SCIENCE'S COMPASS

have preferential early access to the entire assembly, and they should collaborate on a finished sequence publication.

STEPHEN A. GOFF

Torrey Mesa Research Institute, Syngenta, 3115 Merryfield Row, San Diego, CA 92121, USA. E-mail: stephen.goff@syngenta.com

Bowties and Brainiacs at the AAAS Meeting

WHEN I TOLD MY SISTER I'D BE COMING TO Boston for the annual American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) meeting in February, her response was predictable. "These people have their convention on Valentine's Day? What, are they all single? Don't they have lives?"

My response: "I just told you they were scientists."

Billed as the scene "where all of science meets," it was held over 5 days at a sprawling convention center-hotel complex now filled with an avalanche of intellect (if by avalanche we mean predominantly white and gray). There

were various lively symposiums such as "The new biology of rocks," "Mathematics and science of origami," and "Rethinking the role of affiliation and aggression in primate groups." "Humans may not be as aggressive and competitive as thought," the Washington University press release for this last session noted, which made me wonder if their re-

searchers had observed and experienced the cunning attacks, jealous rages, and biting of backs commonly associated with top primates engaged in academic peer review.

I next checked out a well-attended seminar on nanotechnology but was disappointed that it didn't really involve any big ideas. I suppose I could have rushed through a lot more sessions like "Animal parts for humans? Xenotransplantation science, ethics, policy and publics," but I didn't want to make a pig of myself. I did make it to a session on Science in Cuba, which started half an hour late, or, as they'd say in Havana, two hours early, compañiero.

The first press briefing I attended was titled "Avian cognition: When being called 'bird brain' is a compliment." Alan Kamil of the University of Nebraska told us how the Clarke's nutcracker can store 25,000 pine seeds every fall and later use spatial memory and landmarks to recover them. He found that one of his graduate students could only recall multiple hiding places with 50% of the accuracy of a Clarke's nutcracker. Of course, the nutcrackers are more highly motivated than grad students, since they can actually live on birdseed.

On Friday morning the President's science adviser, John Marburger, gave a well-attended speech. During follow-up questioning, he agreed that the bulk of the scientific community has reached consensus on human-enhanced global warming. "Everyone understands we have to go to a zero world carbon economy," he explained. Expected White House response, "The President totally agrees with Dr. Marburger that in order to achieve energy independence we have to drill for oil in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge."

Climate-related panels warned of increased droughts and floods, more rapid sea-level rise, faster glacial melting, and a boom in shark populations around Alaska (which could create competitive pressure on members of the State Bar). The good news? Urban smog and pollution may be slowing the rate of heating by contributing to reflective cloud formation. Between that and the bioterrorism ball-

room event, I was glad to

see NASA sponsoring an alternative panel, "Interstellar travel and multi-generation space ships."

Later I attended a session where French physicist Maria Spiropulu explained her theory of the fourth dimension and how one couldn't literally be sucked into a black hole, which still left

the unanswered question, so where did all those Enron billions go?

Unfortunately, one great technological challenge continues to stymie America's top scientists. I was deeply moved by how many continue to struggle with overhead projectors that often delay, distort, or simply make a mockery of their carefully arranged transparencies. Although it's admittedly easy to ridicule science and its culture (and fun too), I still have to acknowledge a modest sense of awe as I learned about cutting-edge discoveries in human origins (monkeys you say?), astronomy, behavioral genetics, and brain structure (I think, therefore my prefrontal cortex neural mechanisms are functioning).

I certainly experienced a sense of wonder staring at a picture of a transistor the width of a single electron. I wondered what kind of batteries it came with.

DAVID HELVARG

4007 Connecticut Avenue, NW, #312, Washington, DC 20008, USA. E-mail: Helvarg@aol.com

Regulating Natural Health Products

IN HIS RECENT EDITORIAL "THE FUTURE OF medicine" (11 Jan., p. 233), C. Everett Koop calls attention to the growing use of alternative medicine and the need to prove the efficacy and safety of dietary supplements. He is correct when he compares the current situation to the snake oil days of a century ago that led to passage of the Pure Food and Drug Act of 1906. However, in 1994, Congress passed the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA), in response to a massive lobbying effort by the natural products industry. This law exempts the manufacturers of dietary supplements from having to prove safety or efficacy before marketing. The burden of proof is thus on the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to show that something is not safe, and to do this, the FDA must rely on an inefficient system of voluntary reporting of adverse events. The net result of this is a relatively unregulated industry that was estimated to have sales of \$17.1 billion in 2000 (1).

Koop calls upon the "natural products industry to work with medical research, including the FDA" to assure safety and efficacy. While this is certainly something that should happen, it is naïve to think that it will occur in the absence of regulatory requirements. Although many in the industry support the FDA's proposed Good Manufacturing Practices (which address only issues of quality control), the industry has no incentive to voluntarily fund research that might reveal that some products are neither safe nor effective.

Congress must repeal or amend the DSHEA to give the FDA the power to regulate nutritional supplements by requiring scientific proof of efficacy and safety. Until that happens, it is essential that adequate resources be made available to the FDA and the Federal Trade Commission to enforce existing rules covering claims made on labels and in other advertising. Manufacturers should also be required to label all dietary supplements with a toll-free number and a Web URL for reporting adverse events directly to the FDA (2).

JOYCE C. LASHOF,* SHELDON MARGEN, JOHN E. SWARTZBERG

School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720–7360, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jlashof@uclink4.berkeley.edu

References and Notes

- U.S. dietary supplements market size expressed as dollar sales by top six product categories for 1994 to 1998 and forecast for 1999 and 2000, National Business Journal (Dialog file No. 93, Dialog Corporation, San Francisco, CA, 2000).
- J. C. Lashof, Testimony before the Senate Select Committee on Aging, 10 September 2001.