### **Chemistry of Silicon Difluoride**

This high-temperature molecule has revealed unique approaches to the synthesis of novel silicon compounds.

J. C. Thompson and J. L. Margrave

Species containing metals or metalloids in a state of low oxidation may be conveniently formed by the reduction of more highly oxidized species with the element itself. Such reactions may produce stable compounds in a low state of oxidation or, in a number of cases, species which are unstable and reactive, whose reactions lead to new and interesting chemistry. Such unstable, reactive molecules have often been prepared at high temperatures and then quenched to low temperatures so that chemical and physical properties might be investigated.

Studies on reduced species containing silicon and fluorine were initiated by Pease (1, 2) and by Schmeisser and Ehlers (3). In their early reports they describe the formation of polymers, presumably of silicon diffuoride  $(SiF_2)$ , by analogy with the carbon-fluorine system and also copolymers of this substance with other materials (1). The existence of SiF<sub>2</sub> monomer was inferred by the preparation of dichlorodifluorosilane (SiF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and other difluorosilane derivatives, and by the fact that the polymer was formed only at fairly low temperatures. About this same time, some emission spectra from discharges through silicon tetrafluoride  $(SiF_4)$  were obtained and assigned to the species  $SiF_2$  (4).

Since that time, many chemical and physical characteristics of the Si–SiF<sub>4</sub> system have been studied. The nature of the species produced when SiF<sub>4</sub> or calcium fluoride (CaF<sub>2</sub>) react with hot silicon has been investigated by transpiration experiments (5), and by mass spectrometry (6, 7). From the CaF<sub>2</sub> + Si reaction, the standard heats of formation ( $\Delta H^{0}_{f, 928}$ ) for SiF(gas) and SiF<sub>2</sub>(gas) were reliably established as  $-3 \pm 5$  and  $-139 \pm 4$  kilocalories per mole, respectively. The evidence indi-10 FEBRUARY 1967 cated that by far the most abundant species formed in the  $Si-SiF_4$  reaction was  $SiF_2$ ; no  $SiF_3$  could be detected, and there was very little evidence for the reaction:

#### $SiF_2 + SiF_4 \rightarrow Si_2F_6$

Most of the preparations of  $SiF_2$ have been at about 1150°C (7) and at this temperature there is approximately a 1:1 mixture of  $SiF_2$  and  $SiF_4$ . At higher temperatures a greater proportion of  $SiF_2$  is obtained; at 1400°C, up to 90 percent of  $SiF_2$  can be found (8).

Silicon difluoride (gas) is fairly unreactive at room temperature, but below -70°C it polymerizes irreversibly, the result being the polymer first described by Pease (1), together with small quantities of perfluorosilanes (7). The rate of disappearance of monomeric  $SiF_2$  (gas) was measured with a Bendix time-of-flight mass spectrometer, and a half-life for the species of about 150 seconds was obtained (7). This value is greatly in excess of the half lives reported for other carbenes (9), and is not greatly affected by the addition of other gases, some of which were later shown to react with SiF<sub>2</sub> in condensed phases (10).

The long half-life of  $SiF_2(gas)$  has permitted measurements to be made, of the microwave spectrum (11) and of the ultraviolet absorption spectrum (12) (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

Silicon difluoride appears to be fairly unreactive chemically in the gas phase, but many chemical reactions can be performed by cocondensation of the species with a particular reactant. These facts, and the nature of the products formed in the cocondensation reactions, indicate that some other, more reactive species, probably a polymer, is formed at low temperatures. Infrared studies of matrix-isolated SiF<sub>2</sub>–SiF<sub>4</sub> mixtures above and with other reactants (13) provide evidence for the existence of a fairly simple yet reactive species at about 35°K, possibly a dimeric, diradical form of SiF<sub>2</sub>.

The polymer  $(SiF_2)_n$  is a white-toyellowish rubbery solid at room temperature. It is spontaneously inflammable in moist air, but reacts incompletely with water, liberating hydrogen and some silanes. It dissolves slowly in 10 percent HF and liberates hydrogen and silanes up to at least hexasilane ( $Si_6H_{14}$ ). This is similar to the reaction of SiO with dilute HF (14). When heated from 200° to 350°C in a vacuum the polymer melts and slowly decomposes to a mixture of perfluorosilanes. When the mixture is monitored with a mass spectrometer, one can detect species up to at least  $Si_{16}F_{34}$ . From the pyrolysis products, it has been possible to isolate and characterize the compounds Si3F8 and Si<sub>4</sub>F<sub>10</sub>. The physical properties of these compounds are presented in Table 2; the high melting points and Trouton constants may indicate some association in the liquid and solid phases. This may be due to the polar nature of the Si-F bond.

