SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of mi-nority or conflicting points of view, rather than by pub-lishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science* including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are af-

Editorial Board

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECKER, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK 1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY G. KOHLSTEDT, MANCHIO OLGON. PETER H. RAVEN. WILLIAM P. SLICH.

MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WILLIAM P. SLICH-TER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Managing Editor ROBERT V. ORMES Assistant Managing Editor JOHN E. RINGLE

Business Manager HANS NUSSBAUM **Production Editor** ELLEN E. MURPHY

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton
News and Comment: William J. Broad, Luther J.
Carter, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall,
Deborah Shapley, R. Jeffrey Smith, Nicholas
Wade, John Walsh. Editorial Assistant, Scherraine

Research News: BEVERLY KARPLUS HARTLINE, RICHARD A. KERR, GINA BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. Editorial Assistant, FANNIE GROOM

Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND
Associate Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, RUTH KULSTAD
Assistant Editors: CAITILIN GORDON, STEPHEN KEP-

PLE, LOIS SCHMITT

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, Editor; Linda Heiserman, Janet Kegg Letters: Christine Karlik

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: Nancy Hartmagel, John Baker; Ya Li Swigart, Holly Bishop, Eleanor Warner; Mary McDaniel, Jean Rockwood, Leah Ryan, SHARON RYAN

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; Corrine Harris, Margaret Lloyd Guide to Scientific Instruments: Richard G. Sommer Assistant to the Editors: Jack R. Alsip

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write the editorial office or see page xi, Science, 21 December 1979.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: Earl J. Scherago Production Manager: Gina Reilly Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND
Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515
Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076:
C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-

Energy Policies of the United States and U.S.S.R.

The prestige of the United States has declined sharply during the past few years. In some circles these losses have been attributed to inept day-to-day conduct of foreign affairs. But there are other, more enduring factors behind the deterioration that have received little media or political attention. One factor is a decade-long, self-centered energy policy that has ignored legitimate needs and interests of the rest of the world. Another factor is abdication of world leadership with respect to nuclear energy.

During the 1970's the United States massively increased its imports of oil, and this was a major cause of a tenfold increase in world oil prices. In 1972 imports of oil and its products were 4.5 million barrels per day; in 1973, 6.2; in 1977, 8.8; and in 1979, 8.1. In contrast, the Soviet Union did not compete for oil but instead became a supplier of energy to Western Europe.

Energy has become crucial in diplomacy and national well-being. We have become aware that we are vulnerable to a partial interruption of oil imports. For us imported oil represents only 19 percent of total energy consumption. Many other countries are far more dependent on energy imports. In 1976 the total energy dependence of France was 78 percent; West Germany, 54 percent; Italy, 81 percent; and Japan, 86 percent. In large measure their dependence rests on imports of oil from the Middle East. When other countries adopt policies toward the U.S.S.R. and Arab countries that are different from those of the United States, one should not be surprised.

Oil is not the only energy import of Western Europe. Two years ago, while in Austria, I visited an impressive energy installation not far from the Czechoslovak border. The facility is the control center for the major pipeline that transports natural gas from the Soviet Union to Western Europe. Natural gas is dispatched to Austria, Switzerland, northern Italy, southern Germany, and southern France. Some gas is stored underground in Austria, but if the Russians stopped the flow of gas at the beginning of a heating season, many homes would be without heat.

In an effort to lessen dependence on oil, some of the countries of Western Europe—notably France—have begun to replace oil by coal. France has little coal, and what it has is expensive to mine. So coal must be imported. A major source of this coal is Poland.

Efforts of the Carter Administration designed to curb nuclear proliferation, while having a desirable goal, have been counterproductive. For many years the United States was practically the sole supplier of partially separated uranium for use as fuel in nuclear reactors. But in 1977 the Administration attempted to enforce regulations that other countries found onerous. Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Italy, Spain, Sweden, and West Germany have obtained or are obtaining all or part of their separated uranium from the Russians.

Understandably, the French do not enjoy being vulnerable to sudden changes in policy of others and they have urgently sought to lessen their energy dependence. They have programs for conservation and solar energy, but have concluded that they must rapidly expand their use of nuclear energy. They have progressed far with a total nuclear program that includes a major isotope separation plant, many light-water power reactors, successful breeder reactors, successful commercial fuel reprocessing, and radioactive waste disposal. The isotope plant, which is already partially on stream, will have a capacity equal to that of our Oak Ridge facility. In the breeder reactor program (see this issue) the French have had several years of successful operating experience and are world leaders.

The United States has lost leadership in nuclear energy and much of its ability to influence the nuclear energy policies of others. We have opened the door wide for an enhancement of Russian influence in Europe. Simultaneously, our drain on world oil has caused severe financial problems for us and even greater ones for the rest of the world. It is time that we considered where such a performance is taking us. - PHILIP H. ABELSON