semi-logarithmic plots of current transients at different final potentials are shown in Fig. 3, B to D. With the exception of the initial double-layer charging currents, the semilog plots are linear to the noise level, indicating that the electron-transfer current decays as a single exponential. The data in Fig. 3B, obtained with a low-pass filter to provide greater dynamic range, are fit by one exponential over three orders of magnitude, a good indication of the kinetic homogeneity of the ferrocene sites.

A semi-log plot of measured decay rate constants as a function of the applied electrode potential at 25°C is shown in Fig. 4A. The rate constants were determined by linear regression of unfiltered electron-transfer current transients such as those in Fig. 3, C and D. The experimental potential limits are imposed by several factors, including the decay rate of the signal, interfering electrochemical reactions, and the onset of sample degradation. Measured decay rate constants at three temperatures,  $1^{\circ}$ ,  $25^{\circ}$ , and  $47^{\circ}$ C, are shown in Fig. 4B. Near  $E^{0'}$ , the rate constant changes by a factor of 3.7 between  $1^{\circ}$  and  $47^{\circ}$ C. At more positive or negative potentials, the rate is less strongly dependent on temperature.

The lines plotted in Fig. 4 are calculated rate constants for different models of the electron-transfer kinetics. The dotted curve in Fig. 4A is a plot of the decay rate constant predicted by the Butler-Volmer relation (12), a time-honored parameterization of the potential dependence of electrochemical rates.

$$k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm b} = k^0 \exp[ + \alpha e(E - E^{0'})/(k_{\rm B}T)] + k^0 \exp[-(1 - \alpha)e(E - E^{0'})/(k_{\rm B}T)]$$
(3)

where  $k^0$  is the standard rate constant,  $\alpha$  is the transfer coefficient,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the absolute temperature. The symmetry of the data, the minimum at  $E^{0'}$ , and the slope near  $E^{0'}$  are reproduced by the curve. However, the Butler-Volmer parameterization cannot account for the curvature far from  $E^{0'}$  and does not incorporate the temperature dependence of  $k^0$  explicitly. For these attributes, we turn to the contemporary theory of electron transfer.

An extensive theory of one-electron, outer-sphere electron-transfer reactions at electrodes and between molecules has been developed over the last four decades (16-21). Three aspects of the specific reaction considered here are of particular importance: (i) because one of the partners in the reaction is a metal with a continuum of electronic states,  $k_f$  and  $k_b$  will be sums of the individual rates for each electronic state of the metal weighted by the Fermi-Dirac probability of occupancy  $(f_+)$  or vacancy  $(f_-)$  of that state;



**Fig. 3.** (**A**) Example of potential-step experiment showing current transients composed of a rapidly decaying double-layer charging current and a slower electron-transfer current. (**B** to **D**) Semi-logarithmic plots of the absolute value of the current following potential steps to various potentials (solid) and

linear regression fits to the linear portions (dashed). Potential versus  $E^{0'}$ , decay rate constant: (B) 0.0 V, 2.33 s<sup>-1</sup> (filtered with a 30-ms time constant); (C) +0.323 V, 276 s<sup>-1</sup>; and (D) -0.577 V, 2980 s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. 4.** (A) Semi-log plot of the measured decay rate constants at 25°C for four samples (symbols) and calculated decay constants from Eq. 3 with  $k^0 = 1.25 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  (dotted); and from Eq. 7 and 8 with:  $\lambda = 1.1 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\nu p = 7.48 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}$  (dot-dot-dashed);  $\lambda = 0.85 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\nu p = 6.73 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}$  (solid);  $\lambda = 0.7 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\nu p = 1.55 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}$  (dot-dashed); and  $\lambda = 0.5 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\nu p = 2.29 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}$  (dashed). (B) Semi-log plot of the measured decay rate constants at: 1°C (triangles), 25°C (circles), and 47°C (squares); and calculated decay constants from Eq. 7 and 8 with  $\lambda = 0.85 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\nu p = 6.73 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ .

v

(ii) because the electron must tunnel a long distance, the transition rate in the activated complex is expected to be determined by the electronic coupling between the metallic states of the electrode and the ferrocene group (that is, the reaction should be non-adiabatic); and (iii) because ferrocene and the ferricenium cation have similar structures, the domiant contribution to the activation barrier is expected to be the reorganization of the solvent (22), which can be treated classically.