#### **Reactions of Silicon Difluoride**

By analogy with carbenes,  $SiF_2$  might act as either an electron donor or an electron acceptor, leading to a wide range of potential chemical reactions.

The low-temperature reaction between SiF<sub>2</sub> and BF<sub>3</sub>, which is a strong Lewis acid, has been studied by Timms et al. (15). A series of compounds.  $BSi_nF_{2n+3}$ , with values of *n* ranging from 2 to 13, is formed, of which the two most abundant are Si<sub>2</sub>BF7 and Si<sub>3</sub>BF<sub>9</sub>. The structures of these two compounds have been established by examination of their <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>11</sup>B nuclear magnetic resonance spectra, as the perfluoroborosilanes  $SiF_3SiF_2BF_2$ and SiF<sub>3</sub>SiF<sub>2</sub>SiF<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>2</sub>. No compound is found with one silicon atom, although  $SiF_3BF_2$  has been recently prepared by Timms from the reaction of BF with  $SiF_4$  (16). The perfluoroborosilanes are colorless liquids or solids; they ignite spontaneously in air and undergo hydrolysis readily.

J. C. Thompson is a postdoctoral fellow in chemistry and J. L. Margrave is professor of chemistry at the William Marsh Rice University, Houston, Texas, 77001 This paper was presented, in part, at the Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry sponsored by the Inorganic Division of the American Chemical Society, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 28 June 1966.

Table	1.	The	$\nu_2,$	bending,	mode	in	SiF <sub>2</sub>

$\nu_2$	Frequency (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		
Ground state (ultraviolet) (12)	345		
Ground state (microwave) (11)	343		
1st excited state (ultraviolet) (12)	253		

Table 2. Physical properties of perfluorosilanes and perfluoroborosilanes. The values for  $\rho$  (density),  $\sigma$  (surface tension), and N (refractive index) were taken from reference (23).

Com- pound	Refer- ence	Melting point (°C)	Boiling point (°C)	Trouton's constant (entropy units)	ρ (g/ml)	σ (dyne-cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$N^{ m 20}{}_{ m C}$
Si <sub>2</sub> F <sub>6</sub>	(22)	- 18.6	- 18.9	25.6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$Si_3F_8$	(7)	- 1.2	+ 42.0	27.8	1.665	12.53	1.3026
$Si_4F_{10}$	(7)	+ 66-68	+ 85.1	30.2	1.71		1.364
$Si_2BF_7$	(15)	0	+42		1.62	14.3	1.2975
$Si_{3}BF_{\vartheta}$	(15)	+ 11 - 12	+ 85		1.72		

Reaction with phosphorus trifluoride  $(PF_3)$  leads to a series of P-Si-F compounds, but these are unstable and decompose rapidly to perfluorosilanes and black P-F polymers.

Another characteristic reaction of carbenes is their addition to unsaturated systems to form cyclic, generally threemembered, systems. The reactions of  $SiF_2$  with a number of unsaturated hydrocarbons have now been studied, and several important differences from normal carbenes have been found.

Benzene and SiF<sub>2</sub> form a yellowbrown polymer which, on distillation, at 140°C yields a series of compounds,  $C_6H_6(SiF_2)_n$ , where n = 2 to at least 8 (17). The most abundant of these,  $C_6H_6Si_3F_6$ , has been separated by gas chromatography and its structure has been identified by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, chemical degradation, and elementary analysis. It is a bicyclic derivative of cyclohexadiene (Fig. 2). At room temperature the compound is a crystalline solid and is hydrolyzed by dilute hydrogen



Fig. 1. Structure of SiF<sub>2</sub> as obtained from the microwave spectrum (11);  $\mu = 1.23 \pm 0.015$  debye.



Fig. 2. Bicyclic derivatives of cyclohexadiene from benezene and  $SiF_2$ .

670

fluoride to 1,4-cyclohexadiene. Similar types of compounds are obtained from the reaction of  $SiF_2$  with toluene.

Silicon difluoride also reacts with perfluorobenzene (17), but the products are not at all similar to those obtained from benzene. Blue flashes are visible during condensation of the reactants at  $-196^{\circ}$ C and on warming them to  $-150^{\circ}$ C. The main compound isolated is C<sub>6</sub>SiF<sub>8</sub> which has been shown by infrared, ultraviolet, and NMR spectroscopy to be perfluorophenylsilane C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>SiF<sub>3</sub>. Other compounds isolated in small yields are C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>(SiF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>(SiF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. In all compounds the aromatic nucleus is retained.

