aerosols in the range between 0.032 and 0.18, with the majority of the values lying around 0.10. These numbers also agree with recent observations of Herman et al. (22). We therefore adopt here a value of  $\tau_{\rm VIS} = 0.1$ .

In regard to the rate of secular increase in the global background opacity of the aerosols, several recent studies suggest that the global dust content of the atmosphere has been increasing during the last few decades, perhaps by as much as a factor of 2 in the last 60 years (23, 24).

Even if we assume that the rate of scavenging and of other removal processes for atmospheric dust particles remains constant, it is still difficult to predict the rate at which global background opacity of the atmosphere will increase with increasing particulate injection by human activities. However, it is projected that man's potential to pollute will increase six- to eightfold in the next 50 years (24). If this increased rate of injection of particulate matter in the atmosphere should raise the present global background opacity by a factor of 4, our calculations suggest a decrease in global temperature by as much as 3.5°K. Such a large decrease in the average surface temperature of Earth, sustained over a period of few years, is believed to be sufficient (25) to trigger an ice age. However, by that time, nuclear power may have largely replaced fossil fuels as a means of energy production.

S. I. RASOOL

S. H. SCHNEIDER Institute for Space Studies, Goddard Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, New York 10025

## **References and Notes**

- 1. Report of the Study of Critical Environ-mental Problems (SCEP), Man's Impact on the Global Environment (M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1970); Environmental Pollution, 1970); Global Effects S. F. Singer, Ed. 1970); H. (Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1970); E. Landsberg, Science 170, 1265 (1970).
- J. M. Mitchell, in Global Effects of Environmental Pollution, S. F. Singer, Ed. (Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1970), p. 139.
- 3. W. E. Cobb and H. J. Wells, J. Atmos. Sci. 27, 814 (1970). Culbertson,
- 4. W. M. Elsasser and M. F. Cu Meteorol. Monogr. 4, No. 23 (1960).
- C. Prabhakara and S. I. Rasool, in Rocket and Satellite Meteorology, H. Wexler, Ed. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963), p. 234. Suomi, J.
- 6. T. H. Vonder Haar and V. E. Atmos. Sci. 28, 305 (1971). 7. J. London and T. Sassamori, Space Res. 11,
- 639 (1971). 8. The global average surface albedo of 10
- percent is the value given by Manabe and Wetherald (11, p. 244). 9. In the model the lapse rate is held fixed at
- $6.5^{\circ}K$  per kilometer, which is the average critical value for convective stability. Any

9 JULY 1971

increase in the surface temperature alone would result in a superadiabatic lapse rate, which is convectively unstable.

- 10. F. Möller, J. Geophys. Res. 68, 3877 (1963). 11. S. Y. Manabe and R. T. Wetherald, J. Atmos. Sci. 24, 241 (1967).
- 12. Our computed surface temperature increase for an increase in the amount of  $CO_2$  by a factor of 2 is less than one-third that of Manabe and Wetherald (11). There are three reasons for this difference: (i) The absorption reasons for this difference: (i) The absorption coefficients for  $CO_2$  used by Manabe and Wetherald [from G. Yamamoto and T. Sassamori, *Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ. Ser.* **510** (No. 2), 37 (1958)] are higher than ours [from (4)]. (ii) In our calculations the temperature throughout the troposphere varies at the fixed critical lapse rate, wherea Manabe and Wetherald's calculations whereas in increase in temperature is confined to the lower troposphere, and the upper troposphere and stratosphere show an actual decreasing temperature. (iii) Our method of calculation for the overlap of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  absorption bands and our evaluation of the radiative flux integrals are not identical with theirs. However, since we are interested in study ing the very long-term effects of increasing up to a factor of 10 or more, the shape of the curves shown in Fig. 1, which indicates a leveling off of the temperature increase, is the major point of emphasis, rather than the absolute value of temperature change for a doubling of  $CO_3$  in the atmophere
- 13. S. I. Rasool and C. DeBergh, *Nature* 226, 1037 (1970).
- 14. At this point, it is important to remind ourselves that the kind of model used here deals only with global-averaged changes in a single component of the complex Earth-atmosphere heat balance and does not couple perturbations in this component to simultaneous changes in the other variables. However, given the complexity of the total system, it is reasonable to use these methods to in-vestigate the effect of small changes in a single variable—for instance, the amount of  $CO_2$ .
- C. Sagan and J. B. Pollack, J. Geophys. Res. 72, 469 (1967).
- 16. J. E. Hansen, personal communication. We are indebted to Dr. Hansen for making these Mie scattering calculations for us, for sug-

gesting the use of the two-stream approximation, and for checking the fluxes obtained by two-stream approximation against the som exact solutions (which agree to within about percent) to the multiple scattering problem see, for example, J. E. Hansen, Astrophys.

