

While this is understandable, it has the effect of distorting the efforts of the faculty as they tend to "migrate" toward the fields attracting support, often at the expense of more "classical" disciplines that form the base on which new areas have to be built. Some of this is inevitable and already occurs as a consequence of federal government support. In the case of large industrial grants it may be carried to an extreme because of the need for eventual profit on the part of the donor.

Another problem is that funding from industrial donors rarely is large enough to support the work proposed in the area of the grant and hence requires a significant input of university funds. This further diverts the efforts of the university toward particular fields. This leveraging effect is much greater than that exerted by federal support, since the federal government generally pays a greater share of the actual research costs.

Despite all the difficulties attendant upon industrial support, it forms an important part of the "mix" of support for university research and graduate education. Acceptance of such support in large amounts, however, requires careful university leadership to avoid major pitfalls.

HOWARD K. BIRNBAUM

Department of Metallurgy and Mining Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana 61801

I would certainly agree with Abelson that the mission of industrial research is to make a profit for the industry and that this would on the face of it appear to be in conflict with the basic aims of academic research; however, a situation has developed within the academic biomedical research establishment that is already dangerous to scholarly activity and the traditional pursuit of knowledge. I speak of the problems occasioned by the pinch in federal funding for research. The effect of this pinch in the past 5 years has led many established investigators to pursue "fundable" projects, in areas that are "trendy" and have the glow of immediate application to publicized areas of human concern. This freezes out the smaller scale research activities of individual faculty members, as well as those of the younger investigator, and has already led to abandonment of research projects that should have been done.

Abelson writes of a concern about a loss of the ability of universities to carry out their essential function. In my opinion, this has already occurred in the economic scramble by scientists and universities alike to obtain funding for re-

search and to neglect or place in a secondary position the mission for teaching and education. The search for truth should not be influenced by pressures, political or economic.

JAN E. LEESTMA

Department of Pathology, Children's Memorial Hospital, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois 60614

Investigating Solar Activity

Richard A. Kerr's Research News article "Sun, weather, and climate: A connection" (3 Sept., p. 917), reporting the conclusions of a National Research Council (NRC) panel in their report *Solar Variability, Weather, and Climate*, raises fundamental questions about what methods of investigation to use in the environmental sciences. The search for evidence about the sun's effect on climate has a long and frustrating history, doubtless not avoiding the "pitfalls of overenthusiasm and sloppy statistical analysis," although pioneering work in the natural sciences has often been so described. Even a vain search for evidence of the sunspot cycle in tree rings led the astronomer A. E. Douglas to invent tree ring dating.

The NRC panel, however, calls for a shift in emphasis "from the traditional pattern of *searching for evidence* [of a correlation] to a more directed effort at *understanding the physics* of the atmosphere and the solar-terrestrial system as a whole." This brings to mind Lord Acton's dictum "The only thing man learns from history is that man learns nothing from history." The complexity of physicochemical processes encountered in natural phenomena, whether in the atmosphere, the earth's mantle, or its core, is such that great progress has usually come from widely varied observations and studies of their interrelationships rather than by the route recommended by the NRC panel.

Modern plate tectonics originated with a search by Alfred Wegener and geologists before and after him for some explanation of the relationships between the stratigraphical, paleontological, and tectonic records in various continents and from the close fit of different continents. Soon after Wegener's book *The Origin of Continents and Oceans* was published, a general consensus among earth scientists developed that such relationships might well be purely coincidental, and that Wegener's views should be rejected so long as no mechanism could be demonstrated to provide a physical

explanation for continental drift and related phenomena. Only a small number of field geologists remained convinced of the validity of Wegener's conclusions, but their arguments were rejected as arising from overenthusiasm and sloppy analyses. It took nearly half a century before quantitative geophysical data became available and were accepted as proof for Wegener's theory. Significantly, the first such decisive quantitative evidence that continents had moved apart came from the study of paleomagnetism, not from the seemingly more direct approach at understanding the physics of the processes likely to be responsible for continental drift and plate tectonics.

It is therefore conceivable that the use of quantitative models for the study of possible interactions between solar activity and the terrestrial atmosphere, instead of continuing the search for evidence, may delay an understanding of solar-climatic relationships by many decades. No doubt correlation can always mislead, but scientific advance often involves search in out-of-the-way places. It should also be kept in mind that "sloppy statistical analysis" tends to obscure a signal rather than create a fictitious one.

An interesting example can be found in the study of radioactive nuclear species such as carbon-14, produced by cosmic rays, which has provided new ways of learning about solar activity in the more distant past (1). Also, carbon-14 measurements are relevant to the time scale of climatic variations in the past: nothing is known about solar activity during the time of the most dramatic change on record at the end of the last ice age. We urge that, despite the policy of the NRC report, the widest possible approach be taken in the study of solar-terrestrial relationships rather than confining it to traditional patterns of meteorological research.

S. K. RUNCORN

School of Physics, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, England

H. E. SUESS

Department of Chemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla 92093

Reference

1. H. E. Suess, *Endeavour* N.S. 4, 113 (1980).

Erratum: An error appeared in the first sentence of the last paragraph of the report "Intracellular recordings from cochlear outer hair cells" by P. Dallos *et al.* (5 Nov., p. 584). The correct version is as follows. "Inner hair cells appear to operate at about one-half the membrane potential of outer hair cells. The latter resemble supporting cells in this respect."