The rate constants  $k_{\rm f}$  and  $k_{\rm b}$  can thus be written

$$k_{\rm f,b} = \sum_{i} f_{\pm} (\varepsilon_i) \upsilon_i \exp[-\Delta G_{\rm f,b}^{\ddagger} (\varepsilon_i)/(k_{\rm B}T)]$$
(4)

where  $-e\varepsilon_i$  is the energy of the *i*th metallic state. The Fermi-Dirac probabilities are

$$f_{\pm}(\varepsilon_i) = \{1 + \exp[\pm e(E - \varepsilon_i)/(k_B T)]\}^{-1}$$
(5)

The prefactor  $v_i$  is determined by the electronic coupling between the *i*th metallic state and the ferrocene group. The activation free energies are given by the Marcus relation (19)

$$\Delta G_{f,b}^{\ddagger}(\varepsilon_i) = [\lambda \pm e(\varepsilon_i - E^{0'})]^2 / (4\lambda) \quad (6)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the reorganization energy, the free energy needed to distort the atomic positions of the reactant and its solvation shell to the atomic positions of the product and its solvation shell without allowing the electron to transfer. It is assumed that the same reorganization energy applies to both the forward and backward reactions (see below).

Making the simplifying assumption that

22 FEBRUARY 1991

both the electronic coupling and the density of metallic states are independent of energy (see below), Eq. 4 can be recast as

$$k_{\rm f,b} = \upsilon \rho (4\pi \lambda k_{\rm B} T)^{1/2} \ \Omega_{\rm f,b}(\lambda, E - E^{0'}, T)$$
(7)

where  $(\upsilon \rho)ed\varepsilon$  is the prefactor for electron transfer between a ferrocene group and all metallic states in the energy interval  $ed\varepsilon$ , and  $\Omega_{\rm f,b}(\lambda, E - E^{0'}, T)$  are activation factors, which are independent of the electronic coupling, given by

$$\Omega_{\rm f,b}(\lambda, E - E^{0'}, T) = C^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx \qquad (8)$$
  
where  $C = (k_{\rm b}T/4\pi\lambda)$  and  $g(x) = 0$ 

$$\frac{\exp\left\{-\left[x-\frac{\lambda\pm e(E-E^{0'})}{k_{\rm B}T}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{C\pi}\right]\right\}}{1+\exp(x)}$$

At moderate reaction free energies and temperatures  $[e(E - E^{0'}) << \lambda, k_{\rm B}T << \lambda]$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm f}$ and  $\Omega_{\rm b}$  are both much less than unity, normally activated, and follow opposing exponential dependencies on potential. At very negative reaction free energy, the forward activation factor,  $\Omega_{\rm fr}$  is unity and temperature independent; similarly, at very positive reaction free energy,  $\Omega_{\rm b}$  is unity and temperature independent.

The various dashed and solid curves in Fig. 4A are plots of  $k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm b}$  from Eqs. 7 and 8 for several values of the reorganization energy  $\lambda$ . In all cases the product  $\nu \rho$  is adjusted to give the measured decay rate constant of 2.5 s<sup>-1</sup> at  $E^{0'}$ . The curve with  $\lambda = 0.85$  eV and  $\nu \rho = 6.73 \times 10^4$  s<sup>-1</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>

approximates the observed values quite well. The plateau behavior at high driving force is due to the continuum of states in the metal, and is in contrast to the case of electron transfer between two molecular sites, where, at high driving force, the rate decreases as the reaction free energy is made more negative [the Marcus-inverted region (2)]. Curves obtained from the classical Marcus theory neglecting the continuum of metallic states do not fit the data at very positive and very negative potentials. The parameters that give the best fit in Fig. 4A are used to generate the curves for 1°, 25°, and 47°C plotted in Fig. 4B. These curves reproduce well the experimental temperature dependence at  $E^{0'}$  and the decrease of that dependence at both more positive and more negative potentials.

From the agreement of the data and the theoretical curves in Fig. 4B, Eqs. 7 and 8 are apparently adequate to describe this interfacial electron-transfer reaction. In particular, two of the assumptions made now appear to be reasonable: (i) the reorganization energy for the forward and backward reactions are apparently quite similar, or the data would not be as symmetric as they are; and (ii) the product of the tunneling rate in the activated complex and the density of states is apparently approximately independent of the energy of the metallic state, or the limiting rate constants would be different for the positive and negative branches in Fig. 4B.

From the value obtained for  $v\rho$ , one can estimate a coupling of about  $10^{-6}$  eV between the metallic states and the ferrocene group (23, 24), a small value that justifies treating the reaction as nonadiabatic. The distance dependence of this coupling will be described elsewhere (25). The reorganization energy of 0.85 eV determined here compares reasonably with the calculated solvent reorganization energy of 0.94 eV (26), indicating that, as expected, solvation is the dominant contributor to the activation.

