

## AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### Editorial Board

1982: WILLIAM ESTES, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, JOHN R. PIERCE, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, ALEXANDER ZUCKER

1983: FREDERICK R. BLATTNER, BERNARD F. BURKE, CHARLES L. DRAKE, ARTHUR F. FINDEIS, E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, GLYNN ISAAC, MILTON RUSSELL, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, JOHN WOOD

### Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

### Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

### Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: COLIN NORMAN (deputy editor), WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, JOHN WALSH

Research News: ROGER LEWIN (deputy editor), RICHARD A. KERR, GINA KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK; Editorial Assistants, News: FANNIE GROOM, CASSANDRA WATTS

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN KEPPEL, EDITH MEYERS

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; ROSE LOWERY; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN, ROBIN WHYTE

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER  
Assistants to the Editors: SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE HOLLAND

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND  
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: *Advancesci*, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 18 December 1981.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

### Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: GINA REILLY

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

## Scientific Instrumentation

Prior to 1965, owing to generous support, university research laboratories were well equipped. But with the growing pressure on available funds that began at that time, a pattern of postponing equipment purchases emerged. By 1970, a problem was widely recognized: university scientists were working with obsolescent equipment.

A National Research Council report commissioned by the National Science Board in the early 1970's gave the cost of updating the laboratories as \$200 million at that time. With the inflation of the ensuing decade, compounded by the growing complexity and sophistication of instrumentation, that figure has grown to a conservatively estimated \$1 billion.

At a recent meeting of an ad hoc Working Group on Scientific Instrumentation convened by the National Research Council, one participant observed: "The problem of instrumentation in our research universities has implications for the whole country. . . . [W]e are educating a generation of scientists who, when they leave the university, suffer the disadvantages that many people from less developed countries feel when they come to work in a technologically advanced country. This hurts us in a broad range of our activities, both in the defense establishment and in our industrial establishment." He went on to point out that existing scientific and engineering manpower in the universities has outstripped the dollars available for equipment.

But it would not be realistic to try to solve the problem solely by a large infusion of federal funds. The Working Group therefore turned its attention to ways of promoting more effective use of existing resources. A number of leaders of corporate research laboratories participating in the group outlined their procedures for ensuring a balance between manpower and capital expenditures. This stimulated a reassessment by academic participants of institutional arrangements that promote similar rational planning in the academic environment, such as organizing experimental scientists in closely allied areas into research groups with block funding.

The Working Group recommended that several tutorial workshops be organized on a regional level under the auspices of the National Research Council. These workshops would have two objectives: (i) to achieve a more balanced emphasis on provision of modern research instrumentation by revising the policies, mechanisms, and procedures of research support, management, and financing, and (ii) to reduce the current deficit of modern research equipment. Efforts in this direction will be more productive if the research-producing system shows determination to use its resources more effectively. The work of the Interagency Task Force on Instrumentation led by the National Science Foundation, highlighted by the \$30-million initiative from the Department of Defense, was enthusiastically supported. Nevertheless, whatever improvements are accomplished in the management of research, there will still be a substantial backlog of need that can only be addressed by the federal government.

The overall purpose of the workshops, then, would be to inform the university community (researchers, administrators, and trustees) of new approaches to providing and using instrumentation. An exchange of practical experience would be sought, with the hope that the universities could respond to the problem with new initiatives and practices. The regional workshops would form the basis for preparing a policy statement and a call to action that could provide some stability for a decade or longer.

Our country's scientific enterprise is a unique combination of individuals from universities, industrial research laboratories, and government research laboratories. The meeting adjourned with a clear sense that discussions among these three elements of the U.S. scientific enterprise could work to the mutual benefit of all three sectors in the solution of this fundamental problem in experimental science.—WILLIAM A. FOWLER and DONALD C. SHAPERO, *Office of Physical Sciences, National Research Council, Washington, D.C. 20418*