Corepressor-Dependent Silencing of Chromosomal Regions Encoding Neuronal Genes

Victoria V. Lunyak,¹ Robert Burgess,^{1*} Gratien G. Prefontaine,¹ Charles Nelson,¹ Sing-Hoi Sze,² Josh Chenoweth,³ Phillip Schwartz,⁴ Pavel A. Pevzner,² Christopher Glass,⁵ Gail Mandel,³ Michael G. Rosenfeld¹†

The molecular mechanisms by which central nervous system–specific genes are expressed only in the nervous system and repressed in other tissues remain a central issue in developmental and regulatory biology. Here, we report that the zinc-finger gene-specific repressor element RE-1 silencing transcription factor/ neuronal restricted silencing factor (REST/NRSF) can mediate extraneuronal restriction by imposing either active repression via histone deacetylase recruitment or long-term gene silencing using a distinct functional complex. Silencing of neuronal-specific genes requires the recruitment of an associated corepressor, CoREST, that serves as a functional molecular beacon for the recruitment of molecular machinery that imposes silencing across a chromosomal interval, including transcriptional units that do not themselves contain REST/NRSF response elements.

Specific strategies mediating gene repression and gene silencing are required to generate cell-type diversity and promote inheritable cell-type identity [reviewed in (1)]. For example, the transcriptional repression of neuronal-specific genes is necessary to maintain functions unique to nonneuronal systems. Although the precise mechanisms responsible for this tissue-specific transcriptional inactivation remain unclear, it has been shown that repressor element RE-1 silencing transcription factor/neuronal restricted silencing factor (REST/NRSF) is a negative regulator that restricts expression of neuronal genes to neurons in a variety of genetic contexts (2-4). About 35 neuronal target genes have been identified for REST/NRSF [reviewed in (4)]. REST/NRSF is a 116-kD protein that contains a DNA binding domain with eight zinc fingers and two repressor domains (4-6) and binds to a 21- to 23-base pair (bp) conserved DNA response element, RE-1/NRSE (2-4). It has been shown that REST/NRSF can mediate repression, in part, through the association of its NH_2 -terminal repression domain with the mSin3/histone deacethylase 1,2 (HDAC1,2) complex and with the nuclear receptor corepressor (N-CoR) participating in the context of certain genes (7, 8). The REST/ NRSF COOH-terminal repression domain associates with at least one other factor, the transcriptional corepressor CoREST, characterized by two SWI3, ADA2, N-Cor, TFIIIB (SANT) domains (9), that may serve as a platform protein for assembly of specialized repressor machinery (10–12) (fig. S1).

HDAC-dependent repression of the neuron-specific gene SCG10. REST/NRSF alternatively recruits mSin3A/HDAC1,2 (7, 8) or CoREST complexes (10-12). To investigate the molecular mechanisms involved in REST/ NRSF-mediated gene repression and corepressor complexes, we studied one of the most well-characterized neuronal-specific genes, NaCh type II/Nav1.2, and compared its regulation to that of SCG10 (5-8). In a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP) (8, 13) from Rat-1 fibroblasts, REST/NRSF and CoREST were highly recruited to the NaCh II promoter, whereas N-CoR was not (Fig. 1A). HDAC1, HDAC3, and HDAC2 were detected in small quantities or not at all in some experiments (14). In contrast, REST/NRSF was present on the SCG10 gene promoter with HDAC2, HDAC3, and N-CoR (6, 8, 14). Transfection of a construct that encodes the REST/NRSF DNA binding domain (REST_{DBD}) harboring deletions of the defined NH2- and COOH-terminal repressor domains (6, 13), and hence a potential dominant negative, resulted in the specific derepression of both the SCG10 and NaCh II genes (Fig. 1B). Thus, the binding of REST functions in both establishing and maintaining repression (2-4).

Overexpression of the REST/NRSF interaction domain of CoREST (CoREST_{RID}) (δ) served as a dominant negative in the Rat-1 cells and resulted in the specific derepression of the NaCh II gene (Fig. 1C); in contrast, there was no effect on repression of the SCG10 gene (Fig. 1C). Because CoREST can form a biochemical complex with HDAC1/2 (10-12), we investigated the functional importance of HDACs by treating Rat-1 cells with an HDAC inhibitor, trichostatin A (TSA) (300 nM) (7). When exponentially proliferating Rat-1 cells were incubated in the presence of 300 nM TSA, ectopic activation of the SCG10 gene was observed, with the maximum level of expression activity 8 hours after treatment (Fig. 1D). In contrast, even after a 48-hour treatment with TSA no detectable activation of the NaCh II gene was observed (Fig. 1D). These data indicate that CoREST is selectively required to maintain NaCh II but not SCG10 gene repression.

