


free
bench
space



ISCO's miniature fraction collector frees up to 2 or 3 square feet on your lab bench. Newest of the Golden Retriever series, the Model 1200 Pup holds 95 test tubes in removable, self-standing racks of 5 each, yet measures only 9" by 11 1/4" — about the same as this magazine.

Fractions are cut with an electronic digital programmer, counting time intervals from .1 to 99 minutes or 1 to 990 siphon discharges or drops falling past a built-in photocell. The entire shifting mechanism lifts off and can be submerged for cleaning; the electronics remain in the base under a protective shield. A threaded receptacle is provided for an optional 24" stainless steel mast to hold columns or other accessories.

If bench space is at a premium in your lab, use the premium fraction collector: the Golden Retriever Pup. Only \$495.00. For more detailed information on all ISCO fraction collectors, UV absorbance monitors, pumps, and additional instruments for liquid chromatography and other biochemical research techniques, write for our free general catalog.



BOX 5347 LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68505
PHONE (402) 434-0231 TELEX 48-6453

Circle No. 83 on Readers' Service Card
696

ceived by people living in the mountains." Since the rule of thumb is that cosmic radiation increases in the order of 1 millirem per year for each additional 100 feet of altitude, even the spectacular Austrian Alps cannot have many people living at 17,000 feet.

LEONARD A. SAGAN
*Department of Environmental Medicine,
Palo Alto Medical Clinic,
300 Homer Avenue,
Palo Alto, California 94301*

Mercury Vapor Sources

I read with great interest Robert S. Foote's report on mercury vapor concentrations in buildings (11 Aug. 1972, p. 513) a few days after I installed a new fiberglass air filter, which was laced with mercury, in my furnace. I would be interested to learn if similar filters were in use in the buildings that Foote tested, and what effect they may have had on his results. The use of mercury on air filters in central heating systems would seem to be an excellent means of distributing mercury vapor throughout the home.

ARTHUR S. BROOKS
*Center for Great Lakes Studies,
University of Wisconsin,
Milwaukee 53201*

Foote found high concentrations of mercury vapor in three doctors' examination rooms. He comments that mercury thermometers had been broken there in the past.

I wonder if a more likely source of the mercury vapor might be the mercury-containing sphygmomanometer used by most physicians. In this instrument one pumps air from a rubber bulb through a flat rubber bag which has been fastened tightly around the patient's arm, and then through a rubber tube into a mercury reservoir. Air pressure forces mercury from the reservoir into a vertically positioned glass tube. At the end of the procedure a valve on the pumping bulb is opened, permitting the air in the system to rush out under pressure. In this manner, air containing mercury vapor could enter the room. Perhaps Foote would care to examine the mercury concentration in this effluvial air. If this is indeed a significant source, then thought should be given to redesigning these instruments.

SARAN JONAS
*Department of Neurology,
New York University Medical
Center, New York 10016*

Concentrations of mercury in wood-paneled or nonpainted homes, in which fiberglass filters (of unknown brands) were used in the furnaces, were very low. It appears that little mercury contamination is caused by the use of such filters.

Paint containing mercury compounds was probably the contributing factor in homes where high mercury concentrations were found.

ROBERT S. FOOTE
*GeoSensors Inc., 9731 Denton
Drive, Dallas, Texas 75220*

A Decent, Hardworking Word

Why do you allow a pair of silt-stained brigands like Irving and Harington ("Upper Pleistocene radiocarbon-dated artefacts from the northern Yukon," 26 Jan., p. 335) to arm themselves with bone awls and flint knives, sneak up behind a decent, hardworking word like "artifact," and stab it in the "i"?

Even Webster's Third, which sanctions everything from the Precambrian to the Aquarian, prefers the "i," although it suggests that if we really are going to get our usage from layer d of fluvial and lacustrine basin-fill sediments, we could go all the way to "artefac."

FRANK SARTWELL
*1801 16th Street, NW,
Washington, D.C. 20009*

Although I am diffident about matching my pedantic talents against Sartwell's, I draw encouragement from the knowledge that Harington and I do not stand alone in our position with respect to the proper (I do not insist that it is correct) spelling of artefact. It is the custom of members of the Society for American Archaeology to spell "artefact" with an "e," for the very good reason that this would have been the spelling in Latin had the word been current when Latin was. Thus, also, "archaeology," with an "ae" rather than the vulgar neologism spelled with an "e" alone.

It is a question of values, which those of us who labor in the traditions of antiquity perceive, perhaps, more clearly than do most of those who do not, and which in any case we steadfastly refuse to relinquish, even in these times of wholesale abandonment of values, standards, and even whole fields of scholarship (for example, etymology) for the racy, the new, and, let us hope, the short-lived fads so prevalent today.

SCIENCE, VOL. 180