

to be active when tested by the capillary permeability method reported by Ambrose and DeEds (*J. Pharm. exp. Therap.*, 1947, 90, 359). The variable introduced was the time interval between the rutin injection and the shocking dose of antigen. Table 1B shows that again no significant protection was shown in the 13 animals tested.

The third series of animals was pretreated with 10 mg of rutin (methyl-glucamine salt) before shocking with histamine. In these, the histamine dose was 0.4 mg/kg (as base), which in our experience agrees with reports of other investigators as the LD<sub>100</sub> dose. The interval between rutin and histamine was varied between 5 and 45 min. In no instance was protection demonstrable, as shown in Table 1C.

TABLE 1  
INFLUENCE OF RUTIN PRETREATMENT IN ANAPHYLACTIC  
AND HISTAMINE SHOCK

|   | Rutin<br>(mg, IP) | Interval<br>before<br>shocking<br>(min) | Total<br>animals<br>shocked | Shock,<br>absent | Shock,<br>non-<br>fatal | Shock,<br>fatal |
|---|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| A | None              | Control                                 | 8                           | 0                | 4                       | 4               |
|   | 1                 | 30-35                                   | 4                           | 1                | 1                       | 2               |
|   | 2                 | 45                                      | 7                           | 0                | 5                       | 2               |
|   | 4                 | 45                                      | 7                           | 2                | 2                       | 3               |
|   | 10                | 45                                      | 3                           | 0                | 1                       | 2               |
|   | 20                | 45                                      | 1                           | 0                | 0                       | 1               |
|   | 5                 | 30                                      | 1                           | 0                | 0                       | 1               |
|   | 10                | 30                                      | 1                           | 0                | 0                       | 1               |
| B | None              | Control                                 | 20                          | 0                | 0                       | 20              |
|   | 10                | 10                                      | 2                           | 0                | 0                       | 2               |
|   | 10                | 15                                      | 4                           | 0                | 2                       | 2               |
|   | 10                | 25                                      | 3                           | 0                | 0                       | 3               |
|   | 10                | 30                                      | 1                           | 0                | 0                       | 1               |
|   | 10                | 35                                      | 2                           | 0                | 1                       | 1               |
|   | 10                | 40                                      | 1                           | 0                | 0                       | 1               |
|   |                   |   |                             |                  |                         |                 |
| C | None              | Control                                 | 10                          | 0                | 0                       | 10              |
|   | 10                | 5                                       | 2                           | 0                | 0                       | 2               |
|   | 10                | 10                                      | 3                           | 0                | 0                       | 3               |
|   | 10                | 15                                      | 2                           | 0                | 0                       | 2               |
|   | 10                | 45                                      | 2                           | 0                | 0                       | 2               |
|   |                   |   |                             |                  |                         |                 |

A. Horse-serum sensitized guinea pigs, intravenous horse-serum shocking dose.

B. Egg white-Freund adjuvant sensitized guinea pigs, intravenous dilute egg white LD<sub>100</sub> shocking dose.

C. Nonsensitized guinea pigs, intravenous histamine LD<sub>100</sub> shocking dose.

It might be suggested that some variability in the degree of sensitivity of the guinea pigs might account for the apparent slight protection following rutin pretreatment. This conclusion could have been drawn from our own first series, had not chance introduced the low mortality incidence in the controls as well. When the experiments were repeated with highly sensitized animals, results were more definitive. The relatively small number of animals makes statistical evaluation difficult. However, application of the Chi-square method gives a P value between 0.02 and 0.05, which is considered only on the borderline of significance. With respect to the disparity of results with histamine shock, it should be pointed out that we used the LD<sub>100</sub> dose of histamine,

while Wilson, *et al.* used the LD<sub>50</sub> amount. We have frequently observed that minimal doses of an anti-histaminic, incapable of preventing ultimate death, may nevertheless delay for some time the fatal outcome following a lethal dose of histamine, whereas in our rutin series, no prolongation of life was noted, all animals dying within 5 min. Our agreement with Raiman, *et al.* would indicate that rutin protection is insignificant when the higher amount of histamine is used.

L. W. ROTH and I. M. SHEPPERD

Department of Pharmacology,  
Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Illinois

## Red Skin Color of Bliss Triumph Potatoes Increased by the Use of Synthetic Plant Hormones<sup>1</sup>

During the course of experiments with synthetic plant hormones on potatoes an increase in the red skin color of the variety Bliss Triumph was noted. Sodium and ammonium salts of 2,4-D and the butyl ester were applied to the soil as a side dressing when tubers were approximately one-third grown. Rates of 20 lbs, 200 lbs, and 400 lbs/acre of the acid equivalents were used. No apparent injury to the plants or reduction in yield was noted with the 20 lbs/acre, but serious plant injury and yield reduction occurred where 200 and 400 lbs/acre were applied. All rates showed an increase in the red skin color of Bliss Triumph tubers grown in sandy soil. No change in flesh color or flavor of the treated tubers was noted. Since a deep red color in Bliss Triumph potatoes is a highly desired market character, this finding may prove of considerable economic importance.

Further tests are in progress to determine minimum amounts required for increasing red color of potatoes.

JESS L. FULTS

Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station

L. A. SCHAAAL

Bureau of Plant Industry, USDA

## On Olfaction and Infrared Radiation Theories

The work of Beck and Miles on odor experiments with bees (see Abstract of paper presented before National Academy of Sciences, *Science*, November 28, 1947, p. 512), recently brought to some popular attention, has revived interest in theories concerning the possibility of an olfactory sense mechanism in which radiation of wave lengths characteristic of molecular vibration frequencies (infrared or Raman spectra) plays a part. There is a considerable body of discussion in the literature about such theories (cf. R. W. Moncrieff. *The chemical senses*. New York: John Wiley, 1946).

We should like to emphasize several points having a bearing on these theories which we believe require more

<sup>1</sup> Contribution from the Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station, Fort Collins, in cooperation with the Division of Fruit and Vegetable Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering, USDA. Published with the approval of the director of the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station as Scientific Journal Series Article No. 284.