- J. 155, 565 (1969)]. J. E. Hansen and J. B. Pollack, J. Atmos. Sci. 27, 265 (1970). 17.
- 18. E. A. Barnhardt and J. L. Steele, *Appl. Opt.* 9, 1337 (1970).
- It must be noted that the cooling effect of the aerosols depends upon the condition that the aerosol will increase  $a_{\rm E}$ . If the surface albedo is very large to start with and the aerosol absorption fraction is as large as the backscatter, then the aerosol could conceivably warm the atmosphere [see Eq. 2 and the comment by S. H. Schneider (*J. Appl.*) Meteorol., in press)]. However, this would occur only in the local cases where  $a_8$  is large ( $\leq 25$  percent), and, at the same time,  $\alpha$  is comparable to or larger than *r*. Possibly, certain industrial aerosols over a snow-covered surface might have a local warming industrial aerosols effect, but for the average over Earth's surface, where  $a_{\rm S} \sim 10$  percent, the effect of aerosols is to raise  $a_{\rm E}$ . R. A. Bryson and W. M. Wendland, in
- R. A. Bryson and W. M. Wendland, ir Global Effects of Environmental Pollution, 20. R. S. F. Singer, Ed. (Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1970), p. 130.
- W. M. Porch, R. J. Charlson, L. F. Radke, Science 170, 315 (1970).
- 22. B. M. Herman, S. R. Browning, R. J. Curran, J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 419 (1971).
- 23. P. W. Hodge, Nature 229, 549 (1971). He in atmospheric above Mount finds a 9 percent decrease transmission in the visible transmission in the visible above M Wilson during the past 50 years. This Wilson during the past 50 years. This corresponds to an increase by a factor of 2 in the background opacity of the atmosphere.
  24. J. H. Ludwig, G. B. Morgan, T. B. McMullen, *Los Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union* 51, 468
- (1970).
- M. I. Budyko, Tellus 21, 611 (1969); W. D. Sellers, J. Appl. Meteorol. 8, 392 (1969).
- 26. We again thank Dr. J. E. Hansen for his many contributions. The work was done many contributions. The work was done while S.H.S. held a NAS-NRS resident research associateship at the Institute for Space Studies, Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA.
- 7 April 1971; revised 18 May 1971

## Normal Atmosphere: Large Radical and Formaldehyde **Concentrations Predicted**

Abstract. A steady-state model of the normal (unpolluted) surface atmosphere predicts a daytime concentration of hydroxyl, hydroperoxyl, and methylperoxyl radicals approaching  $5 \times 10^8$  molecules per cubic centimeter and a formaldehyde concentration of  $5 \times 10^{10}$  molecules per cubic centimeter or 2 parts per billion. A radical chain reaction is proposed for the rapid removal of carbon monoxide, leading to a carbon monoxide lifetime as low as 0.2 year in the surface atmosphere.

Although numerous workers (1) have studied the photochemistry of minor constituents in the upper atmosphere, less attention has been paid to the photochemistry of the atmosphere near the ground where significant concentrations of water vapor, methane, carbon monoxide, ozone, and oxides of nitrogen are naturally present (2).

The dissociation of ozone at the ground level by sunlight in the wavelength range from 2900 to 3400 Å produces metastable atomic oxygen,  $O(^1D)$ . The metastable species is rapidly quenched by collisions with air molecules, but a small fraction,  $1 \times$  $10^{-2}$ , reacts with water to produce hydroxyl radicals at a rate exceeding  $10^5$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. The hydroxyl radicals then react with carbon monoxide, ozone, and methane to produce hydroperoxyl radicals, which, in turn, oxidize nitric oxide to nitrogen dioxide and reform hydroxyl radicals. These chain reactions, which rapidly interconvert hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals, may provide the dominant mechanism for removing atmospheric carbon monoxide and methane and for producing formaldehyde in the normal Table 1. Calculated concentrations and ratios for hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals and photodissociation rates for ozone.

