

Thinking About Technology

The Whale and the Reactor. A Search for Limits in an Age of High Technology. LANGDON WINNER. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1986. xiv, 200 pp. \$17.50.

Through technology, suggests Langdon Winner, we are making a world for each other to live in. To treat technology as exempt from political or moral evaluation, as a universal good or a product of natural evolution, is to evade the responsibility we share for the way the world works. Winner is not without admiration for the achievements of the modern world, nor does he blame technology for its evils. But he is concerned with how our world works to deprive us of a sense of autonomous creativity, to threaten us with injury, to reinforce political domination over us, and to cut us apart from nature—both our own and that around us. Though it is only a means, not a prime mover, technology tends to obscure from us our responsibility for its workings. As Winner wrote in an earlier book (*Autonomous Technology*, MIT Press, 1978), even the critics of modern technology tend to see it as beyond human control, to forget that real people are creating its effects as they make it and determine its uses. Particular technologies, moreover, may have built-in biases their users tend to forget.

Though nuclear reactors, computers, genetic engineering, toxic waste, and a variety of other specific issues are broached in the book, Winner's focus is on the way we think about technology. This is perhaps clearest in "On not hitting the tar-baby," the eighth (and to my mind the best) of the book's ten essays. Here Winner is concerned with the concept of "risk" and its incorporation in the practice of "risk assessment." Evaluation of risk has become "the most prevalent way our society explores the possibility of limiting technology" (p. 138). But, Winner suggests, it is a curious notion, not least in the way it distances us from clear and present dangers. In the first place, consistently high rates of problems or injuries are risks only from the points of view of particular individuals; they are statistically predictable, even certain, for society at large. Second, the language of risk suggests that those unwilling to face risk lack fortitude, are perhaps cowards, while risk-takers are brave. Third, both everyday and official usage exaggerate the extent of control each of us has over how many dangers we face. "In contrast to the concepts of 'danger,' 'hazard,' or 'peril,' the notion of 'risk' tends to imply that the chance of harm

in question is accepted willingly in the expectation of gain" (p. 145). Risk, in short, is a way of thinking about the dangers and proper limits of technology that systematically obscures central issues. As a major frame of discourse and policy-making, risk assessment is inadequate to the real challenges of modern technology.

Winner takes up a range of other topics in a more or less consistent manner. His aim is to reach a broad audience, not dwell on fine points or underlying theory for the benefit of specialists. His references come from a wide range of political thought as well as modern discourse on technology. His touch is light, his style easy; he aims for the quick insight rather than philosophical penetration or comprehensive coverage.

In "Building the better mousetrap," the "appropriate technology" movement is subjected to the same sort of conceptual examination as risk assessment. Here the conclusion is that "its true purpose was not to produce energy from renewable resources, but to generate the hope of social renewal from the winds of despair" (p. 70; Winner never misses a chance to turn a clever phrase). This follows from his more general critique of "industrial society" theories and other accounts of life since the industrial revolution that "obliterate distinctions between technology and other social phenomena" and "arrive at conclusions that deny any chance of practical remedy."

With less sympathy, Winner then looks at the ideology of "decentralization," briskly critiquing the view that this is either a tendency in current technological change or in itself a solution to technological problems. Consumerism has been substituted for more fundamental utopian values, Winner suggests, but he also reveals a general impotence in most modern discussions of human values ("Brandy, cigars and human values"). One of the more detailed essays ("Mythinformation") shows the naiveté (as well as hubris) of the claims of various computer boosters that their technology is somehow a key to the renewal of participatory democracy.