CpG methylation is required for silencing NaCh II gene transcription. Because TSA failed to reduce NaCh II gene repression and because DNA methylation is a widely used strategy in gene silencing (15), we examined the CpG methylation status of the NaCh II gene in Rat-1 cells. Within the genome, from 60 to 90% of the cytosine methylation occurs at CpG dinucleotides (15-17). With the use of the sodium bisulfite genomic-modification sequencing approach (13), we found that the NaCh II promoter region exhibited a sparse pattern of CpG methylation (C^mpG), with three sites (-447, -259, and +45) preferentially methylated, whereas the CpGs further along the 3' end of the gene exhibited a more robust methylated CpG pattern (Fig. 1E) (14). Treatment of Rat-1 cells with 5'-aza-cytidine (5AzaC) for a prolonged period of time (up to 72 hours) to reverse DNA methylation reduced specific CpG methylation in the NaCh II gene promoter (Fig. 1F and fig. S2) and caused derepression of the NaCh II but not the SCG-10 gene (Fig. 1G). These data suggest that the NaCh II gene might be silenced in a C^mpG-dependent manner.

Among the many proteins that bind to methylated DNA, MeCP2 characteristically binds to single, symmetrical C^mpG pairs in any sequence context (18-20) and has been functionally linked to gene silencing (21-24). Because it is also robustly expressed in Rat-1 cells (fig. S3), we investigated the possible participation of MeCP2 in *NaCh II* gene repression. ChIPs were performed from Rat-1 cells using a MeCP2-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Fig. 2A) and primers from the REST-binding element in the promoters as well as from the 3'-coding regions of *SCG10* and *NaCh II* genes. MeCP2 is present in both the promoter and exon and intron regions of

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI), ²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, Room 345, La Jolla, CA 92093–0648, USA. ³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Neurobiology, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA. ⁴Affinity BioReagents, Incorporated, 14818 West 6th Avenue, Suite 10A, Golden, CO 80401, USA. ⁵Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

^{*}Present address: Beckman Institute for Biomedical Research, Department of Functional Genomics, Temecula, CA 92590, USA.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: mrosenfeld@ucsd.edu

RESEARCH ARTICLES

the NaCh II gene but does not bind to the SCG10 gene (Fig. 2A). No detectable quantities of the methyl DNA binding proteins MBD3 or MBD4 were observed on the NaCh II gene promoter (14). In light of recent reports of a biochemical interactions between MeCP2 and transcriptional corepressors (25), we investigated the relationship between MeCP2 and CoREST. An affinity-purified polyclonal CoREST antibody (6) was used in immunoprecipitation assays (13) to detect direct or indirect interactions between endogenous MeCP2 and CoREST in Rat-1 cells (Fig. 2B). The overexpression of the DNA binding domain of MeCP2 (MeCP2_{MDB}) as a putative dominant negative resulted in derepression of the *NaCh II* gene, but had no effect on repression of the *SCG10* gene (Fig. 2C). The effects of MeCP2_{MDB} on derepression of *NaCh II* gene transcription after >12 hours in synchronized Rat-1 cells suggest that it blocks reestablishment of the repression apparatus after DNA replication (Fig. 2D). Although the class I HDACs were shown to



Fig. 1. REST/NRSF can mediate HDAC-dependent and DNA methylation-dependent repression of neuronal-specific genes in Rat-1 fibroblasts. (**A**) ChIP in Rat-1 fibroblasts, using PCR primers specific for the REST-containing regions in *NaCh II* and IgGs specific to CoREST, REST/NRSF, and N-CoR. (**B**) Analysis of *SCG10* and *NaCh II* transcripts with transient expression of REST_{DBD} or REST_{WT} in Rat-1 cells as detected by reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR). PC12 cells and constitutively expressed glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) were used as controls. (**C**) *NaCh II* but not *SCG10* gene repression is reversed by transient expression of CoREST_{RD}. (**D**) Ectopic activation of the *SCG10* gene in TSA-treated (300 nM) Rat-1 fibroblasts. (**E**) Mapping of methylation status of *NaCh II* gene by bisulfite-modification sequencing in Rat-1 cells (*22*), in the promoter (upper) and further 3' region within the *NaCh II* gene (lower). Methylated CpG pairs shown as open boxes, with unmethylated C converted to T (underlined) upon bisulfite treatment. (**F**) Change in DNA methylation status and (**G**) restoration of *NaCh II* gene expression after 5AzaC treatment in Rat-1 cells. Rat-1 cells treated with 10 μ M of 5AzaC in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 300 nM of TSA. Total RNA was isolated for the detection of *NaCh II* or *SCG10* mRNA by RT-PCR.

