ports on promising compounds have been sent to study sections. Some of these leads have formed the basis for individual or small-group research supported by other branches of NIH. In the field of hormone bioassay, over 2500 compounds have been tested to determine a profile of endocrine activity. Relationships of chemical structure to biologic activity cannot be properly presented until enough of the profiles are completed. The first of a series of monographs has recently been prepared on androgenic and myogenic compounds. Others are in preparation on estrogens, progestins, and corticoids. Numerous compounds tested for pharmacologic and toxicologic activity have given rise to promising therapeutic approaches which will take years to carry out. The reports, again, have been made not in the usual manner but in the form of internal reports to clinical groups, drug evaluation committees, and so forth.

It is suggested that more funds be made available to the administrative section of Cancer Chemotherapy, for the employment of a staff for data analysis, condensation, and dissemination to the scientific world as well as to the general public. Simple data such as the  $LD_{50}$  in mice of 75,000 drugs are now available and need to be prepared in a more accessible form.

The efforts of the Cancer Chemotherapy Program may be compared to an iceberg in that only a fraction of its bulk is apparent. The investment of relatively small amounts should make available masses of useful information. MARCUS M. MASON

Mason Research Institute, 20 Harvard Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01608

### **Biologists' View of Mars**

The recent report, *Biology and the* Space Sciences (National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1965), by a committee of biologists set up to advise the federal government on space studies, is disturbing. The report implies that there is every reason to believe that life on Mars is a reality and that this nation is justified in putting enormous effort and much treasure into an attempt to identify such life. It is apparent that the sense of this report is diametrically opposed to the view expressed so well in Abelson's editorial "The Martian environment" (12 Feb., p. 683). I am particularly concerned about the *certainty* expressed by the committee. One would think from the tenor of the report that there is available solid information which would allow us to conclude that life is present on Mars. It may be that secret information not available to run-of-themill biologists was available to the committee, for I do not know where in the published literature they could obtain sound data to support their very strong conclusions and recommendations.

It seems clear from a variety of sources that virtually no free oxygen can be expected in the atmosphere of Mars. One speculation suggests that oxygen in the form of ozone may be found at the surface of Mars; as we know, ozone is somewhat toxic to life. In addition there is little probability that water exists in any biologically usable form on Mars. One estimate suggests that the partial pressure of any water, in all the possible forms in which it might exist on Mars, equals only about 0.043 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. These two factors alone suggest great caution in predicting that life is present on Mars. Estimates of the energy required to obtain biologically usable water from the rocks suggest that temperatures as high as 538°C and up to 3704 kcal per liter of water would be needed. It is a little difficult to associate these demands with any reasonable speculation concerning life on Mars. One must not forget that ultraviolet radiation, a potent sterilizing agent, is not filtered out by the Martian atmosphere as it is on earth. Consequently one expects that the surface of Mars would be constantly exposed to the sterilizing effect of unfiltered radiation of solar origin.

There are a respectable number of responsible scientists who feel that there are critical and challenging problems right here on earth-problems which have every probability of being solved if sufficient energies and treasure can be expended on them. These problems need immediate attention; they should be supported with enormous sums of money. By the same token, some of us who have devoted our lives to science for a good number of years feel that the low degree of probability of finding life on Mars does not justify giving this effort a very high priority in our overall national scientific endeavor.

In this age, when the opinions of

scientists are listened to with great respect by the general public, it is mandatory that scientists be extremely cautious in making statements and proposing programs. I think the committee's report is not characterized by appropriate caution. In my view it contains all too little science and entirely too much enthusiasm. It certainly does not represent a consensus or a majority opinion of American biologists. CHARLES G. WILBER

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark

# Population Control: Births, Deaths, and Statistical Inference

In his letter (14 May, p. 893) discussing Wynne-Edwards's article "Selfregulating systems in populations of animals," H. Frederiksen concludes that "the reduction in the birth rate appears to be a consequence of the reduction in the death rate," but this is only one of the possible interpretations of the correlations he describes. High correlation between crude or adjusted rates of natality and mortality could reflect common cause quite as easily as cause and effect. Moreover, a decline in the crude death rate must reduce the crude birth rate unless the absolute number of births increases.

Concerning the relation between the numbers of births and deaths, correlation between the rates may be totally uninformative. Even in the unlikely circumstance that all three variablesbirths, deaths, and population-were totally uncorrelated, there would usually be positive correlation between ratios formed by using any one as a denominator. If the coefficients of variation were comparable in size, this positive correlation would be moderately strong; and if the coefficients of variation of the numerators were smaller than that of the denominator, it might be very strong. A reduction in the death rate may be, as Frederiksen says, a "precursor" of a reduction in the birth rate, but in itself permits no inference about changes either in the numbers of births and deaths or in the population density.