Through nearly all the book Winner goes out of his way to avoid anything that might appear as an "anti-technological" bias. He seems self-consciously to pull his punches, and in doing so perhaps reveals as much as in any of his explicit statements about the difficulties of mounting a critical discourse on technology in modern culture. Apparently, and perhaps correctly, he is worried that

strong criticism will reflect more on the author than on the object of attack. But in the last (and title) essay, he states his feelings more directly. He recounts how moved he was when he visited a nuclear power plant under construction at a beautiful point on the California coast near his old hometown. Just as he saw the man-made monstrosity, his attention was drawn to a whale spouting offshore. The very presence of the reactor, he says, "is a tribute to those who cherish power and profit over everything in nature and our common humanity" (p. 176). One is almost relieved to see the mask of polite detachment drop.

Yet, Winner's talk straight from the heart suggests another problem, one that points back to his introductory chapters. There Winner argues that technology must be understood to have a politics, even if culture and certain selfish interests conspire to keep it hidden in modern society. We must wonder, accordingly, why he avoids actually stating any politics of high technology. He rests content to convince us that our technological innovations have social implications beyond inexorable progress. He hopes to prod us to think on the matter, but he doesn't really try to give us any tools with which to do so. He offers no basis for establishing where limits should be drawn, and only some very general suggestions as to why we find it so hard to think sensibly and acutely on these fundamental issues. Winner thus has pulled his theory, like his punches, from this book. But he has not failed to make us see more sharply the politics and the confusions in approaches to technology we normally take as natural and clear.

CRAIG CALHOUN
Department of Sociology,
University of North Carolina,
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Phage λ

A Genetic Switch. Gene Control and Phage λ. MARK PTASHNE. Cell Press and Blackwell Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, 1986. x, 128 pp., illus. Paper, \$16.95.

Mark Ptashne's objective in composing *A Genetic Switch* is to provide a concise description of the mechanism that has evolved to regulate the growth of bacteriophage λ in its host, *Escherichia coli*. Upon infecting a permissive bacterial cell, λ is forced to choose between two developmental pathways, lysis and lysogeny. In the former case the virus pirates the vital capacities of the bacterium, multiplies exponentially, and lyses the cell. In the latter case the genetic blueprint (DNA) of the virus becomes inte-

grated into the chromosome of the bacterium and is propagated passively until the lysis-lysogeny decision is reversed.

After introducing the basic underpinnings of gene expression (transcription of DNA into RNA and the concept of regulation via DNA-binding proteins), Ptashne proceeds to describe the components of the system (operators, repressors, promoters, and RNA polymerase) and explain how they interact to form an on-off switch. The successes of recent x-ray diffraction studies of the two repressor molecules (CI and Cro) pave the way for a detailed description of how proteins recognize and bind to specific DNA sequences (the so-called "strong forces" of the switch). Likewise, the clarity of existing descriptions of how the CI repressor interacts with itself, and with the host-encoded RNA polymerase, leads to a smooth exposition on the importance of specific protein-protein interactions ("weak forces") in the construction and modulation of the switch. These are crisp, clear illustrations of science at its best.

Ptashne completes his description of the lysis-lysogeny switch with a chapter outlining the events that surround its actual setting. The influences of the CII and CIII regulatory proteins are described clearly, although perhaps from a somewhat limited perspective. Along with the protease-sensitive domain that connects the amino- and carboxyl-terminal domains of the CI repressor, CII and CIII are the "sensors" that λ uses to evaluate the physiological state of its host. Though the modes and consequences of interaction of these regulatory proteins are not fully understood (particularly, in the case of CIII), they may be a more fundamental component of the switch than is suggested by this chapter. The passage describing the events that follow activation of the switch is a lucid synopsis of a remarkable series of accomplishments in the fields of bacterial genetics and molecular biology. One comes away from this section with awe for the exquisitely logical manner in which λ marshals the physical forces of its environment to form and follow either of its orderly pathways of existence.

Our understanding of how the lysis-lysogeny switch is constructed, modulated, and interpreted is sufficiently complete that the processes can be described in simple terms. Ptashne succeeds in this effort by writing in a direct and economical style and by utilizing an effective series of schematic drawings. This is an excellent book that offers a splendid opportunity for the uninitiated scientist to appreciate the logic of genetic regulation.

