# SCIENCE

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### **Editorial Board**

1972

ALFRED BROWN
JAMES F. CROW
THOMAS KUHN
ELLIOIT W. MONTROLL

FRANK PRESS FRANK W. PUTNAM WALTER O. ROBERTS

1973

H. S. GUTOWSKY ARTHUR D. HASLER RUDOLF KOMPFNER DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR. GARDNER LINDZEY
RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
EDWARD O. WILSON

#### **Editorial Staff**

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher WILLIAM BEVAN Business Manager Hans Nussbaum

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: Ellen E. Murphy, John E. Ringle

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: John Walsh, Deborah Shapley, Robert Gillette, Nicholas Wade, Constance Holden, Barbara J. Culliton, Scherraine Mack

Research News: Allen L. Hammond, William D. Metz, Thomas H. Maugh

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIV-INGSTON, KATHRYN MOUTON

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: Margaret Allen, Isabella Bouldin, Blair Burns, Eleanore Butz, Ronna Cline, Annette Diamante, Mary Dorfman, Judith Givelber, Marlene Glaser, Corrine Harris, Oliver Heatwole, Christine Karlik, Marshall Kathan, Margaret Lloyd, Jane Minor, Daniel Rabovsky, Patricia Rowe, Leah Ryan, Lois Schmitt, Ya Li Swigart, Alice Theile

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: LEONARD WRAY; Subscriptions: BETTE SEEMUND; Addressing: THOMAS BAZAN

### Advertising Staff

Director EARL J. SCHERAGO Production Manager BONNIE SEMEL

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: New York, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burklund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Medfield, Mass. 02052: Richard M. Ezequelle, 4 Rolling Lane (617-444-1439); Chicago, Ill. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973; Beverly Hills, Calif. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321, Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, Science, 24 December 1971. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

## For a U.S. Energy Agency

Of the many social and technological challenges facing the American people, none is more central to our short- and long-term welfare than that of energy: we must have sufficient energy to meet our legitimate needs, and it must be clean energy. It is essential that our view of, and attack on, the energy problem be commensurate with its magnitude and character. Besides the social aspects of the problem—which include the necessity to change the energy-wasteful habits of our people—there remain diverse technical problems related to resource assessment and to the development of efficient and environmentally sound energy technologies and energy-storage and transmission systems.

A number of energy sources are available, and each one must be explored and developed. Each presents its own advantages and problems. Oil and natural gas are relatively clean, but the supply is dwindling and will be required as chemical raw materials. Coal is more abundant, but it is difficult to mine and burn without degrading the environment. We must improve our fossil fuel technology—for example, coal gasification and liquefaction, fluidized-bed combustion, and oil-shale processing.

Nuclear energy is available because the nation has committed substantial resources to its development. The supply of nuclear fuel will last for hundreds of years if it is efficiently used in breeder systems. Solutions to the two major problems associated with nuclear power—isolation of waste products from the environment and adequate safeguards against a major accident—are being pursued vigorously.

Other clean and abundant sources of energy await development: solar radiation, the earth's heat, and the fusion of light nuclei. Only in the last of these, nuclear fusion, is the United States engaged in a serious, although not yet adequate, development effort. The heat of the earth's crust is sufficient to satisfy much of our energy requirements for hundreds of years if it can be extracted efficiently. For some of the near-surface geothermal sources, the present state of technology may suffice; for deeper-lying sources of hot rock, new technologies will be required. Much research and development will be required to determine whether it is feasible to collect and convert the enormous, but dilute, flux of solar radiation. However, if society chooses to invest sufficiently in solar and geothermal energy, it is possible that these technologies might be in widespread use by the end of the century.

Some problems of development are common to all of these diverse energy sources: namely, resource assessment; plant siting; the technologies of cooling, energy storage, and conversion; power transmission; and waste disposal. To develop and utilize these technologies in the most economic and expeditious manner, the coordination of U.S. energy programs must be the responsibility of a single government agency.

The Atomic Energy Commission has developed, over several decades, a superb research base, with excellent laboratories and a tradition of successfully managing large projects in the public interest. It is already developing two of the principal sources of energy—fission and fusion—and in these programs has maintained close liaison and cooperation with the industrial sector. The Commission has the scientific expertise, technical capability, and organizational strength to develop the other energy sources as well.

No other agency of the federal government is in a more favorable position to launch a unified program for meeting the energy needs of the American people than is the Atomic Energy Commission. It should be transformed into the U.S. Energy Agency.—GLENN T. SEABORG