Comments and Communications

Methionine Content of Teosinte

MELHUS, Aguirre, and Scrimshaw [SCIENCE, 117, 34 (1953)] have stated that "from the data presented teosinte should be further studied as a potential source of vegetable protein of relatively high methionine content." However, the data presented do not show any such thing. From a comparison of the methionine/nitrogen ratios it will be seen that the protein of teosinte is just about as deficient in methionine as the protein of maize. Consequently, because of well-known laws of amino acid nutrition, teosinte could hardly be expected to correct a methionine deficiency, any more than a higher level of maize in the diet would correct it.

The ultimate solution to the problem of correcting methionine deficiency in the vegetable protein diets of humans in underdeveloped areas appears to lie in a different direction. Nutritionally available synthetic methionine can be produced in unlimited quantities. It is already so cheap that it is widely used in chicken feed. Surely a way could be found to take advantage of the availability of synthetic methionine for correcting human dietary deficiencies as well.

A. R. PATTON

Colorado Agricultural and Mechanical College Fort Collins

Received January 16, 1953.

WHEN calculated on the basis of 16% nitrogen the four teosintes described average 2.25 mg % methionine and the two corns 1.68 mg %. Thus, part of the superior methionine content of teosinte when it is reported on the basis of 10% moisture is due to the higher total protein content, but not all of it, as Dr. Patton implies. The data presented enabled the reader to make the calculation of methionine content on a 16% nitrogen basis (or estimate the methionine/nitrogen ratio) if he were especially interested. However, we regret that we did not present the data both ways in the table in order to avoid any misunderstanding.

Even if there were no difference on an equal protein basis, teosinte would still be potentially useful in improving the methionine content of Guatemalan diets. Many adults consume 500 g of whole corn daily, prepared as tortillas, obtaining thereby as high as 80%of their calories and 70% of their total protein. This quantity of corn supplies approximately 63% of the methionine requirement (Aguirre, Robles, and Scrimshaw: "The Nutritive Value of Central American Corns. II. Lysine and Methionine Content of Twentythree Varieties in Guatemala." Food Research, in press.). The extent to which the requirement is further met by the cystine in corn is still under investigation. When teosinte is substituted for corn or mixed with it, it replaces an equal weight of corn. Thus any significant use of teosinte in the preparation of tortillas would increase the methionine in the diet without a

significant change in dietary habits on the part of the people.

We have emphasized the improvement of diets rather than the use of synthetic nutrients, no matter how cheap and plentiful, because the Guatemala Indian culture with its independence, isolation, economic rigidity, and conservatism makes their introduction highly impractical. Whether synthetic methionine might be of value in some other underdeveloped areas is beyond the scope of this discussion, but the practical difficulties in the way of its introduction, distribution, and control would appear formidable.

> I. E. Melhus F. Aguirre N. S. Scrimshaw

407 Pearson Avenue, Ames, Iowa Received March 4, 1953.

The "Great Fireball Procession" of 1913

IN C. C. Wylie's account of the "great fireball procession" of 1913 (SCIENCE, 118, 125, 145 [1953]), it is perhaps not made sufficiently clear that this description of the phenomenon differs considerably from that which has previously appeared in the astronomical literature. The version of the event so severely criticized by Professor Wylie is not (as readers of his article might tend to assume) a postfactum "popular" accretion, but is that presented in the original report on the occurrence by C. A. Chant of Toronto, the editor of the Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada (1). Subsequent writers on the event (2, 3) saw no grounds for questioning Chant's treatment, and were even able to unearth further data of a strongly confirmatory character (4, 5). Professor Wylie's statement that closer study reveals the facts to have been entirely different from what these astronomers thought them to be is therefore more novel and surprising than his rather casual reference to the matter might lead the reader to suppose. What Wylie in 1953 confidently identifies as "an excellent shower of shooting stars" was positively stated by Pickering in 1922 (2) to have been "in no sense a meteor shower, but a different kind of event altogether."

It is to be hoped that Wylie's new interpretation will soon be supported by a more formal publication with citation of evidence, since at present it must be acknowledged that it is difficult to connect the description given by him with the original observations as published by Chant (*loc. cit.*). These very numerous reports unanimously described a unique procession, lasting for three minutes, of a great number of bright fireballs in clusters moving slowly and strictly horizontally. Wylie's description of the event as local in character is likewise a revision of the previously accepted version and is not easy to reconcile with the data. An extraordinary fireball procession moving