

might do. In the case of the virus aggregate, however, the particles appear not to be interwoven, but simply to lie in layers crossed at definite angles, and stacked one over another.

Although the particles tend to form aggregates as wide as one particle is long, in many cases compounding is evident. This appears to arise from the extension of a layer of the parallel virus rods in one direction to form a compound aggregate of two, three, four, or more of the individual units (cover illustration). The individual elongate elements may appear singly or in small clusters in the cytoplasm, or they may group together in bundles of up to a hundred or more, as shown in the figure. It is believed that these are the individual units in the fibrous bundles visible under the light microscope of fresh mounts of leaf hairs and epidermal cells infected with this virus.

These unusual aggregates have not been observed in sections of leaves infected with type TMV (5). They differ strikingly, both under the light and electron microscopes, from the large, plate-like hexagonal crystals produced by type TMV. They also differ from the spike-like paracrystals in that they have the particles oriented at right angles to the length of the aggregate, rather than along it.

H. E. WARMKE

Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Plant Virus Laboratory, University of Florida, Gainesville 32601

References and Notes

1. A. Klug and D. L. D. Caspar, *Advance. Virus Res.* **7**, 225 (1960).
2. F. P. McWhorter, *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* **3**, 287 (1965).
3. J. D. Bernal and I. Fankuchen, *J. Gen. Physiol.* **25**, 111 (1941).
4. W. F. Bewley, *Cheshunt Exp. Res. Sta., Hertfordshire, Annu. Rep.* **9**, 66 (1924); inoculum of the aucuba strain was made available for this study by Dr. M. K. Corbett.
5. H. E. Warmke and J. R. Edwardson, *Virology* **30**, 45 (1966).
6. Cooperative investigation of Crops Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the Departments of Agronomy and Plant Pathology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. *Agricultural Experiment Stations Journal Series No.* 2639.

3 March 1967

Genetics of Mitochondria

The comment by Van Valen (1) on our demonstration of complementation between two kinds of mitochondria in maize (2) is interesting.

The possibility that mitochondria from F_1 heterozygotes are superior in oxidative activity to a 1:1 mixture of mitochondria from the two parental lines was not overlooked. We indicated that the mixture "approaches the behavior of the hybrid." It did appear to us at the time that the problem may be resolved either (i) by statistical analyses of additional data or (ii) by a close scrutiny of mitochondria of F_1 hybrids and their parents. We chose to follow the more definitive second alternative since the phenomenon of complementation by two kinds of mitochondria suggested that such mitochondria are different from one another.

Maize is polymorphic with respect to its mitochondria (3). Specifically, Ohio 45 has one type of mitochondria, as revealed by density-gradient centrifugation; Wf9 has two types, one of which is identical to mitochondria of Oh45; Wf9/Oh45 has three types, two of which are identical to those of Wf9 and a third type not found in either parent. Thus the hybrid has parental types of mitochondria plus a hybrid-specific type.

Behavior of these mitochondria, as determined by rate of oxidation of cytochrome *c*, is as follows: the single type of mitochondria of Oh45 exhibits complementation with either of the two types of mitochondria from Wf9; parental types of mitochondria from the F_1 hybrid also exhibit complementation. However, the hybrid-specific type of mitochondria accounts for an additional 30 percent of the total activity of the mitochondria of the hybrid.

Thus our original statement that 1:1 mixtures of parental mitochondria approach the activity of hybrid mitochondria is verified. While parental mitochondria—whether extracted from parental lines or separated out from the hybrid—exhibit complementation, the hybrid is still superior because it has an additional type of mitochondria.

R. G. MCDANIEL

I. V. SARKISSIAN

Department of Agronomy and Genetics, West Virginia University, Morgantown

References and Notes

1. L. Van Valen, *Science* **155**, 722 (1967).
 2. R. G. McDaniel and I. V. Sarkissian, *ibid.* **152**, 1640 (1966).
 3. I. V. Sarkissian and R. G. McDaniel, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.*, in press.
- 8 December 1966; 2 March 1967

Antipodal Location of Continents and Oceans

Harrison (1) considers the antipodal location of continents and oceans to be nonrandom, and presumably due to convection currents. His use of Monte Carlo methods to solve an otherwise intractable problem is admirable. However, his conclusion about the unlikelihood of the continents and oceans being randomly arranged is less impressive from a statistical point of view. He concludes that "... there is less than 1 chance in 14 that the present antipodal distribution of continents and oceans is the result of a random process." One's confidence in this statement is lowered to considerably less than 13 to 1 by the "eyeball" selection of antipodality as a striking phenomenon worthy of testing, rather than some other hypothesis of randomness.

On the other hand, perhaps his statement was not as strong as it might have been, if we view the problem in a different way. Harrison takes 82.6 percent of the total continental area to be antipodal to ocean. But Runcorn (2) says "... only 4 percent of the area of the continent is antipodal to ocean," which leaves 96 percent antipodal to ocean. From Harrison's Fig. 1, the random chance of 96 percent of the land being antipodal to ocean is far less than 1 in 14, perhaps 1 in 1000.

Harrison's use of six circular or triangular continents also seems somewhat on the conservative side. Both Eurasia and South America have three shield areas, which suggests overlapping subcontinents, especially in the case of India. Neither the circles nor the triangles were allowed to overlap in Harrison's study; this probably reduced somewhat the correlation between continent locations, and slightly increased the weight of the tails of the distribution of the portion of continent antipodal to continent. One may gain insight into the effects of his use of six continents, rather than ten, and also of his use of smooth figures rather than ragged continents, by breaking the continents up into incremental islands. If the islands are scattered independently (other than not overlapping), then the expected portion of land antipodal to ocean is $1 - p$ if the land is portion p of the total surface of the earth. Harrison uses $p = 0.334$, so the expected portion of land antipodal