

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are af-

Editorial Board

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECK-FR, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRY-ANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK 1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY GREGORY KOHLSTEDT, MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Managing Editor
ROBERT V. ORMES
Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM Production Editor ELLEN E. MURPHY

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton
News and Comment: William J. Broad, ConStance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey
Smith, Mariorie Sun, Nicholas Wade, John Walsh
Research News: Richard A. Kerr, Gina Bari KoLata, Roger Lewin, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H.
Maugh II, Arthur L. Robinson, Mitchell Wal-

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK. Editorial Assistants, News: FANNIE GROOM, CASSANDRA WATTS

SANDRA WAITE Consulting Editor: Allen L. Hammond Associate Editors: Eleanore Butz, Mary Dorf-Man, Sylvia Eberhart, Ruth Kulstad Assistant Editors: Martha Collins, Caitilin Gor-Don, Stephen Kepple, Edith Meyers, Lois Schmitt Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: Christine Gilbert

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin

Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker; Rose

Lowery; Holly Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Mary

McDaniel, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Sharon

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER Assistants to the Editors: SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors" write to the editorial office or see page xi. Sci. Advancesci, washington: 101 This traditions for Continuous, write to the editorial office or see page xi, Science, 26 September 1980.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202.

Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager: GINA REILLY Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076: Broadway (212-730-1030); SCOTCH FLAINS, IN.J. 07/07-C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHI-CAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Mich-igan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF-90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent 2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-

America's Vanishing Lead in Electronics

America's scientists, engineers, and entrepreneurs made superb contributions to the electronics revolution, and achieved international commercial leadership in many applications such as television, computers, and communication. Our role in TV production has long since atrophied. Until recently, our status in microelectronics seemed unassailable. But our position is eroding

Two major factors have been involved in our loss of a clear lead: contrasting policies of the governments of the United States and Japan, and Japanese skills in quality control and robotics. Some years ago the Japanese government determined that a strong position in microelectronics was important for the nation's future. A special fund of several hundred million dollars was made available and the various electronic companies were encouraged to cooperate in advancing the state of the art. (In the United States such cooperation would make the companies targets of the Justice Department.) The companies were also accorded fast depreciation treatment of capital costs for new and expanded production facilities. In a fastmoving field such as microelectronics, production equipment becomes obsolete in a short time. The U.S. government took little heed of what was happening, made no realistic changes in tax policy, and instead persisted in endless, bruising, and enervating antitrust suits against two or our best innovators in electronics—the International Business Machine Company and the American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Because of financial considerations related to slow depreciation of capital costs, U.S. makers of 16K random access memories (RAM's) did not expand their capacity rapidly enough to satisfy the market in 1977 to 1979. The Japanese moved quickly to fill the gap and now have about 40 percent of the market for 16K RAM's, key components in computers. They did more than produce chips. They produced chips of superior quality.

Testimony in this matter has been provided by Richard W. Anderson, manager of the Data Systems Division of Hewlett-Packard Company.* Hewlett-Packard is the world's largest manufacturer of electronic instruments and one of the three largest users of semiconducter memory in the United States. In 1977, when a shortage of domestic 16K RAM's occurred, they were obliged to turn to Japanese versions. After very rigorous tests, they found that the Japanese 16K RAM's had fewer inspection failures, fewer failures in production cycles, fewer failures in customers' hands, lower rework costs, fewer production interruptions, and lower warranty

I had an opportunity to see something of Japanese quality control at the Matsushita color TV plant near Osaka. At the plant components and products are subjected to accelerated aging tests at extreme temperatures and humidities. Matsushita makes all of its own components and can assure itself that it assembles only parts that are reliable. About 80 percent of the parts for a color TV set are put in place by robots. Their use cut a rejection rate of 5 percent to a small fraction of 1 percent. At the end of the tour, I realized that I had seen only one inspection station—at the end of the line. I asked the official accompanying me why there were no inspection stations at intermediate points. He replied that until a few months ago there were such stations, but they never found any defects and so they were scrapped. When I returned to the United States, I tried to arrange to see a comparable plant here. I was told that none existed.

Visits to other facilities and laboratories in Japan left me with the impression that the Japanese are not supertechnologists. They merely do what is necessary to build things very well. They will be even tougher competitors in the future.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

seminar sponsored by the Electronic Industries Association of Japan, 25 March 1980, Washington, D.C. *R. W. Anderson, "Quality and 16K RAMs: A Hewlett-Packard case history,"