interact with MeCP2 via mSin3 (18), MeCP2 is preferentially localized to pericentromeric heterochromatin (20), a region of the highest 5'-C^mpG concentration (21), suggesting that some components of heterochromatic establishment may use MeCP2 as a readout for long-term repression. Our data, thus, indicate a requirement for MeCP2 as well as REST, CoREST, and DNA methylation in establishing and maintaining TSA-independent repression of the NaCh II gene in Rat-1 cells.

To determine whether the similar events that led to the formation of silenced regions at the centromere, at mating-type loci, and during X chromosome inactivation (26-34) pertain to REST/CoREST-dependent gene silencing, we applied ChIP analysis, which revealed the presence of heterochromatic protein 1 (HP1) as well as MeCP2 (Fig. 2E) and CoREST (Fig. 1A) on the NaCh II promoter. HP1 interacts with a specially modified histone H3 (dimethyl Km9 histone H3) and is proposed to cause spreading of heterochromatic regions at the β-globin locus and in X chromosome inactivation (25-27). Indeed, dimethyl K^m9 histone H3 was observed on the NaCh II but not on the SCG10 promoter (Fig. 2E).

Immunoprecipitation from Rat-1 cells of hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged holo-MeCP2 or HA-tagged MeCP2_{DBD} revealed histone H3but not histone H4-specific methyltransferase activity in the immunoprecipitated complex containing holo-MeCP2 (*34*) (Fig. 2F). In contrast, no methyltransferase activity was recovered from immunoprecipitated complexes associated with the HA-tagged dominant-negative form of MeCP2 (MeCP2_{DBD}) (Fig. 2F).

Mammalian histone lysine methyltransferase, suppressor of variegation 39H1 (SUV39H1), initiates silencing with selective methylation on Lys9 of histone H3, thus creating a high-affinity binding site for HP1 (34-36). When an antibody to endogenous SUV39H1 was used for immunoprecipitation, MeCP2 was effectively coimmunoprecipitated; conversely, aHA antibodies to HA-tagged MeCP2 could immunoprecipitate SUV39H1 (Fig. 2G). Two consecutive rounds of immunoprecipitation for the ChIP showed that MeCP2 and SUV39H1 (Fig. 2H) and CoREST and MeCP2 (fig. S4) were present on the same NaCh II transcription units (Fig. 2I). Further, MeCP2 was selectively immunoprecipitated from mixed histones prepared from Rat-1 cell nuclei by αdi-Me K9 histone H3, but not αAcK14 histone H3 or α P10 H3 histone IgGs (14).

CoREST-dependent silencing of a chromosomal region. To identify previously unknown REST/NRSF target genes (5), we conducted a human and murine genome-wide search for REST/NRSF binding sites on the basis of the consensus site derived from experimentally confirmed RE1/NRSF with four invariant residues critical for function and agarose containing ei-

permitting four mismatches (13, 37). This bioinformatics approach revealed 1047 potential REST-binding sites in the genome, all but 40 located adjacent (± 2 kb) to known or predicted genes.

Many of the putative REST/NRSF target genes have sufficiently well-characterized expression patterns, suggesting that $\sim 90\%$ can be assigned as strictly or predominantly neuralspecific. The predicted genes encode a wide variety of functional molecules including ligands; ion channels; receptors; receptor-associated factors; and cytoskeletal and adhesion molecule-factors involved in axonal guidance, transport machinery, transcription factors, and cofactors; a portion of which are listed in Table 1. However, some genes are not neuronal-specific, including a cohort of genes involved in angiogenesis and chromatin remodeling. Evaluation of the effects of TSA and 5AzaC on several predicted REST/NRSF target genes suggests that there will be numerous genes exhibiting REST/NRSF-dependent silencing that require DNA methylation (e.g., SMARCe), as well as genes exhibiting HDAC-dependent repression mediated by REST/NRSF [e.g., otoferlin (OTOF)] (37). Both genes require REST for their repression, but overexpression of $\mathrm{CoREST}_{\mathrm{RID}}$ or $\mathrm{MeCP2}_{\mathrm{MBD}}$ causes derepression of SMARCe in Rat-1 cells (Fig. 3A), whereas OTOF is reactivated only in the TSAchallenged Rat-1 cells (Fig. 3A).

