

## Neutrinos after 50 Years

**Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics.** Papers from a conference, Erice, Italy, June 1980. ETTORE FIORINI, Ed. Plenum, New York, 1982. xii, 422 pp., illus. \$49.50. Ettore Majorana International Science Series (Physical Sciences), vol. 12.

In honor of the 50th anniversary of Pauli's neutrino hypothesis, the International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics in 1980 set aside its opening session to recollect the early days of the field and review its history. Other sessions were devoted to the latest results from enormous detectors at modern high-energy accelerators, at atomic reactors, and deep underground, but the first set of talks reminded us how it all began as a "desperate remedy" for the conflict between the continuous spectrum of beta rays and the conservation of energy. Particle inflation had not hit physics in those far off days when not even the neutron had been discovered, and it was only with the greatest reluctance that Pauli postulated the existence of a new particle; unlike Bohr, he preferred doing this to giving up one of the fundamental laws of physics.

Pauli was correct, but many ironies lay ahead before the full story emerged. The particle invented to save one conservation law went on to create its own revolution 25 years later by violating another, the law of symmetry under reflections. Whereas Pauli hesitated to postulate even one new particle, the neutrino is now known to come in at least two distinct varieties, and probably three. The particle that interacts so weakly with matter that it cannot harm a single human being required the full panoply of work related to the atomic bomb before it could be detected. Even today, the neutrino is starting off its second 50 years by threatening to break more of the rules it ought to obey.

But this behavior is not without value. The original neutrino hypothesis led to the Fermi theory of beta decay, which over the years has had a great influence on the development of modern theories of all interactions, not only the weak ones. The lack of reflection symmetry brought about a profound clarification of the Fermi theory and of the nature of the neutrino itself. The existence of more than one kind of neutrino paved the way for the drawing of a parallel between leptons and quarks, and neutrino neutral currents confirmed the brilliant predictions of the unified theory of Glashow, Salam, and Weinberg. Every breakdown

seems to have opened up another new vista for physics.

All these themes appear in one guise or another throughout the proceedings of the 1980 neutrino conference. The book opens with a delightful account of the early days by Peierls, who takes us from 1930 to 1945. Reines then describes the debate on atomic bombs versus reactors as the better source of neutrinos, and he explains why he and Cowan chose the latter to make the first observation of the neutrino in 1956. Pontecorvo, that wizard of ideas, then tells how he came to propose the  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  reaction and how incorrect accounts of the first such experiment carried out by Davis using reactor neutrinos led him to invent the notion of neutrino oscillations. Ten years later, in 1967, he revived the notion as a result of

correct accounts of similar experiments by Davis on solar neutrinos.

The decade of the 1970's was the era of accelerator neutrinos, and many papers describe the results of experiments probing the interactions of neutrinos with matter and the structure of matter itself. The confirmation of the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg theory is laid out in great detail, as is the study of nucleon structure functions. About the only thing missing from the book is a good account of neutrinos and modern cosmology. Nevertheless, there is enough material in these proceedings on the physics and the history of the neutrinos.

S. PETER ROSEN

Physics Division,  
National Science Foundation,  
Washington, D.C. 20550

## Angiosperm Orders and Families

**An Integrated System of Classification of Flowering Plants.** ARTHUR CRONQUIST. Columbia University Press, New York, 1981. xviii, 2162 pp., illus. \$100.

There has been a crying need for a comprehensive handbook covering all the orders and families of flowering plants. John Hutchinson's *Families of Flowering Plants* (ed. 3, 1973) follows a classification that is no longer acceptable to the critical student and is even less so to the professional taxonomist. Nor does it include much information apart from gross morphology. Engler's *Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien* (ed. 12, by H. Melchior, 1964) is also somewhat out of date and rather limited, although it does present the subdivisions of families and the genera in a skeleton survey.

Thus the publication of Cronquist's new book is welcome. It is the only volume that, within the frame of a modern system of classification, gives comprehensive information on families, including gross morphology, vegetative anatomy, embryology, chemical contents, serology, chromosome numbers, and fossil records. Data of all these kinds are now indispensable for a full understanding of the relationships and biology of the plant groups. Cronquist's book is a veritable mine of information. The extensive references listed in connection with the ordinal descriptions are also well chosen and up to date. There are about 225 plant illustrations of a high standard; as a rule they show the details of one representative species chosen out of the family.

The great number of pages makes the book rather too cumbersome for convenience, however. A somewhat larger format with two-column text would have reduced it to a little more than half the thickness. In addition, the ordinal and familial descriptions, presented in coherent blocks, may prove too massive for the reader.

Apart from this, my impression of the book is nothing but positive. The information is as accurate as could ever be achieved and is presented in a lucid manner. The immensity of Cronquist's own body of knowledge coupled with his connections with botanists all over the world, including the Soviet Union, and the facilities available to him at the library and herbarium of the New York Botanic Garden has resulted in an admirable wealth and precision of information.

The utilization of this information in the construction of Cronquist's system of classification should also be commented on. There is gradually developing a consensus among the few taxonomists working at the highest levels of classification, with the result that the main features of the current classifications are approaching one another. Further cooperation in the future may reduce to an even greater extent certain unnecessary technical and nomenclatural differences. The increasing similarity in the classifications is largely due to new, unequivocal data. Most of Cronquist's constellations and concepts are undoubtedly wise and have a greater public appeal than those of other classifications, in which