new pipette for the next transfer. In a routine experiment a single operator prepared 823 individual dilutions in a total elapsed time of 114 minutes, an average of 7.2 per minute.

JOSEPH W. BEARD

A NEW COLORIMETRIC REAGENT FOR TITANIUM

IN a report on their investigation of disodium-1,2-dihydroxybenzene-3,5-disulfonate $[C_6H_2(OH)_2(SO_3Na)_2 \cdot H_2O]$ as a reagent for the colorimetric determination of iron, Yoe and Jones[1] observed that it gives an intense yellow solution with Ti^{+4}. Their preliminary observation indicated the sensitivity to be about 1 part of titanium in 200 million parts of solution when comparisons are made in 50 ml, tall-form Nessler cylinders. This observation has been substantiated.

The color intensity of the titanium complex is independent of acidity over the range pH 4.3–10, the color does not change in intensity or tint over periods of several months, and it obeys Beer's law over the useful range of concentration.

The number of interfering ions is small. Aluminum, calcium and tungsten reduce the intensity of the color; this can be largely overcome by adding an excess of reagent. Iron, vanadium and uranium develop colors with the reagent, but only the first is commonly encountered. The purest available reagents used for opening up samples contain sufficient iron to give an off-tint color to the titanium complex. The iron interference may be eliminated by buffering the solution at pH 4.7 with acetic acid and sodium acetate in a 1:1 molar ratio and adding 50 mg of sodium hydrosulfite per 100 ml of solution. Under these conditions the iron is reduced to the ferrous state and gives no color with the reagent, and hydrosulfite solutions show no turbidity for 20 minutes.

If titanium and iron are both to be determined, this may be done with the same solution. Add the reagent (about 0.1 g), adjust to pH 4.7, measure the absorbency (-log of transmittency) at 560 mμ (the maximum for the iron complex at pH 4.7), then reduce with sodium hydrosulfite and measure the absorbency at 410 mμ. The amount of iron and titanium may be determined from previously prepared graphs.

A more extensive report on the use of this reagent for the colorimetric determination of titanium will be published elsewhere in the near future.

JOHN H. YOE
ALFRED R. ARMSTRONG
COBB CHEMICAL LABORATORY,
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

DISCUSSION

THE PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY OF COLOR VISION

THE manifold and long-continued research work of Selig Hecht and his collaborators on the nature of vision and visual response to light has culminated or converged, in one aspect, that of color vision, in a rather radical transformation of the trichromatic theory initiated by Thomas Young, developed by Clerk-Maxwell and Helmholtz, disputed by Hering and exploited practically in various processes of color photography. This transformation is proposed by Dr. Hecht most concisely, for present reference, in his paper on "The Development of Thomas Young's Theory of Color Vision" and very important confirmatory and extensory investigations are given in a more recent paper by J. Mandelbaum and E. U. Mintz.[1]

The basic nature of the transformation is evident on comparing the accepted trichromatic "excitation" (or sensitivity) curves of Koenig, Abney, Wright and others[2] and those introduced by Hecht. These, instead of differing widely throughout the spectrum, are close together, and have maxima in the yellow-green part of the spectrum near the region of maximal photopic visibility; the shape of the curves varies but little one from another, and from the photopic-visibility curve.

As pointed out by Mandelbaum and Mintz, "The virtue of Hecht's formulation is that for the first time much of the data relevant to color vision is included."

Hecht has stated the difficulties of the physico-technical theory[3] for the physiological (and chemico-physical) picture as follows: referring to the accepted values of the excitation curves adopted by the American Optical Society and the visibility curve (of Gibson and Tyndall) he says: "These are all unimpeachable facts. But they make it extremely difficult to formulate a physiological picture of what they mean, especially in the matter of treating the excitation curves or the *Grundempfindungen* as the real physiological primaries which must accomplish what Thomas Young's notion expects them to." In face of the difficulties, Hecht has proposed, as he himself, I think too modestly, has said, a set of "Variations on a Theme by Thomas Young." It is, incidentally, a part of the intention of this note to suggest that there has been so radical a transformation of the theme as to

[1] *Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed.*, 16: 111, 1944.
[1] *Am. Jour. Ophthal.*, 24: 1241, 1941.
[2] *Jour. Ophthal. Soc. America*, 20: 231, 1931.
[3] Thus to designate the current theory.