Both types of behavior are detected in the reactions between  $SiF_2$  and partially fluorinated benzenes; both monofluoro- and *p*-difluorobenzenes give small amounts of aromatic derivatives and also less volatile species believed to be  $SiF_2$ -bridged compounds.

The reaction of  $SiF_2$  with ethylene yields two main products:  $C_2H_4Si_2F_4$ 



Fig. 3. Disila- derivatives of cyclobutane and cyclohexane.

and  $C_4H_8Si_2F_4$  (18). These structures have been partially identified by NMR spectroscopy and appear to be disiladerivatives of cyclobutane and cyclohexane (Fig. 3).

Reactions between SiF<sub>2</sub> and various partially fluorinated ethylenes such as vinyl fluoride, 1,1-difluoroethylene, trifluoroethylene, and tetrafluoroethylene have yielded several SiF<sub>3</sub>-ethylene derivatives. Also some novel compounds have been obtained by the interaction of acetylene with SiF<sub>2</sub>. One of these, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>, may have an unsymmetrical structure H<sub>2</sub>C=CH-SiF<sub>2</sub>-SiF<sub>2</sub>-C=CH, while the next compound in the series, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>F<sub>6</sub>, seems to be a bicyclic species (*19*) with the structure shown in Fig. 4.

Oxygen reacts with  $SiF_2$  and gives a blue glow both in the gas phase and on cooling to -196 °C. Hexafluorodisiloxane has been separated from the reaction products, and there remain several unidentified liquids believed to be both chain and cyclic fluorinated siloxanes. Sulphur dioxide reacts with  $SiF_2$  to give sulphur and a similar complex mixture of polyfluorosiloxanes.

The monomers  $SiF_2$ ,  $SiCl_2$ ,  $SiBr_2$ , and  $SiI_2$  have all been observed to form reactive polymers (1-3, 20). Reactions of  $SiCl_2$  have been investigated by Timms (16) and Schafer (20) and the  $SiMe_2$  molecule has been studied by Skell and Goldstein and by Nefedov and Manakov (21). The long half-life



Fig. 4. Bicyclic species from the interacting of acetylene with  $SiF_2$ .

SCIENCE, VOL. 155

of SiF<sub>2</sub>, however, appears unique among these divalent species. Thus, silicon difluoride opens up new possibilities for syntheses of previously known silicon-fluorine compounds and, in addition, leads to several novel types of organic and inorganic species not predicted from fluorocarbon chemistry or from the known chemistry of the other divalent silvlenes.

#### **References and Notes**

- D. C. Pease, U.S. patent 2,840,588 assigned to DuPont Co., Wilmington, Delaware. 24 June 1958.
   D. C. Pease, U.S. Patent 3,026,173 assigned to DuPont Co., Wilmington, Delaware, 20 March 1962
- March 1962.
- 3. M. Schmeisser and K. P. Ehlers, Angew. Chem. 76, 781 (1962).

NEWS AND COMMENT

# J. W. C. Johns, A. W. Chantry, R. F. Barrow Trans. Faraday Soc. 54, 1580 (1958); D. R. Rao and P. Venkateswarlu, J. Mol.

- Spectr. 7, 287 (1961).
   A. S. Kana'an, D. C. Pease, J. L. Mar-grave, J. Phys. Chem. 66, 1200 (1962); A. S. Kan'an and J. L. Margrave, *Inorg. Chem.*3, 1037 (1964).
  T. C. Ehlert and J. L. Margrave, *J. Chem.*
- 6. *Phys.* **41**, 1066 (1964); J. D. McDonald, C. H. Williams, J. C. Thompson, J. L. Mar-grave, Abstracts of 152nd Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, American Cher September 1966.
- 7. P. L. Timms, R. A. Kent, T. C. Ehlert, J. L. Margrave, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 2824

- Margrave, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 51, 2024 (1965).
   G. E. Besenbruch, personal communication.
   For example CF<sub>2</sub> was studied by F. W. Dalby, J. Chem. Phys. 41, 2297 (1964).
   P. L. Timms, T. C. Ehlert, J. L. Margrave, Nature 207, 187 (1965).
   V. M. Rao, R. F. Curl, P. L. Timms, J. L. Margrave, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 2557 (1965); V. M. Rao and R. F. Curl, *ibid.* 45, 2032 (1966). (1966)
- 12. V. Khanna, G. Besenbruch, J. L. Margrave, to be published, ibid, (1967).

