

If the substance being developed on the bridge is scanned with a thin light whose width is adjusted to that of the bridge, one can get more accurate quantitative results than by the prevailing method, in which one has some difficulty in measuring the irregular form of the colored zone on the filter paper accurately.

In this method, one should not use paraffin if it is soluble in the developer. In such case, the middle sections (L, Fig. 1) should be cut off and replaced by some other sort of reinforcement.

References

1. R. J. Block, *Anal. Chem.* **22**, 1327 (1950).
2. E. F. McFarren, K. Brand, and H. R. Rutkowski, *Anal. Chem.* **23**, 1146 (1951).
3. W. M. Welch Scientific Co., Densichron Densitometer for Quantitative Paper Chromatography.

Received March 15, 1954.

A Theory to Explain the Geographic Variations in the Prevalence of Histoplasmin Sensitivity¹

L. D. Zeidberg

Williamson County Tuberculosis Study
Tennessee Department of Public Health, Franklin

Geographic variation in the occurrence of histoplasmin sensitivity in human beings was first demonstrated soon after the discovery of a benign form of histoplasmosis (1). This variation, which is of great epidemiologic significance, has evoked considerable interest, and several theories (2-5) have been advanced to explain it. None have gained very wide acceptance, however. It is the purpose of this note to propose yet another theory to explain observed differences in the prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity.

Histoplasma capsulatum, the specific etiologic agent of histoplasmosis, has been recovered from human beings, from a variety of animals, and from several inanimate sources. Current knowledge indicates that histoplasmosis is not contagious, and that neither human beings nor animals are sources or reservoirs of the disease. The causative fungus has been found most frequently in soil, and it is quite generally agreed among investigators that soil is probably the commonest and most important source of *H. capsulatum* in nature. If this is indeed so, it is logical to suggest that differences in characteristics of soil may account for geographic variations in the distribution of the fungus and, consequently, in the prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity.

Quite by chance I saw a soil map of the United

¹ Part of a paper "Recent developments in the epidemiology of histoplasmosis" presented to Section on Public Health, Southern Medical Association Forty-sixth Annual Meeting, Miami, Fla., Nov. 11, 1952, with additional data.

States and was struck by the similarity in distribution of red-yellow podzolic soils and the areas of highest prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity. The correlation was not perfect by any means but appeared to be of a sufficiently high order to stimulate further study. Accordingly, the data of five reported American studies were pooled (1, 6-9) and summarized. The literature was combed for reports of histoplasmin sensitivity studies elsewhere in the world (4, 10), and these data were similarly analyzed. The results are shown in Table 1. Throughout the world there appears to be

TABLE 1. Prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity in the United States and in the rest of the world, by soil group.

Soil group	United States			Rest of the world		
	Number tested	Number positive	Percentage positive	Number tested	Number positive	Percentage positive
Red-yellow podzolic	13,300	4633	34.8	14,712	2957	20.1
Other soils	12,853	2526	19.7	28,307	1305	4.6

a significantly higher proportion of histoplasmin reactors in areas where red-yellow podzolic soils predominate. The probability that the observed differences could have occurred by chance is extremely remote.

The new theory to explain geographic variations in the prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity is simply this: The characteristics of soil determine variations in the occurrence of *H. capsulatum* in nature. Of all soils, the red-yellow podzolic soils offer the best natural medium for the growth of *H. capsulatum*. Consequently, in areas where this soil predominates, the prevalence of histoplasmin sensitivity may be expected to be higher than in other areas.

A more detailed discussion of the theory and its supporting evidence will be published elsewhere (11).

References and Notes

1. A. Christie and J. C. Peterson, *J. Pediat.* **29**, 417 (1946).
2. M. L. Furcolow and J. Sitterley, *J. Kansas Med. Soc.* **52**, 584 (1951).
3. W. G. Beadenkopf and C. G. Loosli, *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **146**, 621 (1951).
4. A. Mochi and P. Q. Edwards, *Bull. World Health Organization* **5**, 259 (1952).
5. H. A. Tucker and N. Kvisselgaard, *Bull. World Health Organization* **7**, 189 (1952).
6. W. G. Beadenkopf, *et al.*, *Am. J. Hyg.* **57**, 328 (1953).
7. C. E. Palmer, *Public Health Repts.* **61**, 475 (1946).
8. C. E. Smith, *et al.*, *Am. J. Public Health* **39**, 722 (1949).
9. C. G. Loosli, *et al.*, *Am. J. Hyg.* **53**, 33 (1951).
10. Many reports too numerous to cite individually.
11. L. D. Zeidberg, *Am. J. Trop. Med. and Hyg.* In press.

Received January 7, 1954.