The search for REST/NRSF binding sites revealed that many putative REST-regulated genes were tightly clustered. We found several neuronal-specific genes grouped together in the rat genomic interval 3q22-32 where the NaCh II gene is mapped. In this interval, the only RE1/NRSE elements identified by informatics were in the promoters of the REST/NRSFregulated neuronal-specific NaCh II, GAD1, and M4 (38, 39). Although eight sodium channel genes are organized in a cluster that mapped to the corresponding chromosome 2 interval in the human genome, current information permits only the NaCh III gene to be clearly mapped to the rat chromosome 3q22-32 interval. The HoxD9 gene mapped to the same interval (3q24-32), and neither NaCh III nor HoxD9 contained REST/NRSF sites. Such grouping of REST-regulated genes at the rat locus 3q22-32 raised the questions of whether CoREST/ MeCP2-mediated silencing is imposed on other REST-regulated genes in the interval (such as GAD1 and M4) and whether a similar mode of repression can be extended to genes not harboring REST response elements (such as NaCh III and HoxD9).

Data from transcriptional expression profiling in Rat-1 cells demonstrated that NaCh II, NaCh III, GAD1, HoxD9, M4, and NeuroD1 were not expressed in Rat-1 cells (Fig. 3B), whereas genes 5' (such as GpD2 and GCg) or 3' (such as Cox1 and PCNA) to the interval 3q22-32 were highly expressed. Treatment of



ther preimmune (Preimmune) IgG or affinity-purified antibody to CoREST (α CoREST) and immunoprecipitated material analyzed with the use of antibodies to MeCP2. (C) Comparison of derepression of NaCh II and sustained repression of SCG10 genes in Rat-1 cells after transient expression of the methyl DNA-binding domain of MeCP2 (MeCP2_{MDB}) and holo-MeCP2 (MeCP2_{WT}) in control cells or TSA-treated (for 6 hours) cells. Constitutively expressed GAPDH gene was used as a control. (D) Time course for derepression of the NaCh II gene in Rat-1 cells transiently expressing MeCP2_{MBD}. (E) A representative ChIP experiment from Rat-1 cells using PCR primers designed for the NaCh II and SCG10 gene promoters with specific antibodies to MeCP2, HP1, dimethyl-K9 H3, or preimmune IgG. (F) The MeCP2-associated histone methyltransferases specifically methylate histone H3 (13) with the use of equal amounts of transiently expressed HA-tagged wild-type (Wt HA-MeCP2) and mutant (HA-MeCP2_{MBD}) MeCP2 in Rat-1 cells. (G) SUV39H1 associated with holo-(wtHA-MeCP2) but not the mutant HA-tagged form of MeCP-2 on immunoprecipitation from whole cell extracts with mouse antibodies to HA (IP: α HA); Western blots were developed with rabbit IgG to SUV39H1 (α SUV39H1) (top). Extracts precipitated with the use of rabbit IgG to SUV39H1 (IP: α SUV39H1) were analyzed by Western blotting with mouse IgG to HA (α HA) to detect HA-tagged MeCP2. (H) Two-stage immunoprecipitation ChIP demonstrated mutual occupancy of the NaCh II gene promoter by MeCP2 and SUV39H1 proteins in Rat-1 cells; input from original material.



Fig. 3. Chromosomal interval q22-32 on rat chromosome 3 is silenced in a **REST/CoREST-dependent** manner. (A) Analysis of the expression of SMARC_F and OTOF genes in Rat-1 cells treated with TSA and 5AzaC, dominant-negative MeCP2, REST/NRSF, or CoREST. (B) Derepression of a silent locus on rCh3. Expression profiling for wild-type and TSA- or 5'AzaC-treated Rat-1 cells or Rat-1 cells transiently $\mathsf{REST}_{\mathsf{DBD}}$, expressing MeCP2_{MBD}, and CoREST_{RID} was performed using RT-PCR (one of the experiments shown on the right). Genes containing binding sites for REST/NRSF in their promoters (NaCh II, GAD1, and M4) are labeled with an asterisk (*).

RESEARCH ARTICLES

Table 1. Representative examples of putative REST/NRSF target genes with a response element adjacent to the promoter based on informatics.