Time (hour)	$J_1^*$ (sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$[HO_{2} + OH]^{*}$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	[OH] [HO <sub>2</sub> ]
Noon	2.4-5	4.8+8	130
Noon $\pm 1/2$	2.3-5	4.8+8	130
Noon $\pm 1$	$2.2^{-5}$	4.7+8	130
Noon $\pm 3/2$	$2.0^{-5}$	4.5+8	130
Noon $\pm 2$	$1.8^{-5}$	4.2+8	140
Noon $\pm 3$	1.3-5	3.6+8	150
Noon $\pm 4$	6.9-4	2.6+8	170
Noon $\pm 5$	2.3-4	$1.5^{+8}$	200

\* The exponents indicate the power of ten by which each entry must be multiplied.

atmosphere. The reaction of carbon monoxide with a hydroxyl radical was considered by Bates and Witherspoon (3) to be the dominant loss mechanism for stratospheric carbon monoxide and has recently been suggested by Weinstock (4) as a loss mechanism in the troposphere.

The concentration of hydroxyl, hydroperoxyl, and methylperoxyl radicals, which approaches  $5 \times 10^8$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> in the unpolluted atmosphere, may be a basic ingredient for the production of photochemical smog (5). The predicted concentration of formaldehyde,  $5 \times 10^{10}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, is in harmony with an earlier estimate based on an analysis of rainwater (6, pp. 98–99).



The driving photochemical reaction for this system is

$$O_3 + h\nu(2900 \text{ Å} < \lambda < 3400 \text{ Å}) \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2$$
 (1)

The dissociation rate,  $J_1$ , is given in Table 1. It was calculated by use of ozone absorption cross sections (14), quantum yields for metastable atomic oxygen (15), and solar fluxes (14) corrected for absorption by ozone with a column density of  $5.4 \times 10^{18}$  molecule cm<sup>-2</sup> (16) and Rayleigh scattering (17) by the atmosphere. The rate coefficients for the various reactions are given in Table 2.



Fig. 1. Simplified photochemical reaction model for the normal atmosphere. 142

Table	2	Rate	coefficients
rable	4.	Rate	coencients.

Reac- tion	Rate coefficient	Refer- ence			
Two-	body rates (cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> se	$c^{-1}$ )			
2	$K_2 = 5  imes 10^{-11}$	(22)			
3	$K_3 = 3  imes 10^{-11}$	(23)			
4	$K_4 {\leq} 5  imes  10^{{}_{-13}}$	(24)			
5 ·	$K_5 = 1.5  imes 10^{-13}$	(24)			
7	$K_7 = 5  imes 10^{-13}$	(18)			
8	$K_8 = 8.8  imes 10^{-15}$	(25)			
10	$K_{10} = 5 \times 10^{-13}$	(26)			
11	$K_{^{11}} = 1.7  imes 10^{_{-17}}$	(27)			
12	$K_{12} = 1.5  imes 10^{-12}$	(24)			
13	$K_{13} = 1 \times 10^{-11}$	(24)			
14	$K_{14} = 1.5  imes 10^{-12}$	(24)			
15	$K_{^{15}} = 7  imes 10^{_{-12}}$	(28)			
Three-body rates $(cm^6 molecule^{-2} sec^{-1})$					
6	$K_6 = 3 \times 10^{-32}$	(24)			
9	$K_{\mathfrak{d}}=8 imes10^{-32}$	(27)			

The principal reactions of metastable atomic oxygen are quenching

$$O(^{1}D) + M \rightarrow O(^{3}P) + M$$
 (2)

and the formation of hydroxyl radicals

$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2OH$$
 (3)

A short chain reaction is then initiated by hydroxyl radical attack of ozone

$$OH + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2$$
 (4)

and of carbon monoxide

$$OH + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + H$$
 (5)

followed immediately by

$$H + O_2 + M \rightarrow HO_2 + M$$
 (6)

The chain reaction is completed by the oxidation of nitric oxide, which reforms the hydroxyl radical

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + OH$$
 (7)

A longer chain starts with hydroxyl radical attack of methane

$$OH + CH_4 \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2O$$
 (8)

followed immediately by

$$CH_3 + O_2 + M \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + M$$
 (9)

The methylperoxyl radical oxidizes nitric oxide to give a methoxy radical

$$CH_3O_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + CH_3O$$
 (10)

which reacts with molecular oxygen to form formaldehyde and a hydroperoxyl radical

$$CH_{3}O + O_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}C = O + HO_{2}$$
 (11)

