

Problems with Prostaglandin Measurement?

The World Leader in PG Measurement can help!

The most complete line of kits, antisera and standards for prostaglandin measurement.

Our Technical Service Staff is as near as your telephone **1-800-343-1346**. Our worldwide distributors are in every major country.

Prostaglandins

Complete Kits	Catalog No.	Size
Prostaglandin E ₂ ³ H-RIA	SG 6001	100 tubes
Prostaglandin F _{2α} ³ H-RIA	SG 6002	100 tubes
Thromboxane B ₂ ³ H-RIA	SG 6003	100 tubes
6-Keto Prostaglandin F _{1α} ³ H-RIA	SG 6004	100 tubes
Prostaglandin D ₂ ³ H-RIA	SG 6005	100 tubes
13,14-Dihydro-15-Keto Prostaglandin F _{2α} ³ H-RIA	SG 6006	100 tubes
5-HETE ³ H-RIA	SG 6010	100 tubes
12-HETE ³ H-RIA	SG 6011	100 tubes
15-HETE ³ H-RIA	SG 6012	100 tubes
Prostaglandin E ₁ ³ H-RIA	SG 6013	100 tubes
Prostaglandin Endoperoxidase ¹²⁵ I-IRMA	SG 6206	100 tubes
Thromboxane B ₂ ¹²⁵ I-RIA	SG 6221	100 tubes
6-Keto Prostaglandin F _{1α} ¹²⁵ I-RIA	SG 6222	100 tubes

Antisera to

Prostaglandin E ₂	SG 1001	20 ml
Prostaglandin F _{2α}	SG 1002	20 ml
Thromboxane B ₂	SG 1003	20 ml
6-Keto-Prostaglandin F _{1α}	SG 1004	20 ml
Prostaglandin D ₂	SG 1005	10 ml
13,14-Dihydro-15-Keto Prostaglandin F _{2α}	SG 1006	10 ml
Prostaglandin B	SG 1008	10 ml
Prostaglandin A	SG 1009	10 ml
12-HETE	SG 1011	10 ml
15-HETE	SG 1012	10 ml

Call to inquire about the availability of immuno-histochemical grade antisera: inside Mass. 617-265-6004, outside Mass. **1-800-343-1346**.

Standards

Catalog No.	Size
Prostaglandin A ₁	SG 2202 1 mg
Prostaglandin A ₂	SG 2204 1 mg
Prostaglandin B ₁	SG 2206 1 mg
Prostaglandin B ₂	SG 2208 1 mg
Prostaglandin D ₂	SG 2224 1 mg
Prostaglandin E ₁	SG 2210 1 mg
Prostaglandin E ₂	SG 2212 1 mg
Prostaglandin F _{1α}	SG 2214 1 mg
Prostaglandin F _{2α}	SG 2216 1 mg
6-Keto Prostaglandin F _{1α}	SG 2218 1 mg
Prostaglandin I ₂ (Na salt) (Prostacyclin)	SG 2220 1 mg
Thromboxane B ₂	SG 2222 1 mg
13,14-Dihydro-15-Keto Prostaglandin F _{2α}	SG 2226 1 mg
13,14-Dihydro-15-Keto Prostaglandin E ₂	SG 2228 1 mg
5-HETE	SG 2230 0.1 mg
12-HETE	SG 2232 0.1 mg
15-HETE	SG 2234 0.1 mg



Seragen, Inc.
54 Clayton Street
Boston, MA 02122

ever, by almost every witness at the 1979 hearings and, mercifully, that bill eventually died in committee.

E. F. HAMMEL

M. C. KRUPKA

K. D. WILLIAMSON, JR.

Analysis and Assessment Division,
Los Alamos National Laboratory,
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

References

1. D. Epple and L. Lave, *Bell J. Econ.* 11, 617 (1980).
2. —, *Am. Sci.* 70, 286 (1982).
3. S. Ben-David, A. V. Kneese, W. D. Schulze, *A Study of the Ethical Foundations of Benefit Cost Analysis Techniques* (Department of Economics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, 1979).
4. U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, Subcommittee on Energy and Power, *The Helium Energy Act of 1979* (96th Congress, 1st session, 11 June 1979) (Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1979).

Bob Ormes: An Appreciation

In the obituary for Robert V. Ormes (6 July, p. 44), mention is made of his "solid personal contribution to the development of a standard style guide for biology journals." This in no way conveys the importance or extent of that contribution.

For 25 years, Bob was a member of the Council of Biology Editors (CBE), and served on its Committee Form and Style for 12 years. This committee was responsible for the preparation of the first *Style Manual for Biological Journals* in 1960. The second edition was published in 1964, and the third, under the new and current title *CBE Style Manual*, was published in 1972. Bob contributed substantively to the content and format of those first three editions.

From 1965 to 1972, I had the privilege and pleasure of working with Bob on the committee preparing the third edition of the style guide. His vast knowledge of the English language was reflected in the excellence of those sections of the manual dealing with vocabulary, word usage, punctuation, abbreviations and symbols, typographical conventions, and proofing. Seemingly unresolvable differences by committee members with respect to etymology and syntax would be agreed upon after a reasoned explanation by Bob and his reference to the proper source for verification. His calm, thoughtful, and considerate demeanor provided the committee with a sense of scholarship and dignity that enhanced its labors. "A gentleman and a scholar" are terms that fit Bob Ormes perfectly.

During the past decade Bob was rarely involved in CBE activities, but those of

us who worked with him years ago know the impact he had in helping develop the style standard for biological publications. This may have been just one small facet in a long and distinguished career, but readers deserve to know the role that Bob Ormes played in influencing and improving the quality of scientific publications in general, as well as that of *Science* in particular.

PHILIP L. ALTMAN

Council of Biology Editors, Inc.,
9650 Rockville Pike,
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Campus Planning

Thomas Bender's review (18 May, p. 715) of my book *Campus: An American Planning Tradition* (1) makes some interesting points, but contains a misrepresentation of one of the work's themes. According to Bender, "[Turner] declares that . . . American campuses are 'cities in microcosm,' " and he proceeds to point out "several problems with this thesis." In fact, my book makes no such simple equation of campuses with cities. In the preface, I suggest that a common trait of American campus planning has been "the *conception* of colleges and universities as communities in themselves—in effect, as cities in microcosm" [emphasis added]. Examples of this trait are described throughout the book, as in Thomas Jefferson's vision of the University of Virginia as an "academic village," the frequent planning of universities around 1900 as "cities of learning," and attitudes of more recent designers, such as Harvard's J. L. Sert, who said in 1963 that "a university campus is a laboratory for urban design." I discuss at some length whether this "urban model" is appropriate, and I point out that whereas the campus can, indeed, be seen as a city in many respects, it nevertheless is "not exactly a city." My remark, in the conclusion of the book, that "as a kind of city in microcosm, [the American campus] has been shaped by the desire to create an ideal community" must therefore be read in the context of my entire treatment of this theme.

PAUL VENABLE TURNER

Department of Art,
Stanford University,
Stanford, California 94305

References

1. P. V. Turner, *Campus: An American Planning Tradition* (Architectural History Foundation, New York, and MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1984).