The author wishes to acknowledge gratefully the cooperation of Lieutenant Colonel Robert L. King, Lieutenant Colonel E. D. Embree and Captain Edward Freis.

I. ARTHUR MIRSKY, Major, M.C., A.U.S.

AAF REGIONAL STATION HOSPITAL, LINCOLN ARMY AIR FIELD, LINCOLN, NEBR.

EFFECT OF SPINAL FLUID FROM PATIENTS WITH MYASTHENIA GRAVIS ON THE SYNTHESIS OF ACETYLCHOLINE IN VITRO^{1, 2}

IT was found that less acetylcholine was synthesized

physostigmine salicylate (3 mg) and glucose (4.8 mg). The mixtures were shaken and incubated aerobically at 37° C for 4 hours and the amount of free and total acetylcholine synthesized was assayed biologically, using the sensitized rectus abdominis muscle of frog.

RESULTS

The effects of spinal fluid of 3 patients with myasthenia gravis and 25 control subjects were studied. The clinical states of the patients with myasthenia gravis are summarized in Table 1. The control subjects were patients with convulsions, fits, displaced intervertebral disks, headaches, brain tumors or were suspected of having brain tumor.

TABLE 1
SHORT SUMMARY OF THE CLINICAL STATE OF THE PATIENTS WITH MYASTHENIA GRAVIS

Name	Sex	Age.	Sev- erity of dis- ease	Duration (yrs)	X- ray treat- ment	Thym- ectomy	Symptometalogy	Neostigmine (Prostigmine Bromide Hoffmann-LaRoche)		
							Symptomatology —	Dose mg/day	Achievement after medication	
R	F	23	3+	9	yes	no	moderate lid ptosis, occasional diplopia, occasional difficulty in chewing and swallowing, moderate muscular fatiguability	90	Walks 1-2 blocks	
Sa	F	32	3+	7	no	no	moderate lid ptosis, occasional difficulty in chewing, moderate muscular fatiguability	90-150	housework	
P	M	36	2+	2	no	no	difficulty in chewing, moderate mus- cular fatiguability	45-75	Walks, but unable to work	

in the presence of serum from patients with myasthenia gravis than serum from healthy persons or patients with diseases other than myasthenia gravis. Some of the factors modifying the synthesis of acetylcholine seem to be of relatively small molecular size, since they pass through a semipermeable Cellophane membrane. To ascertain whether these factors are able to pass into the spinal fluid the effect of spinal fluid of patients with myasthenia gravis and of control subjects on the synthesis of acetylcholine in vitro was investigated.

Метнор

The amount of synthesis of acetylcholine was ascertained using an adaptation⁴ of the method of Quastel, Tennenbaum and Wheatley.⁵ The spinal fluid was assayed immediately after collection. The pH of the spinal fluids was adjusted to 7.4. One cc of spinal fluid was added to a mixture containing minced frog brain (100 mg), Ringer's solution (2 cc) at pH 7.4,

¹ This study was aided by a grant from the Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation.

² From the New York Hospital and the Departments of Medicine (Neurology) and Psychiatry, Cornell University Medical College, New York, N. Y.

³ C. Torda and H. G. Wolff, SCIENCE, 98: 224, 1943. ⁴ C. Torda and H. G. Wolff, in press, *Jour. Clin. Invest.*, September, 1944.

⁵ J. H. Quastel, M. Tennenbaum and A. H. M. Wheatley, *Bioch. Jour.*, 30: 1668, 1936.

The amounts of acetylcholine synthesized in the various mixtures are summarized in Table 2. In the

TABLE 2

AMOUNTS OF ACETYLCHOLINE SYNTHESIZED IN THE PRESENCE OF SPINAL FLUID FROM PATIENTS WITH MYASTHENIA GRAVIS AND CONTROL SUBJECTS

	Average of acetylcholine synthesized					
Subject	Free acetyl- choline in µg per 100 mg frog brain	Per cent. of con- trol	Total acetyl- choline in µg per 100 mg frog brain	Per cent. of control		
Controls Patients with myasthenia	2.11 ± 0.053					
gravis R Sa P	$\begin{array}{c} 1.21 \pm 0.025 \\ 1.27 \pm 0.025 \\ 1.41 \pm 0.029 \end{array}$	57 60 67	$\begin{array}{c} 1.87 \pm 0.032 \\ 2.00 \pm 0.037 \\ 2.20 \pm 0.040 \end{array}$	59 62 69		

presence of spinal fluid an average of 50 per cent. more acetylcholine was synthesized than in the presence of serum from the same subject. This observation suggests that at least some of the factors increasing the synthesis of acetylcholine pass into the spinal fluid. Less acetylcholine was synthesized in the presence of spinal fluid from patients with myasthenia gravis than with spinal fluid from the control subjects. The percentage defect in the synthesis of acetylcholine in the presence of spinal fluid from the patients with

myasthenia gravis was about the same as the percentage defect in the synthesis of acetylcholine in the presence of serum from the same patient.4