If the number of deaths could be sharply reduced or eliminated without any change in the number of births, the birth rate would drop sharply but there would be a substantial *increase* in population density. If it were the birth and death rates that required food it might make sense to attempt to control birth rate by reducing death rate. But it is the number of people requiring food which already overtaxes the world's food supply. General increase in longevity is clearly no solution to the problem of overpopulation. FELIX CHAYES

Geophysical Laboratory, 2801 Upton Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20008

#### Shutterbugs

I would like to call attention to the growing annoyance at scientific meetings caused by shutterbugs in the audience who want to take everything in the way of illustrations home with them. It seems to me that a much more extensive and informal exchange of information would obtain if such picture-taking were prohibited. The time between the deadline for submission of abstract and presentation of material at a meeting often permits accumulation of many additional data. It is quite likely that more of the preliminary data would be presented if such photographic recording were prevented. Material appearing in print, such as in the abstracts of meetings, is available to the public and can be freely used and quoted. But it may or may not be the desire of the author to have additional data which are presented orally used in such a manner.

In my opinion, it would serve a very useful purpose to curtail the use of cameras in scientific sessions unless it is officially permitted and announced by the program chairman.

PAUL M. NEWBERNE Department of Nutrition and Food Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge

# Completion of the Yellow Triplet of the Monopoly Map

The meetings of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology have long offered biologists the opportunity to explore the relationship between the Atlantic City and Monopoly maps (1). The facility with which seven of the eight codons in the Monopoly map were fully elucidated has caused increasing perplexity concerning an apparent deletion in the Yellow Triplet. We wish

9 JULY 1965

to announce the removal of this deletion by the mapping of Marvin Gardens, and hence the completion of the Monopoly map.

In our study of the problem, we noted as significant that of the 21 determined transformations between the Monopoly and Atlantic City maps, Ventnor Avenue alone was in fact not wholly within Atlantic City, but was located in part on the adjacent Ventnor City map. Since Ventnor Avenue, which is adjacent to Marvin Gardens on the Monopoly map, was seen to originate as a branch from the Atlantic Avenue strand, it was hypothesized that Marvin Gardens was located at the free end of the Ventnor Avenue strand in Margate.

This has now been confirmed. Marvin Gardens has been determined to be a housing development near the interface of Ventnor City and Margate, bound to the Ventnor Avenue strand. Several independent determinations were made, and the obvious controls were performed, such as going to random locations in the area and asking, "Is this Marvin Gardens?" Control results were all negative. These were felt to be important since the absence of any in situ labeling at Marvin Gardens prevents presentation of any morphological evidence to verify identification, and this is undoubtedly the reason that this problem has remained unsolved for so long (2).

Owing to its unique nature and location, we make the radical proposal that Marvin Gardens, rather than the long-accepted GO site, represents the singular closure point in the Monopoly map. The significance of the circularity of the map and of the combined doublet-triplet codon patterns is obvious in the light of recent advances in molecular biology.

ALBEY M. REINER Biological Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

EDMUND BECKER

Scientific Engineering Institute, Waltham, Massachusetts

#### **References and Notes**

- 1. Parker and Parker, 1935. For a recent review,
- see Sports Illus. 19, 52 (2 Dec. 1935).
  Because of the incomplete understanding of the nature of the GO TO JAIL moiety known to be closely associated with Marvin Gardens, caution is urged in attempts to verify this report.
- 3. We are grateful for information kindly supplied by E. P. Parker, and acknowledge a helpful discussion with the attendant of the Baltic Avenue Mobilgas Station. This research was supported from funds obtained by periodically passing GO.

This is a particular pH electrode

# Color it red

Or purple,

or blue,

or black,

or even yellow.

Beckman Electrodes come in five distinctive colors—but not just for looks. Each color means a particular type of electrode for your particular purpose. Our new catalog lists 121 different designs, each the very best for a given application. And they're all in stock for immediate delivery. Call your local Beckman office today or write for the Electrode Catalog and choose your own.

## Beckman<sup>®</sup> INSTRUMENTS, INC.

SCIENTIFIC AND PROCESS INSTRUMENTS DIVISION FULLERTON, CALIFORNIA • 92634

INTERNATIONAL SUBSIDIARIES: GENEVA, SWITZERLAND; MUNICH, GERMANY; GLENROTHES, SCOTLAND; PARIS, FRANCE; TOKYO, JAPAN; CAPETOWN, SOUTH AFRICA