NIH Plan Relaxes Recombinant DNA Rules

A National Institutes of Health (NIH) committee approved on 9 September a preliminary proposal that would eliminate federal regulation of recombinant DNA research. The Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee voted 16 to 3 to change the current mandatory restrictions on gene-splicing experiments into voluntary guidelines and to remove penalties for violations. The plan also relaxes restrictions on the special handling of some experimental organisms. NIH has been regulating recombinant DNA research since 1976. The committee's recommendations are expected to be published soon in the Federal Register for comment before the group meets again for a final vote in January.

The present proposal, which was originally put forth by David Baltimore of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Allan Campbell of Stanford University, has gone through a series of twists and turns. An NIH working group that reviewed the proposal recommended that the current regulations remain mandatory, but concurred that guidelines on the special handling or "containment" of experimental organisms should be eased. For example, some organisms that can be used now only in P3 containment could be studied in less stringent circumstances under the new plan.

Committee members vigorously debated the question of making the guidelines voluntary, and approved it by a narrow margin in an initial vote. Concerns were expressed about the social and political consequences of the change, but most agreed that past fears about potential hazards of the technology have now dissipated. Since the rules went into effect 5 years ago, only four violations have been cited, and "none of them were really a threat to public health," in the view of committee member William Gartland.

Chairman of the working group Susan Gottesman of NIH said that the final plan "ended up as a conglomerate of proposals." She said that some members may still push for mandatory rules when the committee meets next year. The proposal also eliminates a requirement that universities organize local committees to oversee genesplicing experiments. The NIH panelists do not expect that the 200 existing committees will be disbanded.

-Marjorie Sun

IAEA Divided Over Leadership, Israeli Censure

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) seems to have contracted one of the common maladies afflicting organizations of its kind: a chronic immobility brought on by political dissension. The underlying cause of this trouble was the unprovoked attack by one IAEA member (Israel) on another member (Iraq) on 7 June. A more recent manifestation of tension in the IAEA is its inability since June to elect a new leader to replace the retiring director-general. Sigvard Eklund. Optimists say a new chief may be chosen within the next week; others say it will take longer.

The IAEA's prestige was injured earlier this year when Israel destroyed a research reactor in Iraq and claimed that the IAEA was not doing its job well enough to prevent Iraq from building nuclear weapons. The IAEA board of directors met, denied the charge, and responded with a counterthrust. By a vote of 29 to 2, the IAEA board proposed that a general conference this September "strongly condemn" the Israelis and suspend their membership rights. (Israel is a full member, although-unlike Iraqnot a signatory of the Nonproliferation Treaty.) The proposal was submitted by Yugoslavia at Irag's behest. Only the United States and Canada voted against it. Three abstained.

Eklund and the chief of the IAEA safeguards division took pains to rebut the claims made by various people that Iraq was on the verge of producing a nuclear weapon. Eklund also dismissed Roger Richter, an American inspector at the IAEA, after Richter quit his post to testify before the U.S. Congress that Iraq could have produced weapons without being detected. Having done all this, Eklund prepared to retire.

Since June, the IAEA has been

trying to choose Eklund's successor. By one estimate, the members are now approaching the tenth ballot, although it is difficult to know precisely how many votes have been taken, for much of the politicking goes on behind the scenes. According to American and other diplomatic officials in Washington, the stalemate has not been broken on the eve of the latest ballot, scheduled for 14 September.

Most of the votes are divided between Domingo Siazon, a veteran Filippino diplomat in Vienna and spokesman for a group of less developed nations, and Hans Blix, former foreign minister of Sweden, now the second-ranked official in the foreign office in charge of development cooperation. Blix has been put forward as a compromise candidate, replacing the West German, Hans-Hilger Haunschild, whose name has been withdrawn "informally."

According to diplomatic officials, Haunschild ran into trouble with the less developed nations because he was thought to have been responsible in some way for West Germany's decision to sell nuclear processing equipment to South Africa. The Third World opposition alone might not have stopped his candidacy, but according to one observer, the Soviets also objected to having a West German in charge of the IAEA. Like earlier dropouts-a Japanese, a Finn, and a Mexican-Haunschild has been eliminated from the running. Blix is said to have the support of the Americans, the Europeans, and the Soviets. He does not appear to have the backing of some of the smaller nations. and thereby may fail to win the twothirds vote necessary for election.

Although none of these candidates has a platform as such, American officials say that Siazon is more interested in promoting the sale of nuclear technologies to new buyers, while Blix and Haunschild are more interested in shoring up the safeguards against weapons building.

It is possible that the IAEA will hold its board meeting on 17 September and a general conference on the 21st without the benefit of a new directorgeneral. In that case, Eklund will be asked to carry on the unfinished business of deciding what should be done about Israel's attack on Iraq. The Americans are working overtime to persuade the Iraqis that they should