The chain is then completed by reaction 7. The important loss reactions for the radicals are

$$OH + OH \rightarrow H_2O + O \qquad (12)$$
$$HO_2 + OH \rightarrow H_2O + O_2 \qquad (13)$$

and

$$HO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$$
 (14)  
SCIENCE, VOL. 173

The photochemical reaction model is represented in Fig. 1. The radical concentration is fed by the photolysis of ozone and removed mainly by radicalradical collisions that form water or hydrogen peroxide. The cycle shown in the center of Fig. 1 serves as a sink for carbon monoxide, and the outermost cycle both removes methane from the atmosphere and produces formaldehyde. The principal loss mechanism for formaldehyde is

 $OH + H_2C = O \rightarrow H_2O + CHO \quad (15)$ 

The steady-state concentration of hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals is given by

$$[\mathrm{HO}_{2} + \mathrm{OH}] = \left\{ \frac{(2[\mathrm{O}^{1}D] [\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}] K_{3})}{K_{12}} \right\}^{1/2}$$

and the ratio of hydroperoxyl to hydroxyl radical is given by

$$[HO_2]/[OH] = ([CO] K_5 + [O_3] K_4 + [CH_4] K_8)/([NO] K_7)$$

where I have assumed  $K_{13} = 2K_{12}$  to simplify the analysis. Values of  $K_{12}$ and  $K_{13}$  are listed in Table 1 as a function of the time of day for a summer latitude of 34°. The ratio depends inversely on the value of  $K_7$ , which is not accurately known. For the calculations in Table 1, I chose an intermediate value from the literature estimates that range from  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  to  $2 \times$  $10^{-15}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> (18).

The daytime concentration of the methylperoxyl radical is proportional to the concentration of the hydroxyl radical and is well approximated by

$$[CH_3O_2] = 33 [OH]$$

The concentration of the methoxy radical is quite small, owing to its fast removal by molecular oxygen (reaction 11).

On the basis of the hydroxyl radical concentrations given in Table 1, a carbon monoxide lifetime in the surface atmosphere can be calculated as follows:

$$\tau = 1/([OH]_{av} \times K_5) \cong$$
  
5.4 × 10<sup>s</sup> sec \approx 0.2 year

where  $[OH]_{av}$  is the average concentration of the hydroxyl radical during the day. This value of  $\tau$  is in harmony with a recent experimental value (19).

With Junge's (6, pp. 113–124) model of particulate size distribution in the surface atmosphere, the collision frequency between atmospheric molecules and particulates is not more than 0.09

 $\sec^{-1}$  over the continent and much less than this value over the ocean. Combining this upper limit for the collision frequency with experimental values for the fraction of surface collisions that result in the destruction of the gaseous species, which range from  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ (20) to  $8 \times 10^{-5}$  (21) for hydroxyl radicals, we find that the loss rate for hydroxyl radicals due to collisions with particulates may approach  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  $sec^{-1}$ . Loss rates for other radicals should be similar if not slower. Since this loss rate is significantly less than the gas phase radical-radical loss rate (reactions 12 through 14), atmospheric particulates are not important in this photochemical model.

H. LEVY II

Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

## **References and Notes**

- 1. B. G. Hunt, J. Geophys. Res. 71, 1385 (1966); B. G. Hunt, J. Geophys. Res. 71, 1385 (1966);
   C. B. Leovy, *ibid*. 74, 417 (1969); T. Shimayaki and A. R. Laird, *ibid*. 75, 3221 (1970); M.
   R. Bowman, L. Thomas, J. E. Geisler, J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys. 32, 1661 (1970); M.
   Nicolet, Ann. Geophys. 26, 531 (1970).
   R. D. Cadle and E. R. Allen, Science 167, 242 (1070).
- 2. R. (1970). 243
- 3. D. R. Bates and A. E. Wtherspoon, Mon. Not.
- D. R. Bates and A. E. wtherspoon, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 112, 101 (1952).
   B. Weinstock, Science 166, 224 (1969).
   J. Heicklen, K. Westberg, N. Cohen, Publication No. 115-69 (Center for Air Environ-No. 115-69 (Center for Air Environmental Studies, University Park, Pa., 1969); K. Westberg and N. Cohen, Report ATR-70-(8107)-1 (Aerospace Corp., Ē1 Segundo,
- (*Calif.*, 1969). C. E. Junge, *Air Chemistry and Radioactivity* (Academic Press, New York, 1963).
- 7. U.S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, 1966

(Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1966).

