

may feel that I can reject  $H_0$  as implying that the observed data represent too improbable an occurrence. Since Edwards does not pursue this line of reasoning, he does not exhibit the notable distinctions between likelihood testing and tail area testing. For example, 2-unit support limits for a normal mean, while implying an approximate 5-percent significance level in terms of tail area, correspond to a likelihood improbability factor of only  $1/e^2 = 1/7.4$  relative to the most likely value, thus showing that likelihood testing implies wider limits than tail area testing. This type of distinction is generally true, and may be the price to be paid for the use of the logically more satisfying concept of likelihood.

The direct interpretation of likelihood deserves more exploration and ultimately more use. Edwards's book, despite its limitations, is therefore welcome as part of a healthy movement in statistics.

A. P. DEMPSTER

*Department of Statistics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts*

## A Complex Sediment

**Till.** A symposium, Columbus, Ohio, May 1969. RICHARD P. GOLDTHWAIT, Ed., assisted by Jane L. Forsyth, David L. Gross, and Fred Pessl, Jr. Ohio State University Press, Columbus, 1972. xii, 402 pp., illus. \$20.

When a symposium volume provides basic material suitable for students and interpretative articles that will provide for years of dispute, then it should have a wide audience. George W. White, a long-time till enthusiast and teacher of glacial geologists, to whom this volume is dedicated, sets the tone for the collection by warning us against the "naive assumption that till is till." The contributors to this volume are geologists, soil scientists, and agronomists and are from state, provincial, and national geological surveys, research councils, and academic institutions. Their combined work shows that till is a complex sediment whose niche in the glacial history of an area is not yet clearly understood everywhere.

An introductory chapter by R. P. Goldthwait is a fine summary of till, its origin, transport, and deposition; an excellent bibliography provides a guide to the scientific background. Goldthwait is particularly suited to write such an introduction because of his long association with field problems in glacial geol-

ogy in many parts of the world. It is also appropriate that one of the most indefatigable field and laboratory workers in till studies, Alexis Dreimanis, should present a summary that details how a large number of geologists in North America classify and study till, and also a later paper on the distribution of rock and mineral fragments in till.

The disputes will arise from many articles. Under the general heading of Genesis, individual papers vary from a simple enlargement on previously published material (Stewart and MacClintock), which makes a simplistic, unsubstantiated explanation of till fabric preserved in an "ablation till," to the detailed, meticulous field and laboratory work of Boulton in Spitsbergen and Pessl in Connecticut. An article by Drake on the genesis of tills found in New Hampshire strikes a glancing blow at the problems encountered in New England, where the combination of topography and lithology makes it difficult to identify lodgment and ablation till. Indeed, the attachment of genetic names to till bodies without sufficient evidence may do more to block a real understanding of the origin of tills than any other act.

The section on Thickness and Structure includes studies on large-scale block inclusions in Saskatchewan, stacking of single sheets of till, and the succession of relatively thin sheets of till in northeastern Ohio and northwestern Pennsylvania and, curiously alone in this field-oriented symposium, a paper on theoretical rates of till deposition on irregular topography.

Several authors write on Stratigraphic Correlations, which are perhaps not as immediately useful in trying to understand the origin of till but which are certainly important as regional building blocks in the construction of Pleistocene history. Others contribute to a section on Composition, in which details of mineralogy and grain size are used to identify and differentiate till sheets.

J. T. Andrews, an exacting worker in the field of till fabrics, warns of the lack of reliability of ordinary till-fabric diagrams and touches on the many pitfalls in fabric studies. Yet it seems that some clear directional trends, which agree with other directional indicators, are shown in rose diagrams from relatively widespread single-sample localities (as in articles by Evenson and by Ramsden and Westgate). Although only four papers are listed in the section on Fabric, four other papers (under Genesis) use

till fabric as the basis for their presentations.

A paper on a Pleistocene mudflow, by Hester and duMontelle, brings up a question I raised many years ago in mapping in New England: how much ground moraine truly is emplaced as subglacial till and how much identical-appearing till is superglacially derived flowtill deposited singly or in layers from the last large ice blocks to melt away. If both superglacial and subglacial tills can appear identical, or nearly so, as shown by studies in this symposium and elsewhere, then many parameters must be studied, both in the original environment (as by Boulton) or in the landscape abandoned by glaciers (as by Drake). Boulton unknowingly paraphrases a thought that T. C. Chamberlin wrote to N. S. Shaler of Harvard in 1885, one that applies not only to till fabric and till genesis, but also to the whole field of glacial geology—the truism that "so many different processes can produce similar results."

Goldthwait's summary introduction, the field data, and the inferences presented in this collection ought to be available to every glacial geologist, for much can be derived from the study of them. It is too bad the editors were not more exacting: "sheer planes," poorly reproduced and out-of-focus photos, references not cited or incorrect, typographical errors, and numerous misspellings mar the smooth reading. But these are really small complaints to set against the conception and usefulness of this symposium volume.

JOSEPH H. HARTSHORN

*Department of Geology/Geography, University of Massachusetts, Amherst*

## Scattering Phenomena

**Raman Spectra of Molecules and Crystals.** M. M. SUSCHINSKII. Translated from the Russian edition (Moscow, 1969). Israel Program for Scientific Translations, New York, 1972 (distributor, Wiley, New York). x, 446 pp., illus. \$34.

Most scientists working in the field of Raman spectroscopy no longer dwell on the physical nature of the scattering process, but instead consider Raman scattering as an analytical technique for investigating the structure of matter. Authors writing on the subject have neglected detailed discussions of the physical basis of Raman scattering in favor of more involved treatises on experimental techniques or chemical