Receptors		DNA remodeling	
Somatostatin rec 4	(SSTR4)	DNMT 3A (embryonic)	(DNMT3A)
Seratonin rec 3	(HTR3)	•~SMARCe	(130482)
Seratonin rec 6	(HTR6)		· · ·
Neuronal pentraxin rec	(NPXR)	Nerve terminals/signaling	
Histimine rec 3	(HR3)	Regulator of G-protein 10	(RGS10)
Dopamine D 3	(DRD3)		
GABA rec	(RG2)	Channels	
Glutamate rec	(GRIK3)	Na Chil	<i>.</i>
Glutamate rec, kinate2	(GRIK2)	~Voltage gated Na+ channel	(33591)
Tyrosin phosphatase rec, typeH	(PTPRH)	K+ Ch.NAB2	(KCNAB2)
Glutamate rec, M4	(GRM4)	Hyperpolariz activated Chrom K+	(HCN4)
Glutamate rec		Ether-a-go-go	
Neurotropic tyrosine kinase rec		HUNZ	(165601)
		\sim Ether-a-go-go-like K+ channel Task 2 (K L) shannel KCNKO	(180601)
Crowth hormono rol factor roc		Volt depend Ca+ channel	
~Endrin rec EndA7	(162018)	Voltage-gated sodium chb-3 sub1	(USA242396)
Amyloid b (A4) PLP1	(API P1)	KCNI6	(13/243330)
Melatonin rec 1B	(MTHR1B)	Kenjo	
Olf-rel receptor-4F3	(168119)	RNA binding proteins	
\sim Olf-rel receptor	(123278)	Bruno-like 4	(BRUNOL4)
	()		()
Receptor-associated		Guidance/migration	
C protoin signaling 1	(125007)	Adhesion/Cyloskelelal	(SEMAEA)
Tetraspan TMASE	(155997) (TSPANI 2)	Sema domain// unomospondin repeats	(SEMASA)
Sweet-taste rec T1R3	(126788)	Neurofilament 3	(NEE3)
Sweet-taste let 11k5	(120788)	\sim Ankyrin-like p 1	(169415)
Ligands/neutrophils		Complexin 2	(CPLX2)
Urocortin	(UCN)	Carrier/transport	(0, 1, 12)
Neural pentraxin 2	(NTPX2)	Sodium CA + exchanger	(Sic2A8)
Proenkcphalin	(PENK)	ATPase. $Cu + +$ trans. b -subunit	(ATP7B)
Orexin	(HCRT)	~Similar to SNARE Vtilla-b-protein	(143187)
		ATPase, Ca++ trans plas membr 2	(ATP2B2)
Angiogenesis		Putative Na-coupled cotransporter	(RKST1)
ADAMTS2	(Disintegrin)		
\sim ADAMTS-9 (disintegrin)	(125614)	Secretion	
~ADAMTS-9	(160783)	CPLX2	
Angiopoietin 4	ANGPT4	SIC2A8	
Brain-spec angiogenesis inhibitor 2	(BAI2)	AP3B2	(
		Solute carrier, member 11	(SLC6A11)
Adhesion 1	(00,100)	Solute carrier family 12	(SLC1ZA5)
	(CDH22)	Solute carrier family 6	(SLC6A11)
~ Iudulin d 2 chain	(138099)	Solute carrier family 23	(SLCZBAT)
		Chromagranin B	(снв в)
STIS Muorin VR	(STIS) MYOER	Others	
	(134424)	Calneuris	(136832)
~Ankyrin binding protein	(169415)	Neurevophilin	(150052)
Neurofilament 3	(NEE3)	NRXN3	
Centaurin gamma 1	CENTG1	MEGE11	
Contraction Berning 1		Cerebellin	(CBLN1)
Enzymes		SPIR2	()
Cdk 5 Reg subunit 2	CDK5R2	\sim A Rhodopsin 1	(163535)
GAD1		OSBP2	
MGAT3		\sim Psoriasin1	(149017)
MMp24		BCAN	
Phenylalanine hydroxylase	РАН	• Otoferlin	(OTOF)
PAK7		CAC RR35	
Serine protease	P22	CARPX	
Binding proteins	OCDDE	KES4	
Oxysterol BP3 (ration)	O2Bb2 O2Bb2	BCAN Dan in bata	
Oxysterol DP2 (retina)	USDP2		(PAKVB)
Transcription factors/cofactors		Placental	
POUSP2		PP13	(
		PP13-like	(56891)
		PP 13-like	(FCGBP)
		Obiquitin-associated 3A	(UBASH3A)
Nuclear rec coactivator 5	(NCoA5)		
	(