- Humidity 50 percent at 29°C.
   A. E. Bainbridge and L. E. Heidt, *Tellus* 9. 18, 221 (1966). W. S. Hering and T. R. Borden, Jr.
- 10. Force Cambridge Res. Lab. Rep. AFCRL-64-30(111) (1965).
- 11. J. Pressman and P. Warneck, J. Atmos. Sci. 27, 155 (1970).
- ISS (1970).
   A. Dalgarno, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A Math. Phys. Sci. 264, 153 (1969).
   P. A. Leighton, Photochemistry of Air Pollu-tion (Academic Press, New York, 1961), p. 59.
   A. Acherman. Acta A.77
- 14. M. Ackerman. Aeronomica Acta A-77 (Institut d'Aeronomie Spatiale de Belgique, Brussels. 1970).
- 15. W. B. Demore and O. F. Raper, J. Chem.
- W. B. Demore and O. F. Raper, J. Chem. Phys. 44, 1780 (1966).
   F. S. Johnson, J. D. Purcell, R. Tousey, in Rocket Exploration of the Upper Atmosphere, R. L. F. Boyd and M. J. Scaton, Eds. (Perga-mon, London, 1954), p. 189.
   L. Elterman, Air Force Cambridge Res. Lab. Rep. AFCRL-64-740 (1964).
   Nicolet (1) extinutes a costs between 5 × 10-11
- 18. Nicolet (1) estimates a rate between  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  and  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>, whereas H. S. Johnston (personal communication) has indirectly measured  $2 \times 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, H. Niki (personal communi-cation) has indirectly determined that the rate is  $\ge 2 \times 10^{-13}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. Westberg and Cohen require a rate of  $5 \times 10^{-13}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> to explain smog-chamber experiments. For this work, I have chosen
- B. Dimitriades and M. Whisman, *Environ.* Sci. Technol. 5, 219 (1971). 19. B. 20.
- J. E. Breen and G. P. Glass, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 1082 (1970).

- 52, 1082 (1970).
   21. W. V. Smith, *ibid.* 11, 110 (1943).
   22. J. F. Noxon, *ibid.* 52, 1852 (1970).
   23. D. Biedenkapp, L. G. Hartshorn, E. J. Bair, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 5, 379 (1970).
   24. F. Kaufman, *Can. J. Chem.* 47, 1917 (1969).
   25. N. R. Griener, J. Phys. Chem. 72, 406 (1968).
   26. This is an estimate. It was assumed that the rates for CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> were similar.
   27. J. Heicklen, Advan. Chem. Ser. 76, 23 (1968).
   28. J. T. Herron and R. D. Penghorn, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 191 (1969).
   29. I thank A. Dalgarno for his extensive advice
- 29. I thank A. Dalgarno for his extensive advice and comments. Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.
- 16 February 1971; revised 3 May 1971

## Light Production in the Luminous Fishes Photoblepharon and Anomalops from the Banda Islands

Abstract. The unresolved mechanism of light production in Photoblepharon and Anomalops has been reinvestigated in fresh and preserved material. Based on biochemical evidence obtained with emulsions and cell-free extracts of the organs, especially the stimulation of light with reduced flavin mononucleotide, and on electron microscopy of organ sections showing the presence of numerous bacteria, we conclude that the light is produced by symbiotic luminous bacteria. Because of the continuing failure to cultivate the luminous bacteria and because of their morphology, we suggest that the bacteria are of a primitive type called bacteroids.

Although bioluminescence is a very common characteristic of deepwater fishes, some shallow-water fishes are also luminous. Among the most famous are Photoblepharon palpebratus and Anomalops katoptron, which are indigenous to the Banda Sea at the eastern end of the Indonesian Archipelago. The flashes of light produced by these fishes at night are so spectacular that they have attracted the attention of students of bioluminescence for some 50 years. However, due to the remoteness of the area, few studies have been carried out.

Studies by Vorderman (1), Steche (2), Harvey (3), Haneda (4), and Bassot (5) have shown that the light is emitted from a pair of large elliptical organs, each lying in a suborbital depression. Each organ is attached at the dorsoanterior edge by a small piece of cartilaginous tissue. The face of the organ, which emits light continuously, is cream-colored, whereas the opposite face is nearly black owing to a pigmented cell layer. In Anomalops, the light is