Self-assembly of monolayers provides a dramatic improvement in the type of structures available at interfaces, offering the opportunity to control the kinetics of interfaelectron cial transfer. Self-assembled structures should allow novel interfacial chemistry to be explored. For instance, electroactive groups positioned so that they cannot be highly solvated could mimic, at a macroscopic interface, the weakly activated and directional electron-transfer reactions that are the key to efficient charge separation in natural photosynthetic membranes.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

<sup>1.</sup> T. T.-T. Li and M. J. Weaver, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6107 (1984).

- 2. N. Liang, J. R. Miller, G. L. Closs, ibid. 112, 5353 (1990), and references therein.
- C. E. D. Chidsey, C. R. Bertozzi, T. M. Putvinski, A. M. Mujsce, *ibid.*, p. 4301.
   R. G. Nuzzo and D. L. Allara, *ibid.* 105, 4481
- (1983).M. D. Porter, T. B. Bright, D. L. Allara, C. E. D. 5.
- Chidsey, *ibid.* **109**, 3559 (1987). C. D. Bain *et al.*, *ibid.* **111**, 321 (1989).
- C. E. D. Chidsey and D. N. Loiacono, Langmuir 6, 682 (1990).
- Strong and G. M. Whitesides, ibid. 4, 546 8. L. (1988).
- C. E. D. Chidsey, G.-Y. Liu, P. Rowntree, G. Scoles, J. Chem. Phys. 91, 4421 (1989).
   R. G. Nuzzo, L. H. Dubois, D. L. Allara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 558 (1990).
- 11. R. G. Nuzzo, E. M. Korenic, L. H. Dubois, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 767 (1990).
- 12. A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications (Wiley, New York, 1980).
- 13. Due to the large separation, the interfacial potential gradient is calculated to occur almost entirely between the electroactive group and the electrode. As a result, no double-layer corrections are needed in the kinetic analysis.
- 14. The intrinsic time constant of the cell and the uncompensated cell resistance are measured to be 3 µs and 4 ohms, respectively, by an ac impedance technique, ruling out resistive artifacts in Figs. 2 to
- 15. E. Laviron, J. Electroanal. Chem. 101, 19 (1979).
- B. Lathon, J. Electromatic Circuit 202, 19 (2019).
   R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 679 (1965).
   V. G. Levich, in Advances in Electrochemistry and
- 17. Electrochemical Engineering, P. Delahay, Ed. (Inter-science, New York, NY, 1966), vol. 4, pp. 249.
- 18. J. Ulstrup and J. Jortner, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 4358 (1975). 19. M. D. Newton and N. Sutin, Annu. Rev. Phys.

- Chem. 35, 437 (1984).
- 20. M. A. Ratner, J. Phys. Chem. 94, 4877 (1990), and references therein.
- 21. W. Schmickler, J. Electroanal. Chem. 204, 31 (1986); J. D. Morgan and P. G. Wolynes, J. Phys. Chem. 91, 874 (1987); L. D. Zusman, Chem. Phys. 112, 53 (1987); A. K. Mishra and S. K. Rangara-jan, J. Phys. Chem. 91, 3417 (1987); K. L. Sebas-tian, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 5056 (1989).
  22. T. Gennett, D. F. Milner, M. J. Weaver, J. Phys.
- Chem. 89, 2787 (1985).
- If a tunneling decay length of 1 Å is assumed, then 23. the number of metal atoms within tunneling distance is of order 10. If each metal atom contributes one state to a band of order 10 eV wide, then the effective density of states  $\rho$  is  ${\sim}1~eV^{-1}.$  With up  $\approx 10^{-5}~s^{-1}eV^{-1},$  the effective per state u, is  ${\sim}10^{-5}$  $s^{-1}$ ; v is given by (24)

$$\upsilon = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\hbar} \frac{|V|^2}{\sqrt{\lambda k_{\rm B} T}}$$

- where  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant over  $2\pi$ . The resulting electronic coupling *V* is of order  $10^{-6}$  eV ( $10^{-2}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). N. R. Kestner, J. Logan, J. Jortner, *J. Phys. Chem.* **78**, 2148 (1974); B. S. Brunschwig, J. Logan, M. D. Newton, N. Sutin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **102**, 5798 24. (1980); R. A. Marcus and P. Siders, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 622 (1982).
- C. E. D. Chidsey and T. M. Putvinski, unpublished 25. results.
- The solvent reorganization energy is calculated from 26. the dielectric continuum model (16) for ferrocene with a 3.8 Å solvation radius (22) in water 20 Å from a metallic electrode. The calculated value may be high because it assumes that the ion is bathed in an infinite bath of water, whereas the real ion is flanked by a 20-Å-thick sheet of hydrocarbon.