RESEARCH ARTICLES when treated with 5AzaC (Fig. 3B). Thus, we

could suggest that the region 3q22-32 between

the NaCh II and the M4 genes is silenced in a

DNA methylation-dependent fashion, with the

REST-regulated genes potentially serving as

organizers of the silent interval. Consistent with

this model, NaCh II, NaCh III, GAD1, HoxD9,

Rat-1 cells with TSA did not alter the basal level of expression of genes within the putative REST/NRSF-dependent gene interval but did cause activation of the NeuroD1 gene (Fig. 3B and fig. S5). However, we observed a derepression of all tested genes in the interval flanked by the NaCh II and M4 REST/NRSF target genes

Α

Fig. 4. REST, COREST, and MeCP2 proteins associate with the . 3q22-32 genomic interval. (A) The endogenous NaCh II, NaChIII, GAD1, M4, HoxD9, and a 3' coding region of NaCh II genes were analyzed by ChIP. Experiments were performed in wild-type Rat-1 cells and Rat-1 cells challenged by TSA or 5AzaC treatment. Positions of PCR products obtained by the amplification of genomic segment (G) and internal standards (I) are indicated. (B) Chromosomal region 3q22-32 reveals specific H3 modification at Lys9 (αK^m9H3). ChIP experiments were performed with antibodies to dimethyl-K9 H3 and dimethyl-K4 H3 across and outside of the silent interval.

В



Fig. 5. CoREST-dependent gene silencing. (A) ChIP analysis of the NaCh II promoter in vivo, using murine liver and heart tissues and antibodies specific to CoREST, MeCP2, and K^m 9H3. (B) Model of REST/CoREST-dependent silencing of a chromosomal interval, showing that binding of REST and specific CpG methylation events permit recruitment of CoREST and subsequent assembly and spreading of silencing machinery.

NaCh II

and M4 were all reactivated by overexpression of the REST_{DBD}, COREST_{RID}, or MeCP2_{MBD} dominant-negative factors (Fig. 3B). However, no reactivation of the transcriptional activity of another repressed gene, Neuro D, was observed in the same experiments.

ChIP analysis was performed with the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with an internal standard (40) to normalize the results from the different genomic segments of NaCh II, NaCh III, GAD1, HoxD9, and M4 gene promoters, as well as a 3' coding region of NaCh II (Fig. 4A). The results indicated that REST/NRSF and CoREST were associated only with the promoters of REST/NRSF-regulated genes (NaCh II, Gad1, M4) and not detected on the 3' end of NaCh II gene or on the promoters of NaCh III or HoxD9 genes (Fig. 4A). This is consistent with predictions of the informatics search. In contrast, MeCP2 was present throughout the interval on the six tested genomic segments. Thus, although treatment with TSA did not substantially reduce the degree of MeCP2 occupancy on promoters of these genes, the genomic demethylation consequent to 5AzaC treatment was associated with release of MeCP2 from all of the segments within the interval (Fig. 4A).

Whereas REST/NRSF association with promoters of these genes was observed regardless of methylation status (Fig. 4A), CpG methylation appeared to be required for REST/NRSF/CoREST complex formation on the promoters of NaCh II, GAD1, and M4 genes, because binding of CoREST was eliminated after treatment with 5AzaC (Figs. 4A and fig. S5). Treatment with TSA had no functional effect on the repression status of the genes in the interval (Fig. 3B) and did not alter the associations of CoREST and MeCP2 proteins with tested genes (Figs. 3B and 4A).

These data are consistent with a model whereby the presence of a REST-CoREST complex on specific promoters nucleates a progressive silencing across the interval, perhaps by sequestering the methylation-modified chromatin to an inactive nuclear matrix to allow silencing to spread across the interval. Because the "histone code" serves critical epigenetic aspects of transcriptional control and the correlation of specific histone H3 modifications in silencing of the globin locus, X chromosome inactivation, and the MHL loci in yeast (23, 27, 32), we were particularly interested in evaluating the presence of K^m9 histone H3 and K^m4 histone H3 across the interval by ChIP analysis, with internal standard segments. All known gene targets in the rat Ch3 p22-32 interval contained dimethyl K9 histone H3 but not methyl K4 histone H3 (Fig. 4B and fig. S6). This correlation is similar to that observed for the silenced β globin locus (33).

