

## AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presenta-Science serves its readers as a forum for the presenta-tion and discussion of important issues related to the ad-vancement of science, including the presentation of mi-nority or conflicting points of view, rather than by pub-lishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are af-

### **Editorial Board**

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECK-1900: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECK-ER, CLÉMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRY-ANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK 1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER

GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY GREGORY KOHLSTEDT, MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WIL-LIAM P. SLICHTER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

#### Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

#### Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

#### **Editorial Staff**

Managing Editor ROBERT V. ORMES

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM Production Editor

Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE

ELLEN E. MURPHY

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton
News and Comment: William J. Broad, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey
Smith, Mariorie Sun, Nicholas Wade, John Walsh
Research News: Richard A. Kerr, Gina Bari KoLata, Roger Lewin, Jean L. Marx, Thomas H.
Maugh II, Arthur L. Robinson
Administrative Assistant News: Scherraine Mack

Administrative Assistant, News: Scherraine Mack. Editorial Assistants, News: FANNIE GROOM, CASSANDRA WATTS

Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

Associate Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORF-MAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, RUTH KULSTAD

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, CAITILIN GOR-

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, CATTILIN GOR-DON, STEPHEN KEPPLE, EDITH MEYERS, LOIS SCHMITT Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: Isabella Bouldin Production: Nancy Hartnagel, John Baker; Rose

LOWERY; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; MARY McDaniel, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, Sharon

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; Geraldine Crump, Corrine Harris
Guide to Scientific Instruments: Richard G. Sommer

Assistants to the Editors: SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors." write to the editorial office or see page xi, Science of the control of the ence, 26 September 1980.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202.

## **Advertising Representatives**

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager: GINA REILLY Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chi-Cago, Ill. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Mich-igan Ave. (312-337-4973); Beverly Hills, Calif. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); Dorset, Vt. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581). ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

# **Science and Engineering Education**

In comparison with other advanced countries, the United States is becoming a nation of scientific illiterates. Our principal commercial and military rivals have recognized that future superiority will rest heavily on competence in applied science and engineering, and they are preparing their young people for the world of the future. For example, in Japan instruction in science begins in the first grade. From the third through the ninth grades, science and mathematics are required subjects and constitute two of the four major courses taught. Most students who intend to go on to universities continue to take science and mathematics courses in upper secondary schools. Their curriculum includes differential and integral calculus and probability and statistics.

Conspicuous examples of American achievements, such as Nobel Prizes or pictures from the Voyager spacecraft, serve to blind the public to the fact that a problem exists here. Actually, the Nobel laureates and the engineers responsible for Voyager are products of an earlier era. During their formative years (the 1940's and 1950's) a different attitude, one more favorable to science and engineering, prevailed in America. Around 1965 the environment for science and engineering began to deteriorate, and while Nobel Prizes still come, our superiority in technology has about vanished.

A recent report prepared by the National Science Foundation and the Department of Education\* provides some sobering comments. At a time when the world faces an enormous need for engineers, the United States lags behind Japan, West Germany, and the Soviet Union in the number of engineering graduates per capita. The contrast is especially marked with respect to Japan, where engineering enjoys high prestige and the total number of degrees granted to engineers annually has surpassed that in the United States. In Japan 20 percent of all baccalaureate and about 40 percent of all master's degrees are granted to engineers. This compares with about 5 percent for each of these degree levels in the United States. Moreover, many of the U.S. graduates are foreign nationals.

In Japan an engineering degree is a favorable route to business and social success. The report states that in Japan "only about 50 percent of the engineers produced each year . . . enter the engineering profession. The others become civil servants and managers in industry. Around one-half of the senior civil service hold degrees in engineering or related subjects. . . . In industry, about 50 percent of all directors have engineering qualifications.'

The educational situation in Germany is similar to that in Japan, with emphasis on science and mathematics in primary and secondary schools. "The overall picture in Germany is one of a very high level of science and mathematics literacy among college graduates as well as a strong science/ mathematics understanding among the general population."

In the Soviet Union students are exposed to an intense mathematics and science curriculum. Algebra and geometry are taught in the sixth and seventh grades, and additional mathematics, including calculus, is part of the high school curriculum. All youngsters are required to complete 5 years of physics and 4 years of chemistry. About five times as many Soviet students as Americans go on to engineering training. The inefficiencies of the Soviet system dissipate much of this advantage, but one can scarcely feel comfortable about the contrast in educational level between the military forces of the U.S.S.R. and the United States.

Our present policy is moving us toward becoming a colonial supplier of raw materials and food to more advanced countries and is placing us in a position of increasing peril. Unfortunately, there is no crisis to alert the public. The one positive factor operating at this time is a strong demand for engineering graduates, which is driving up salaries. Overcoming scientific illiteracy will take decades.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

National Science Foundation and Department of Education, Science and Engineering, Education for the 1980s and Beyond (Washington, D.C., October 1980)