30 August 1990; accepted 12 November 1990

## Charge Separation in a Reaction Center Incorporating **Bacteriochlorophyll for Photoactive Bacteriopheophytin**

CHRISTINE KIRMAIER, DALE GAUL, RANDY DEBEY, DEWEY HOLTEN, **CRAIG C. SCHENCK** 

Site-directed mutagenic replacement of M subunit Leu<sup>214</sup> by His in the photosynthetic reaction center (RC) from Rhodobacter sphaeroides results in incorporation of a bacteriochlorophyll molecule (BChl) in place of the native bacteriopheophytin (BPh) electron acceptor. Evidence supporting this conclusion includes the ground-state absorption spectrum of the (M)L214H mutant, pigment and metal analyses, and time-resolved optical experiments. The genetically modified RC supports transmembrane charge separation from the photoexcited BChl dimer to the primary quinone through the new BChl molecule, but with a reduced quantum yield of 60 percent (compared to 100 percent in wild-type RCs). These results have important implications for the mechanism of charge separation in the RC, and rationalize the choice of (bacterio)pheophytins as electron acceptors in a variety of photosynthetic systems.

HARGE SEPARATION IN THE RC complex from photosynthetic bacteria (Fig. 1) involves the reduction of the BPh electron acceptor associated with the L polypeptide within a few picoseconds of excitation of the BChl dimer, P. Subse-

quently, the BPh<sub>L</sub> anion transfers an electron in a few hundred picoseconds to the primary quinone  $(Q_A)$ , forming  $P^+Q_A^-$ . In this way, charges are stably separated across the membrane with unity quantum yield, even at cryogenic temperatures (1). The crystal structures of RCs from two bacterial species have revealed this protein complex to be highly symmetric: BPh<sub>L</sub>, the BChl<sub>L</sub> monomer, QA, and the L polypeptide folding pattern obey approximate  $C_2$  symmetry



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the cofactor arrangement in the bacterial reaction center. Symbols are defined in the text.

with respect to BPh<sub>M</sub>, BChl<sub>M</sub>, Q<sub>B</sub>, and the folding of the M polypeptide (2-4). Both BChl<sub>L</sub> and BChl<sub>M</sub> are in van der Waals contact with a BChl ring of P and ~5 Å from their neighboring BPh<sub>L</sub> and BPh<sub>M</sub>, respectively. Determination of the role of  $BChl_L$  in the initial reduction of  $BPh_L$  and elucidation of the molecular factors responsible for the unidirectional asymmetry of charge separation to BPh<sub>L</sub> are critical issues in current efforts toward a microscopic understanding of light-induced charge separation in photosynthetic RCs.

A His residue is located adjacent to the Mg<sup>2+</sup> of each of the four BChls. The crystal structures have generally identified these His residues to be ligands to the Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions, although some exceptions to this have been reported (3). The BPhs lack a central  $Mg^{2+}$ ion and do not have metal-coordinating ligands from the protein over the macrocycle centers. A conserved Leu on the M subunit (residue 214 in Rb. sphaeroides) is located roughly over the center of the BPh<sub>L</sub> chromophore (4). We have replaced this Leu with the potential metal-coordinating residue His in a Rb. sphaeroides stain derived from wild-type (wt) WS8 (5).

Comparison of the ground-state absorption spectrum of RCs isolated from the (M)L214H mutant (Fig. 2, dashed) with the spectrum of wt RCs (solid) reveals that while the 865-nm band of P is unperturbed, several other key absorption bands are altered. In the difference spectrum, (M)L214H RCs are distinguished from wt by the appearance of a  $Q_Y$  band near 785 nm, stronger Q<sub>x</sub> absorption at 600 nm, and less absorption in the BPh bands near 760 and 545 nm. These changes are consistent with the replacement of BPh<sub>L</sub> with BChl. In this regard, all available spectroscopic information on tetrapyrroles makes it difficult to reconcile the mutation-induced spectral changes as representing merely a shift of the  $BPh_L Q_X$  band from 545 to 600 nm due to

C. Kirmaier and D. Holten, Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130. D. Gaul, R. DeBey, C.C. Schenck, Department of Bio-chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO

<sup>80523.</sup>