

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, MARCH 4, 1921

CONTENTS

<i>The American Association for the Advancement of Science:—</i>	
<i>The Structural Failure of the Lithosphere:</i>	
DR. C. K. LEITH	195
<i>Scientific Events:—</i>	
<i>Dinner in Honor of the Retiring Secretary of Agriculture; The Annual Congress on Medical Education; The Manufacture of Chemicals for Research Work; Institute for Food Research at Stanford University</i>	207
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	210
<i>University and Educational News</i>	211
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>Human Nature as a repeating Factor—that Thrice-told Tale: DR. W. W. CAMPBELL. Galileo and Wood: PROFESSOR ARTHUR GORDON WEBSTER. Archeological Specimens for Museums: DR. W. K. MOOREHEAD. Publications of the Vienna Museum: DR. DAVID STARR JORDAN</i>	211
<i>Quotations:—</i>	
<i>The Protection of British Optical Industries.</i>	215
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>Kraus and Hunt's Mineralogy: DR. EDGAR T. WHERRY</i>	215
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>Acid Production by a New Sulfur Oxidizing Bacterium: DR. SELMAN A. WAKSMAN, JACOB S. JOFFE</i>	216
<i>The American Chemical Society: DR. CHARLES L. PARSONS</i>	217

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to The Editor of Science, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE STRUCTURAL FAILURE OF THE LITHOSPHERE¹

As a foundation for ordinary human activities it is but natural that the lithosphere or solid earth should be a popular symbol of strength and permanence; but the geologist sees abundant evidences that it has fared badly in the contest with environmental forces, past and present. It has been weak and incompetent; it has bent, crumpled, broken and mashed; structurally it has failed; in considerable part it now consists of structural ruins.

The problem of the structural geologist includes the restoration of these ruins and a determination of the conditions and causes of failure. His problem is not rendered easier by the fact that it is seldom possible to see the structures in three dimensions, and that he must base his restoration on fragments of evidence seen at the surface or on the very limited outlook of underground openings or on inferences from environmental conditions. Furthermore, the geologist seldom sees rock failure in actual progress. If he does he may not recognize it because the movement is so slow. He arrives after the disturbance is over and must infer the nature of the forces and processes from the results. In attempting to picture conditions in the inaccessible deep zones, he must make long range inferences from the few available facts.

The study of structural geology naturally begins with the mapping and description of separate structures like folds, faults, joints, and cleavage. Too often this has been regarded as the end and not as a step toward the understanding of the structural conditions as a

¹Address of the retiring vice-president and chairman of Section E, the American Association for Advancement of Science, Chicago, December 28, 1920.