

the paper by Sturgis and Albright was the first paper to demonstrate that estrogen given at the appropriate time does prevent ovulation in women. I would also point out that their primary goal of discovering a method for control of dysmenorrhea, while explained in terms of the absence of ovulation, is still unexplained in terms of what we know of the physiology of the uterus.

S. R. M. REYNOLDS

*Department of Anatomy,
University of Illinois Medical Center,
P.O. Box 6998, Chicago 60680*

Microorganisms on Mars

Horowitz and his associates in the article "Planetary contamination I: The problem and the agreements" (24 Mar., p. 1501) present a series of arguments for the relaxation of the COSPAR (Committee on Space Research) recommendation on spacecraft sterilization. There are several points, in addition to the question of Martian environmental hostility, which may be debatable. Some are moot and others are a reflection of the authors' seeming unawareness of current interplanetary quarantine policy. Examination of these points in detail is not warranted here.

The American Institute of Biological Sciences' Spacecraft Sterilization Advisory Committee of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has been considering for the past year and a half many of the questions raised by Horowitz. It has developed a dry heat sterilization cycle which can satisfy the COSPAR requirements and is believed to be compatible with present spacecraft engineering and design.

Horowitz' call to lower the standards is not based on any more specific data than was used for the COSPAR premise. The prime difference is that the COSPAR recommendations have taken a quantitative form in a simple model while Horowitz' suppositions are less clearly formulated.

The 1966 USA recommendation to COSPAR, that the probability of contaminating a planet be no more than 1×10^{-3} during the period of biological exploration, sets up a sterilization requirement that is considered to be a workable and acceptable probability. The existence of such a quantitative definition has permitted engineering development of interplanetary exploration

vehicles by setting the limits which the craft must meet. Such a definition is a continuing requirement.

Reducing COSPAR probability restraints is of lesser importance than a better understanding of sterilizing procedures. More precise sterilization requirements of time and temperature should be set in order to keep to a minimum the degradation of the reliability of the spacecraft and yet attain the desired probability of sterility. The committee has developed more precise dry heat sterilization data that have already significantly reduced these requirements without sacrificing or reducing the probability of attaining the desired sterility.

Horowitz does not specify a standard to be met. Can he suggest a more workable probability? He should specify the microbial burden to be allowed, the cleanliness requirements for his experiments, and the thermal tolerance of his equipment. These are practical problems urgently requiring resolution if the program is to be continued unimpeded. If this information is available, he can make valuable contributions. It is urged that he discuss these aspects with the AIBS committee. The problem can thereby be further removed from the area of rumination and supposition and lead to a rewarding scientific solution.

RICHARD G. BOND, JOHN H. BREWER
RICHARD CORNELL, MARK A. CHATIGNY
GILBERT V. LEVIN, IRVING J. PFLUG
GERALD SILVERMAN, JOHN A. ULRICH
FRANK B. ENGLE, JR.
*AIBS Spacecraft Sterilization Advisory
Committee, 3900 Wisconsin Avenue,
NW, Washington, D.C. 20016*

The article which Bond's committee objects to is an examination of the basic assumptions of the current spacecraft sterilization policy in the light of new knowledge of the planet Mars. It shows that the validity of these assumptions is, at the very least, questionable. Our conclusions are based on a large amount of evidence which was not available in 1964 when the basic COSPAR resolution was adopted, including the data from Mariner IV and from recent earth-based observations. In view of this fact, the committee's assertion that our conclusions were "not based on any more specific data than was used for the COSPAR premise" is incomprehensible. Equally curious is the committee's declaration that "reduction of COSPAR probability restraints

is of lesser importance than a better understanding of sterilizing procedures." Surely the establishment of a sound policy is as important as the pursuit of technology for implementing that policy.

Contrary to what the Bond committee implies, we do not reject the recommendation that the probability of contaminating Mars not exceed 10^{-3} during the period of unmanned exploration. We accept this objective, but contend that, for the reasons detailed in our article, it can be attained without the adoption of extreme sterilization procedures.

If the Bond committee can substantiate its claim to have solved all spacecraft sterilization problems by a dry heat cycle, it will deserve the thanks of everyone who is interested in planetary exploration—providing, of course, that the process is reasonable in cost. If such a process exists, it has been a well-kept secret. Current estimates of the cost of sterilizing the Voyager series run into the hundreds of millions of dollars.

N. H. HOROWITZ

*Division of Biology, California
Institute of Technology, Pasadena*

Louis XV in a Dark Corner

My hat is off to the sharp historians of Harvard University and Norfolk State Prison who have finally fixed responsibility for the utterance "Après moi le déluge" on Louis V (The Slugard) of France (News and Comment, 31 Mar., p. 1653).

Despite the distress this will cause those of us who for years have attributed this remark to Igwald (The Witless) of Finnmark, we may be comforted by the fact that still another lamp is lighted in a hitherto dark corner of history.

PAUL KOEPKE

*Route 1, Box 64,
West Cornwallis Road,
Durham, North Carolina*

Pressures and Student Disorders

Abelson placed a finger on a sensitive spot in American secondary education in his editorial "Excessive educational pressures" (12 May, p. 741). As he states, responsibility for excessive