Biologists have long regarded the organization of living systems as resulting from

differential gene expression. It is satisfying that a quarter of a century of genetic and biochemical dissection of bacteriophage λ has led to a sharp focus on the virtues of an on-off switch. As Ptashne suggests, hierarchies of binary switches could be used to regulate the more complicated developmental programs of multicellular organisms. That a bacterial virus has evolved such a switch is a fact ripe for appreciation by all scientists.

STEVEN LANIER MCKNIGHT
*Department of Embryology,
Carnegie Institution of Washington,
Baltimore, MD 21210*

A Cellular Structure

The Cytoskeleton. An Introductory Survey. M. SCHLIWA. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. xii, 326 pp., illus. \$78. Cell Biology Monographs, vol. 13.

In *The Cytoskeleton*, Manfred Schliwa has produced a readable and comprehensive review of the filamentous networks that provide the structural framework of the cytoplasm of eukaryotic cells. Schliwa begins with an introduction to the characteristics of each of the three main cytoskeletal structures (actin-myosin filaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments), including lucid accounts of the known biochemistry of each major filament subunit and associated components. After a brief description of filament assembly dynamics, he then confronts the larger questions of cytoplasmic organization: How are the cytoskeletal polymers arranged three-dimensionally? What are the interactions between the major cytoskeletal components? How do these internal cytoplasmic structures interact with membranes and extracellular components? And in light of the foregoing considerations, what is the cytoplasm really like?

Discussion of each question is accompanied by extensive citation of the original literature. Indeed, the book ends with a 79-page list of references that will itself be of great value to both the novice and the specialist. In addition, the presentation is enhanced by 88 beautifully reproduced and well-chosen micrographs.

Moreover, with reviews of the relevant literature Schliwa has combined valuable critical judgments concerning individual experimental contributions and interpretations. For example, though acknowledging that universal acceptance has not been achieved for the concept of a microtrabecular matrix encompassing not only the known filament proteins but also a large repertoire of biochemically undefined subunits,

Schliwa explains why he believes it to be correct in substance if not detail. Similarly, considering Lazarides's hypothesis that intermediate filaments are mechanical integrators of space, he concludes that this is much too general a description.

In some regards the volume is at both its best and its weakest when the larger questions are confronted. This reviewer found the sections that attempted such confrontation all too brief. Just how fluid and crowded is the cytoplasm? Are cytoplasmic components such as ribosomes really attached to a skeletal substructure? These issues deserve treatment at greater depth.

It is also disappointing that topics that have seen explosive growth since early 1985 are not included. Dynamic instability of microtubules and how it can establish cell-cycle-dependent changes in microtubule function are barely mentioned. In the section on membrane-cytoskeletal interactions, the now well-documented movement of vesicles along microtubules in axons is not considered at all.

For what audience is this book appropriate? It will serve as a reference source for anyone (specialist or novice) with an interest in the cytoskeleton and the organization of the cytoplasm. Moreover, in conjunction with a standard cell biology textbook to fill the occasional gap and original literature to provide the most recent results, it could form an excellent core reference for a graduate course on cytoplasmic structure. In both of these regards, it currently has no rival.

DON W. CLEVELAND
*Department of Biological Chemistry,
Johns Hopkins University,
School of Medicine,
Baltimore, MD 21205*

Sex Ratio Theory

Theoretical Studies in Sex Ratio Evolution. SAMUEL KARLIN and SABIN LESSARD. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986. xvi, 314 pp., illus. \$47.50; paper, \$14.95. Monographs in Population Biology, 22.

The main result of sex ratio theory is that, under population-wide random mating and other simplifying assumptions, the primary sex ratio (the proportion of males at birth) should be 1/2. If this were not so, a newly conceived member of the rare sex would, on average, have more offspring than one of the common sex, since each offspring has one mother and one father; thus there is frequency-dependent selection in favor of parents producing the rare sex. This argument was partially formulated by Darwin in the first edition of his book on sexual selection