

## AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### Editorial Board

1972

ALFRED BROWN	FRANK PRESS
JAMES F. CROW	FRANK W. PUTNAM
THOMAS KUHN	WALTER O. ROBERTS
ELLIOTT W. MONTROLL	

1973

H. S. GUTOWSKY	GARDNER LINDZEY
ARTHUR D. HASLER	RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
RUDOLF KOMPNER	EDWARD O. WILSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	

### Editorial Staff

#### Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

#### Publisher

WILLIAM BEVAN

#### Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHOLAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, KATHRYN MOUTON

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, ANNETTE DIAMANTE, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, MARLENE GLASER, CORRINE HARRIS, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, MARSHALL KATHAN, MARGARET LLOYD, DANIEL RABOVSKY, JEAN ROCKWOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, JOHN SCHAUER, LOIS SCHMITT, YA LI SWIGART, ALICE THEILE

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: LEONARD WRAY; Subscriptions: BETTE SEEMUND; Addressing: THOMAS BAZAN

### Advertising Staff

#### Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

#### Production Manager

BONNIE SEMEL

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321, Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 30 June 1972. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

## Where the Action Is

In his address to the 1971 National Conference of the American Society for Public Administration, Congressman John W. Davis (D-Ga.) highlighted the confluence of three major trends in the United States: (i) a renewed interest in federalism, caused by the realization that all problems cannot be solved in Washington; (ii) a shifting of our national priorities from defense and space to domestic problems, which traditionally have been the responsibility of state and local governments; and (iii) a rapid increase in funds for applying science and technology to domestic problems, such as housing, transportation, health care, crime, and pollution.

This same theme—a need for local government involvement—was expounded by Edward E. David, Jr., in an article in *Chemical and Engineering News*: "As our domestic programs begin to focus on societal needs, they will require new forms of participation by industry, academia, and local governments if our strategic approach is to work." And in his science message to Congress, President Nixon stated:

A consistent theme which runs throughout my program for making government more responsive to public needs is the idea that each level of government should do what it can do best. This same theme characterizes my approach to the challenge of research and development. . . . If we are to use science and technology effectively in meeting these challenges, then state and local governments should have a central role in the application process. That process is a difficult one at best; it will be even more complex and frustrating if the states and localities are not adequately involved.

How does one adequately involve state and local governments—long ignored by the scientific community—in the application of science and technology to domestic problems? Two avenues have already been opened. First, several states and larger cities have begun to incorporate science into their decision-making processes. According to recent statistics of the National Science Foundation, 49 governors have appointed personal science advisors, 23 states have created science advisory committees or commissions, 5 have established state science foundations, and 5 have appointed science advisory committees to their legislatures. Second, two recent reports have drawn a blueprint for establishing an effective federal-state science partnership.

In the preface to one of the reports, *Public Technology: A Tool for Solving National Problems*, which was issued by the Federal Council for Science and Technology, Vice President Agnew states: "[This report] will provide a useful basis in developing federal policies to foster more effective scientific and technological relationships between the federal government and state and local governments." In a companion volume published by the Council of State Governments (*Power to the States: Mobilizing Public Technology*), Russell W. Peterson, governor of Delaware, emphasizes that "one great need is for government to find ways to best harness science and technology in solving problems. . . . Each governor will find this report extremely helpful in accomplishing his prime mission—solving problems and thus increasing the quality of life for the citizens of his state." In Victor Hugo's vernacular, the hour and the idea have arrived; the President and the governors are playing the same tune.

In his closing address at the National Action Conference: Intergovernmental Science Policy (21 to 23 June 1972, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania), Congressman Davis stated: "It has been observed that the federal government can open the gates to an action partnership in domestic technology. But only the state and local governments themselves can make the decision to walk through that gate." This is the challenge of the 1970's—one that the states can ill afford to ignore.—JOHN E. MOCK, *Science Advisor to the Governor of Georgia, Box 32745, Atlanta 30332*