Corepressor-dependent silencing by **REST/NRSF.** We conclude that the zinc-finger factor REST/NRSF can mediate both ac-

NaCh III

tive repression via recruitment of specific HDACs and gene silencing by recruitment of CoREST complexes (10-12) to specific promoters in a cell type- and promoter-specific DNA methylation manner.

Similar events occur in vivo, with CoREST, MeCP2, and K^m9H3 markers of silencing proving to be present on the NaCh II promoter in adult murine liver and heart (Fig. 5A). With the use of MEME and SP-STAR motif-finding algorithms (38), we located two motifs that are present preferentially within 250 bp of an experimentally confirmed RE1/NRSE consensus site that may be related to the known RE1/ NRSE consensus site (fig. S7). The full importance of these motifs will need to be genetically studied, but one (RE2) is capable of both transcriptional repression and REST/NRSF binding (14). Thus, analogous to nucleation of gene silencing at specific sequences [polycomb group response elements (PREs)] (2), we suggest that the RE1/NRSE element, perhaps in concert with related sites, might nucleate silencing of specific chromosomal regions.

Recruitment of the corepressor CoREST to REST/NRSE gene targets appears to act as a molecular beacon for the silencing machinery, including MeCP2, SUV39H1, and HP1, to propagate and maintain a methyl CpG-dependent silent state across specific chromosomal intervals, including genes that do not contain REST/NRSF-binding sites (Fig. 5B). This model is consistent with observations that DNA methylation by itself is not sufficient for silencing (41). MeCP2 appears to be a critical component of these events in Rat-1 cells, but other factors may operate in cell types where MeCP2 is not expressed. The recruitment of SUV39H1, in part via interactions with MeCP2 complexes, apparently leads to HP1 recruitment and chromatin condensation in cultured cells and in vivo (Fig. 5B). The presence of K^m9 but not K^m4 histone H3 across the rCh3 q22-34 region is consistent with CoREST-mediated recruitment of silencing machinery and the proposed epigenetic program (27, 28, 31, 35, 39).

These observations further suggest that other factors analogous to REST are likely to mediate the silencing of distinct chromosomal regions that regulate other biological programs, some via recruitment of CoREST complexes. Conversely, the expression of REST/NRSF early in brain development and the potential silencing of genes such as SMARC_E suggest that REST/NRSF may also control important roles in early embryonic gene silencing.

References and Notes

- 1. E. J. Richards, S. C. Elgin, Cell 108, 489 (2002).
- 2. C. J. Schoenherr, D. Anderson, Science 267, 1360 (1995).
- 3. J. A. Chong et al., Cell 80, 949 (1995).
- 4. C. J. Schoenherr, A. J. Paquette, D. J. Anderson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 988 (1996).
- 5. N. Mori, R. Stein, D. Sigmund, D. J. Anderson, Neuron 4, 583 (1990).
- 6. N. Ballas et al., Neuron 31, 353 (2001).

- 7. Y. Naruse, T. Aoki, T. Kojima, N. Mori, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 13691 (1999).
- 8. K. Jepsen et al., Cell 102, 753 (2000).
- 9. M. E. Andres et al., Neuron 96, 9873 (1999).
- 10. A. You, J. K. Tong, C. M. Grozinger, S. L. Schreiber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 1454 (2001).
- 11. G. W. Humphrey et al., J. Biol. Chem. 276, 6817 (2001).
- 12. M. A. Hakimi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99, 7420 (2002).
- 13. Materials and methods are available as supporting
- material at Science Online.
- 14. V. V. Lunyak et al., unpublished data.
- 15. A. Razin, M. Szyf, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 782, 331 (1989).
- 16. S. Tweedie, S. Charlton, V. Clark, A. Bird, Mol. Cell. Biol. 17, 1469 (1997).
- 17. M. Brandies, M. Ariel, H. Cedar, Bioassays 15, 709 (1993)
- 18. P. H. Tate, A. Bird., Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 3, 226 (1993).
- 19. Z. Siegfried, S. Eden, M. Mendelsohn, X. Feng, B. Z. Tsuberi, H. Cedar, Nature Genet. 22, 203 (1999).
- 20. J. D. Lewis et al., Cell 69, 905 (1992). 21. M. C. Lorincz, D. Schubeler, M. Groudine, Mol. Cell.
- Biol. 21, 7913 (2001).
- 22. R. E. Amir et al., Nature Genet. 23, 185 (1999).
- 23. R. X. Chen, S. Akbarian, M. Tudor, R. Jaenisch, Nature Genet. 27, 327 (2001).
- 24. A. C. Bell, G. Felsenfeld, Nature 405, 482 (2000).
- 25. K. Kokura et al., J. Biol. Chem. 276, 34115 (2001).
- 26. R. I. Gregory et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 5426 (2001).
- 27. E. Heard et al., Cell 107, 727 (2001).
- 28. S. Rea et al., Nature 406, 593 (2000).
- 29. K. Mechtler, C. P. Ponting, C. D. Allis, T. Jenuwein, Nature 406, 593 (2000).
- 30. J. C. Rice, C. D. Allis, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 13, 263 (2001).

