

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

## Editorial Board

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECKER, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY GREGORY KOHLSTEDT, MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

## Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

## Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

## Editorial Staff

### Managing Editor

ROBERT V. ORMES

### Assistant Managing Editor

JOHN E. RINGLE

### Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

### Production Editor

ELLEN E. MURPHY

### News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

*News and Comment:* WILLIAM J. BROAD, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH  
*Research News:* RICHARD A. KERR, GINA BARI KOLATA, ROGER LEWIN, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, MITCHELL WALDROP

*Administrative Assistant, News:* SCHERRAINE MACK.  
*Editorial Assistants, News:* FANNIE GROOM, CASANDRA WATTS

### Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

*Associate Editors:* ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, RUTH KULSTAD

*Assistant Editors:* MARTHA COLLINS, CAITILIN GORDON, STEPHEN KEPPEL, EDITH MEYERS, LOIS SCHMITT  
*Book Reviews:* KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor:* LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

### Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

### Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

*Production:* NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; ROSE LOWERY; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; MARY MCDANIEL, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN

*Covers, Reprints, and Permissions:* GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor:* GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

*Guide to Scientific Instruments:* RICHARD G. SOMMER  
*Assistants to the Editors:* SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE HOLLAND

### Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

*Member and Subscription Records:* ANN RAGLAND  
**EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE:** 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: *Advancesci*, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 26 September 1980.

**BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE:** Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

## Advertising Representatives

### Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

### Production Manager: GINA REILLY

### Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

### Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

**Sales:** NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

**ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE:** Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

## America's Vanishing Lead in Electronics

America's scientists, engineers, and entrepreneurs made superb contributions to the electronics revolution, and achieved international commercial leadership in many applications such as television, computers, and communication. Our role in TV production has long since atrophied. Until recently, our status in microelectronics seemed unassailable. But our position is eroding.

Two major factors have been involved in our loss of a clear lead: contrasting policies of the governments of the United States and Japan, and Japanese skills in quality control and robotics. Some years ago the Japanese government determined that a strong position in microelectronics was important for the nation's future. A special fund of several hundred million dollars was made available and the various electronic companies were encouraged to cooperate in advancing the state of the art. (In the United States such cooperation would make the companies targets of the Justice Department.) The companies were also accorded fast depreciation treatment of capital costs for new and expanded production facilities. In a fast-moving field such as microelectronics, production equipment becomes obsolete in a short time. The U.S. government took little heed of what was happening, made no realistic changes in tax policy, and instead persisted in endless, bruising, and enervating antitrust suits against two of our best innovators in electronics—the International Business Machine Company and the American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Because of financial considerations related to slow depreciation of capital costs, U.S. makers of 16K random access memories (RAM's) did not expand their capacity rapidly enough to satisfy the market in 1977 to 1979. The Japanese moved quickly to fill the gap and now have about 40 percent of the market for 16K RAM's, key components in computers. They did more than produce chips. They produced chips of superior quality.

Testimony in this matter has been provided by Richard W. Anderson, manager of the Data Systems Division of Hewlett-Packard Company.\* Hewlett-Packard is the world's largest manufacturer of electronic instruments and one of the three largest users of semiconductor memory in the United States. In 1977, when a shortage of domestic 16K RAM's occurred, they were obliged to turn to Japanese versions. After very rigorous tests, they found that the Japanese 16K RAM's had fewer inspection failures, fewer failures in production cycles, fewer failures in customers' hands, lower rework costs, fewer production interruptions, and lower warranty costs.

I had an opportunity to see something of Japanese quality control at the Matsushita color TV plant near Osaka. At the plant components and products are subjected to accelerated aging tests at extreme temperatures and humidities. Matsushita makes all of its own components and can assure itself that it assembles only parts that are reliable. About 80 percent of the parts for a color TV set are put in place by robots. Their use cut a rejection rate of 5 percent to a small fraction of 1 percent. At the end of the tour, I realized that I had seen only one inspection station—at the end of the line. I asked the official accompanying me why there were no inspection stations at intermediate points. He replied that until a few months ago there were such stations, but they never found any defects and so they were scrapped. When I returned to the United States, I tried to arrange to see a comparable plant here. I was told that none existed.

Visits to other facilities and laboratories in Japan left me with the impression that the Japanese are not supertechnologists. They merely do what is necessary to build things very well. They will be even tougher competitors in the future.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

\*R. W. Anderson, "Quality and 16K RAMs: A Hewlett-Packard case history," presented at a seminar sponsored by the Electronic Industries Association of Japan, 25 March 1980, Washington, D.C.