seem to have missed a rather important implication of the word "normal." Attention was called to this in a short, recently published note on physiological standards (*Fed. Proc.*, 1946, 5, 61). It is too often the custom to refer tritely to physiological standards as "normal." This suggests presumptuous connotation of what ought to be. Such standards are merely averages or means of various observations on different, presumably healthy organisms. To refer to such averages as "normals" causes semantic and practical confusion. Physiological averages or means are scientifically descriptive. We are as yet in no position to attempt to agree on what physiological standards ought to be. Such an attempt, involving possible purposes, may be an ethical proposition, for which scientific descriptive data are necessary, but merely as one factor to be considered. Physiological standards may be established by appropriately scientific and descriptive methods. The normative approach to such standards is not yet appropriate.

It is important for scientists to remember that "normal" has a technical significance in ethics. It implies generally what "ought to be." This meaning is generally associated with the term, resulting in practical difficulties when scientists use the word "normal" to refer to a descriptive average. It is as important for scientists to be as precise in terminology as in measurement.

CHAUNCEY D. LEAKE
University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston

Iron Concentrations in Cholinesterase Preparations

It is desired to call attention to the presence of iron in some cholinesterase preparations. Those who have available such preparations may wish to examine them for contained iron in order to reach a conclusion as to whether the metal is a contaminant or an intrinsic part of the enzyme.

On pharmacodynamic grounds it was postulated that cholinesterase was a heme compound (*Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med.*, 1943, 54, 254). The arguments advanced for the heme nature of this enzyme would hold as well for the presence of a prosthetic nucleus containing any one of the transitional metal elements. The crucial argument is the marked antiesterase effect of fluoride ion which forms (usually) undissociated polar compounds with such elements.

Interest attaches, therefore, to the fact that crude cholinesterase preparations from three different sources have been found to contain iron in concentrations concomitant, if not proportional, to their esterase activity. A sample of electric eel material obtained from Dr. Howard M. Fitch, of New York University, 1 mg. of which hydrolyzed 1,200 mg. of acetylcholine per hour, had an iron content of 47.3 mg. per cent; a serum esterase preparation furnished by Dr. John H. Northrop, of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research, which split 20 mg. of acetylcholine per mg./hr., had 19.8 mg. per cent of iron; while a human erythrocyte cholinesterase prepared here, with an esterase activity of 7.8 mg. per mg./hr., had an iron content of 9.0 mg. per cent.

The iron contents of these esterase preparations are higher than have been found in esterase-free crude globulin concentrates, and this suggests that the iron either is concentrated along with the esterase fractions or comprises an actual component of the latter.

ROBERT D. BARNARD
Fox Hills, Staten Island, New York

Hypoparatypes

There has long been a general need for a recognized category for the "less typical" specimens which authors frequently use in arriving at their concept of a new species. Not infrequently certain specimens, although available to authors at the time of or prior to their proposal of species names, for various reasons are of such a nature that they cannot appropriately be named paratypes, with the implication that the latter name carries of being wholly adequate for subsequent comparisons by the same or other authorities. They are satisfactory neither as holotypes nor as paratypes; and at the present time these are practically the only terms in general use for the original series, at least in the field of vertebrate taxonomy. Yet it is only fair to the readers for whom the accounts are intended that all material be recorded. With recognition of an additional category, immature, injured, incomplete, or specially treated specimens might be listed without incurring the liability of their interpretation by others as "paratypic" material (in the above sense).

Accordingly, it is suggested herewith that specimens not wholly representative be regarded and listed as *hypoparatypes*. This term is defined as a category for specimens upon which an author originally bases his concept of the species newly named, but which are neither the single, select specimen (holotype) nor the other specially designated specimens (paratypes) serving as his chosen representatives of the presumed species.

The term suggested is a modification of *hypotype*, which might well be construed to have the same meaning as that proposed for hypoparatype. The former word has generally been applied, however, to subsequent, not concurrent, supplementary material; its use varies so considerably that substitution for hypoparatype would clearly court misunderstanding. No other term has been proposed, so far as I am aware, with the desired meaning.

HOBART M. SMITH
Department of Fish and Game
A. and M. College of Texas

Rigid Thinking in the Social Sciences—A Vital Need

G. F. Hull has given us a most enlightening review of the development of physics in the United States (*Science*, 1946, 104, 238). However, his sociological observations are hardly in line with the general excellence of his presentation.

"The scientists of this Nation," he asserts, "are not likely to make war on this or any other nation." It goes