- 31. K. Noma, C. D. Allis, S. I. Grewal, Science 293, 1150 (2001).
- 32. A. J. Bannister et al., Nature 410, 120 (2001).
- 33. M. D. Litt, M. Simpson, M. Gaszner, C. D. Allis, G. Felsenfeld, Science 293, 2453 (2001).
- 34. M. Lachner, D. O'Carroll, S. Rea, K. Mechtler, T. Jenuwein, Nature 410,116 (2001).
- 35. J. Nakayama, A. J. Klar, S. I. Grewal, Cell 101, 307 (2000).
- 36. J. Nakayama, J. C. Rice, B. D. Strahl, C. D. Allis, S. I. Grewal, Science 292, 10 (2001).
- 37. P. A. Pevzner, S. H. Sze, Intelligent Systems in Molecular Biology (AAAI Press, La Jolla, CA, 2000), pp. 269-278.
- 38. M. Mieda, T. Haga, D. W. Saffeen, J. Biol. Chem. 272, 5854 (1997).
- 39. I. C. Wood, A. Roopra, N. J. Buckley, J. Biol. Chem. 271, 14221 (1996).
- 40. T. Jenuwein, C. D. Allis, Science 293, 1074 (2001).
- 41. P. Jones, Nature Rev. Genet. 3, 415 (2002).
- 42. We particularly thank A. Gonzalez (Santa Cruz Biotech Inc.) for helpful advice, K. Ohgi for technical assistance, and P. Myer and M. Fisher for figure and manuscript preparation. M.G.R. is an Investigator and V.L. is an Associate with HHMI. G.P. is supported by the Canadian Institute of Health Research. Supported by grants from NIH to G.M. and M.G.R. and a grant from CapCURE to M.G.R.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1076469/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S7

23 July 2002; accepted 23 September 2002 Published online 24 October 2002; 10.1126/science.1076469

Include this information when citing this paper.

Martian Meteorite Launch: High-Speed Ejecta from Small Craters

James N. Head,^{1,2} H. Jay Melosh,¹ Boris A. Ivanov³

We performed high-resolution computer simulations of impacts into homogeneous and layered martian terrain analogs to try to account for the ages and characteristics of the martian meteorite collection found on Earth. We found that craters as small as ${\sim}3$ kilometers can eject ${\sim}10^7$ decimeter-sized fragments from Mars, which is enough to expect those fragments to appear in the terrestrial collection. This minimum crater diameter is at least four times smaller than previous estimates and depends on the physical composition of the target material. Terrain covered by a weak layer such as an impact-generated regolith requires larger, therefore rarer, impacts to eject meteorites. Because older terrain is more likely to be mantled with such material, we estimate that the martian meteorites will be biased toward younger ages, which is consistent with the meteorite collection.

Past models of the origin of the martian meteorite suite (1-3), which supposed that the meteorites were launched from relatively large craters, are unable to account for the presently known distribution of cosmic ray exposure

(CRE) ages (4). Using the known present-day lunar flux of impactors, extrapolated to Mars (5, 6), the probability of even one 12-km-diameter crater forming on Shergottite-age terrain is \sim 0.04. In contrast, CRE ages (Table 1) and petrology (7, 8) indicate that the known martian meteorites were launched in six or seven events. Thus, the observed launch frequency disagrees by two orders of magnitude with the estimated cratering rate. Appeals to statistics of small numbers are unsatisfactory, given the large number of launch events now recognized.

29 NOVEMBER 2002 VOL 298 SCIENCE www.sciencemag.org

¹Department of Planetary Sciences, University of Arizona, 1629 East University Boulevard, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA. ²Raytheon Missile Systems, Post Office Box 11337, Building 805, M/S L5, Tucson, AZ 85734--1337, USA. ³Institute for the Dynamics of Geospheres, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia 117939.