- 13. J. M. Bassler, P. L. Timms, J. L. Mar-
- grave, *Inorg. Chem.* 5, 729 (1966). 14. P. L. Timms and C. S. G. Phillips, *ibid.* 3, 606 (1964).
- 606 (1964).
  15. P. L. Timms, T. C. Ehlert, F. Brinckman, T. C. Farrar, T. P. Coyle, J. L. Margrave, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 3819 (1965).
  16. P. L. Timms, Chem. Eng. News 44, 50 (1966).
  17. P. L. Timms, D. D. Stump, R. A. Kent, J. L. Margrave, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88, 940 (1966).
- J. C. Thompson, J. L. Margrave, P. L. Timms, Chem. Commun. 566, (1966). 18. J.
- 19. \_\_\_\_, in preparation. 20. H. Schafer and B. Morcher. Z. Anorg. All-H. Schater and B. Morcher. Z. Anorg. All-gem. Chem. 290, 279 (1957); M. Schmeis-ser and P. Voss, *ibid.* 334, 50 (1964);
  P. W. Schenk and H. Bloching, *ibid.*, p. 57;
  M. Schmeisser and K. Friedrich, Agnew. Chem. 76, 782 (1964).
  P. S. Skell and E. J. Goldstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 1442 (1964); D. M. Nefedov and M. N. Manakov, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. 3, 226 (1964).
- 21.
- Manakov, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. 3, 226 (1964).
   W. C. Schumb and E. L. Gamble, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 53, 3191 (1931).
   J. Becker, P. L. Timms, D. D. Stump J. L. Margrave, unpublished work (1965).

## **Medicine and Politics: A Fresh Look** at the British Experience

London. Although few pieces of American social legislation have stirred more controversy before they became law, Medicare has been having a relatively quiet first year. In Britain, where health and hospital care has been nationalized for 20 years, controversy seems continuous. And a recently published book by a former Minister of Health, Enoch Powell, has added fuel to the fire.

A New Look at Medical Education\* was written for a series of books planned primarily for the medical profession, and Powell takes an appropriately clinical tone. Powell, a Conservative, does not prescribe any sweeping changes of the National Health Service; rather, he sets out to explain it. In doing so he has a number of things to say about relations between politicians and professionals which extend to science and education as well as medicine and, in fact, have transatlantic application.

The analogy with the United States cannot be pushed too far. Under Medicare, the U.S. government acts essentially as paymaster and the organization of medicine has not been seriously affected. This differs, of course, from the situation in Britain, where,

\* Pitman Medical Publishers, London.

10 FEBRUARY 1967

under the National Health Service, the government, on the one hand, operates the hospitals and employs hospital doctors and nurses and, on the other, controls the conditions of general practice through contracts with the overwhelming majority of G.P.'s.

Governmental responsibility is also divided differently in the two countries. In the United States legislative and executive powers are constitutionally separated, while in Britain the governing party's members in Parliament also hold the equivalent of American Cabinet and sub-Cabinet posts. These differences certainly cannot be discounted, but Powell makes several provocative general comments, which, if valid at all, are valid where representative government prevails, and Americans should find them worth considering.

Powell, for example, questions the assumption that a seat in the Cabinet gives a minister greater bargaining power in behalf of his department. Not so, says Powell: "The idea that members of a government extort by their weight and personal influence a larger or smaller share of national resources for their respective charges is grotesquely unreal. The complex balance of pressures-electoral, social, practical-that determine the rate at which a branch of public expenditure grows are little accessible to individual sway; and even if one individual could, by force of personality and advocacy, present the claims of his department to his colleagues with more emphasis and advocacy than another, that result would still not depend on whether he was 'called in' to Cabinet for the items in question or sat there as of right throughout."

Civil servants like to have their minister in the Cabinet because it elevates their own status and may, in fact, make some things easier for them. On the other hand, says Powell, the Cabinet member must devote much time to matters outside the concern of his own department, and this inevitably detracts from his performance of ministerial duties.

In the United States the histories of independent agencies such as the Atomic Energy Commission, the National Science Foundation, and especially the National Aeronautics and Space Administration show little to indicate that a place at the Cabinet table would have materially affected their fortunes. Advocates of giving the agencies which administer federal education and science programs Cabinet status, separately or in combination, might well consider Powell's pros and cons.

Powell also says that the belief that a government administrator should have a deep knowledge of the subject matter with which his department deals is a "popular fallacy," and is based on a misunderstanding of the function of the politician who heads a government department. "His job," says Powell, "as his description denotes, is