

Comments and Communications

The Search for Truth Versus Bigotry

SELDOM have I read what is ostensibly so fine a statement of natural science in its ideal purity, and never one so misapplied, as that of Clarence W. Metcalf (SCIENCE, 113, 696 [1951]). The title given his communication, "The Search for Truth," was taken in vain, for it was written to condone the withholding of truth from some school children. By generalities he sought to justify the New York state law—sponsored by a religious sect alleged to disbelieve in all disease—which exempts the children of this sect from school instruction in the science of health and hygiene.

"Who is to say what constitutes the 'truth' claimed for 'scientific laws . . . established beyond a doubt?'" So runs the query and academic discussion of this man who appears as the scientist-philosopher. "There is today no avenue of scientific investigation in which the intellectually honest scientist will assert that the theories on which current investigations are conducted have been 'established beyond doubt.'"

The writer of the above has confused established scientific facts and generalizations with the current investigations of new theories that are constantly proceeding on all borders of natural science, where there are always unknowns, and always questions and new problems. Indeed, the continuing quest for more truth is the most commendable characteristic of science.

In health science, school children hear about the gradual collapse of the medieval concept of disease, of the work of Pasteur and others establishing the germ theory of disease. They may learn a thousand and one facts—that tuberculosis is caused by a certain bacterium, diphtheria by another; that antitoxin saves life from diphtherial death; that certain mosquitoes transmit malaria; that countless people in history died of malaria; and so on *ad finitum*. And pupils learn of many things vital to health and life. They also learn about the developing science so that they may have the critical, cautious attitude about all things in this field, to the end that they may become wiser than their fathers.

It is an absurdity to call health science instruction "a tendency to statism, with its enslavement of body and mind to the whims of the relatively few men whose aim is to force conformity. . . ." It is rather the effort to enlighten with the facts discovered by the relatively few, for the benefit of the life and health of all, including Christian Scientists.

It is sheer rhetoric to proclaim: "Any attempt to abrogate the right of the individual citizen to refuse acceptance of a scientific theory . . . is an expression of bigotry. And bigotry of any nature—scientific or religious—is intolerable to free men."

No one is forced to accept a scientific theory. On the other hand, in some unscientific sects and groups, the leaders do all they can to make their followers dis-

believe certain scientific theories and facts. They would prevent their children from knowing about them. They would keep them blind to what their American birthright would guarantee them, freedom to learn all things. They fear, apparently, that if their children learn of the findings of science, they may prefer these findings to the dogma of their fathers. Therein lies the bigotry of the elders—and bigotry is intolerable to free men.

WALTER C. KRAATZ

Biology Department, University of Akron

Hemolytic and Antihemolytic Substances in Guinea Pig Liver

IN 1949 we reported that simple 0.9% saline extracts of fetal guinea pig liver possess high hemolytic activity, whereas similar extracts of the adult are inactive (1). This was followed by a paper (2) demonstrating that it is not the absence of a lysin that accounts for the inactivity of the adult extracts, but the presence of inhibitors in the mitochondria and microsome fractions of the liver cells. It was shown that, when these inhibitors are separated out of inactive adult extracts by ultracentrifugal fractionation, very active preparations are obtained. Recombining the centrifugally separated fractions restores the inactive state. That is principally what the paper was about (2).

In between the time these two reports appeared in print, Laser published a paper (3) reporting the isolation of a hemolytic substance of high activity from the serum, spleen, liver, red blood cell, and the brain of the horse, the brain and blood of humans, and the spermatozoa of hogs. He prepared this substance from such organs by a procedure involving some 14 steps, including hot ethanol and ether extraction, transference of alkali-soluble material from ether extract into 1.5% KOH solution, formation of a lead precipitate, and, finally, molecular distillation in high vacuum at a temperature of about 60° C. The end product, he reports, was a substance identified as *cis*-vaccenic acid ($\text{CH}_3 \cdot (\text{CH}_2)_5 \cdot \text{CH} = \text{CH} \cdot (\text{CH}_2)_9 \cdot \text{COOH}$). These experiments of Laser's have convinced him (4) that the ether-soluble "hemolytic acid" thus isolated—for example, from the horse serum—is the same as the *heat-labile* lysin we described in saline extracts of guinea pig liver. Since the agent in guinea pig liver appears to be inactivated by many of the procedures used by Laser in the preparation of his "hemolytic acid," we believe it wise to leave for future investigation to determine whether there are any resemblances structurally, chemically, or otherwise between the two substances.

It was also implied (4) that we are completely unaware of the identity of some of the inhibitors in the plasma or the serum. This is contrary to the facts