DISCUSSION

H. C. Stoerk and E. Morpeth, susing rat brain as a source of the enzyme, found the same amount of acetylcholine synthesized in the presence of serum from patients with myasthenia gravis as in the presence of serum from control subjects. Since they also were unable to demonstrate any difference in the amounts of acetylcholine synthesized in the presence of serum from control subjects as compared to Locke's solution, it would appear as though their adaptation of the method of Quastel. Tennenbaum and Wheatlev. using rat brain, is not sensitive enough to demonstrate slight differences in the synthesis of acetylcholine due to the presence or absence of substances in the serum

of patients with myasthenia gravis. This lack of sensitivity is probably due in the main to the greater lability and the relatively lower concentration of the enzyme and to the chemical properties of the substances contained in the rat brain.

SUMMARY

Human spinal fluid is a more favorable medium to further the synthesis of acetylcholine in vitro, using enzyme obtained from frog brain, than is serum. Also, since less acetylcholine was synthesized in the presence of spinal fluid from patients with myasthenia gravis than spinal fluid of control subjects, it is probable that at least some of the factors responsible for the decrease and increase of the synthesis of acetylcholine pass into the spinal fluid.

> Clara Torda HAROLD G. WOLFF

SCIENTIFIC APPARATUS AND LABORATORY METHODS

THE MEASUREMENT OF "FOLIC ACID"

During the past few years we have been interested in measuring the "folic acid" activity of the liver concentrates which we have been using in animal experiments. Both the Streptococcus lactis R and Lactobacillus casei e methods have been used1, 2, 3 and cerattempt to express the activity of a given preparation so that results in different laboratories may be compared. We have found Table 1 to be useful in comparing the results of different workers, and we hope it may be useful to others.

The columns in the table labeled "1 maximum" indi-

TABLE 1

Material	Source	Investigator	S. lactis R		L. casei e	
Materiai		investigator	½ maximum	Potency	½ maximum	Potency
			ug.	,	ug.	
1. Lederle crystals	Liver	Stokstad ⁶	.0025	78,000	.00055	79,000
2. Lederle crystals	Yeast	Stokstad ⁶	.005	38,000	.0005	75,000
3. Lederle crystals		Hutchings ⁷ et al. Luckey ⁴ Teply ⁵	$^{.042}_{.05}$	5,000 2,000 3,500	$.00061 \cdot .0035 \\ .0012$	$70,000 \\ 10,000 \\ 13,000$
4. Parke Davis crystals (Bc)	Liver	Priffner ^s et al. Luckey Teply	$.0013 \\ .004$	77,000 88,000	$.0005\\.0013\\.0004$	27,000 40,000
5. Merck crystals	?	Keresztesy ⁹ et al.		*		•
6. Texas preparation A Texas preparation B	Spinach Spinach	Luckey Teply	$\begin{array}{c} .004 \\ .027 \end{array}$	$25,000 \\ 13,000$	$\begin{array}{c} .002 \\ .0027 \end{array}$	$^{21,000}_{6,000}$
7. Thymine	Synthetic	Luckey	2	50	. 4	9
8. Solubilized liver	Pork	Luckey Teply	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 350 \end{array}$	1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 35 \\ 16 \end{array}$	1 1
9. Liver fraction B	Pork	Texas group Stokstad (calculated) Luckey	200 90	1 1 1	40 70	.5

^{*} Potency for this material is unpublished; however, calculations from footnote 9 indicate it to be 140,000 times as active for Streptococcus lactis R as for Lactobacillus casei e.

tain improvements have been made in each case.4, 5 However, many difficulties are still encountered in any

cate the approximate number of micrograms of material which provides one half of the maximum growth (as measured by turbidity) or acid production (as measured by titration) per 10 ml of complete medium. Although rather large differences may occur between the turbidimetric and titrimetric methods, these val-

⁶ H. C. Stoerk and E. Morpeth, Science, 99: 496, 1944. ¹ E. E. Snell and W. H. Peterson, Jour. Bact., 39: 173,

² H. K. Mitchell and E. E. Snell, Univ. Texas Publica-

tion No. 4137: 36, 1941.

⁸ M. Landy and D. M. Dicken, Jour. Lab. and Clin.

Med., 27: 1086, 1942.

4 T. D. Luckey, G. M. Briggs, Jr. and C. A. Elvehjem, Jour. Biol. Chem., 152: 157, 1944.

⁵ L. J. Teply, to be published.
⁶ E. L. R. Stokstad, *Jour. Biol. Chem.*, 149: 573, 1943.
⁷ B. L. Hutchings, E. L. R. Stokstad, N. Bohonos and N. H. Slobodkin, Science, 99: 371, 1944.