- 16. Y.-A. Soh, G. Aeppli, N. D. Mathur, M. G. Blamire, Phys. Rev. B 63, 020402 (2001).
- 17. J. Nogués, I. K. Schuller, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 192, 203 (1999).

18. J. E. Mattson, S. D. Bader, M. B. Brodsky, J. B. Ketterson, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 109, 179 (1992).

- 19. H. Zabel, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 11, 9303 (1999). 20. M. N. Baibich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2472 (1988)
- 21. A. Scholl et al., Science 287, 1014 (2000).
- 22. F. Nolting et al., Nature 405, 767 (2000).

 $(T_{\rm c} \sim 90 \text{ K})$  that provide evidence of a sharp

been extensively characterized by neutron

scattering in the bilayer copper oxide

 $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}$  (3–5) and was recently also

observed in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ , another bilayer

compound (6, 7). At all doping levels, strong

line shape anomalies of this collective spin

excitation below  $T_c$  bear witness to a substantial

interaction with charged quasiparticles. Con-

versely, anomalies in the quasiparticle spectra

observed by photoemission (8, 9), optical con-

ductivity (10-13), tunneling (13, 14), and Ra-

man scattering (15) techniques have been inter-

preted as evidence of coupling to the neutron

mode. In the copper oxides [as well as some

heavy fermion materials, where similar obser-

vations have been made (16)], the correspon-

dence between anomalous features in the spec-

tra of spin and charge excitations has stimulated

spin fluctuation-based pairing scenarios (9, 11,

16) that are, however, still controversial (17-

19). Other theories of high-temperature super-

conductivity also rely on the resonant mode

(20). Therefore, it is of great interest to establish

whether the resonant spin excitation is a general

feature of the various crystallographically dis-

tinct families of superconducting copper ox-

ides. The failure to detect such an excitation in

the single-layer compound  $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4+\delta}$ ,

despite much experimental effort (21), has

hampered a unified phenomenology of the cop-

per oxides. Also, the prospect that the mode

could be a spectral feature specific to bilaver

materials has cast a cloud over models in

A resonant spin excitation of this kind has

magnetic resonant mode below  $T_c$ .

## Magnetic Resonant Mode in the Single-Layer High-Temperature Superconductor $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$

## H. He,<sup>1</sup> P. Bourges,<sup>2</sup> Y. Sidis,<sup>2</sup> C. Ulrich,<sup>1</sup> L. P. Regnault,<sup>3</sup> S. Pailhès,<sup>2</sup> N. S. Berzigiarova,<sup>4</sup> N. N. Kolesnikov,<sup>4</sup> B. Keimer<sup>1\*</sup>

An unusual spin excitation mode observed by neutron scattering has inspired numerous theoretical studies of the interplay between charged quasiparticles and collective spin excitations in the copper oxide high-temperature superconductors. The mode has, thus far, only been observed in materials with crystal structures consisting of copper oxide bilayers, and it is absent in the single-layer compound  $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4+\delta}$ . Neutron-scattering data now show that the mode is present in  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ , a single-layer compound with a superconducting transition temperature of  $\sim$ 90 kelvin, demonstrating that it is a generic feature of the copper oxide superconductors, independent of the layer sequence. This restricts the theoretical models for the origin of the resonant mode and its role in the mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity.

Electronic conduction in the copper oxide hightemperature superconductors takes place predominantly in structural units of chemical composition CuO<sub>2</sub>, in which copper and oxygen atoms form an approximately square planar arrangement. Therefore, most theoretical models of high-temperature superconductivity are based on a two-dimensional square lattice. In real materials, however, deviations from this simple situation are nearly always present. For instance, buckling distortions of the CuO<sub>2</sub> layers found in many copper oxides are thought to have an important influence on the electronic structure and on the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$ . Interlayer interactions in materials with closely spaced CuO2 layers (forming bi- or trilayer units) or additional copper oxide chains in the crystal structure present further complications whose influence on the superconducting properties remains a subject of debate. Experiments on  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$ , a material with unbuckled, widely spaced CuO<sub>2</sub> layers and a maximum  $T_c$  around 90 K, have played a pivotal role in resolving some issues central to our understanding of these materials (1, 2). We report inelastic neutron-scattering measurements of Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>6+δ</sub> near optimum doping

23. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported

Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under contract no. W-31-109-Eng-38. The authors thank R. Pindak and G. Blumberg for helpful discussions.

by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science,

5 October 2001; accepted 8 January 2002

which spin excitations play a central role. The result of our experiment on single-layer  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  (whose  $T_c \sim 90$  K is closely similar to that of optimally doped  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}$  and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ ) implies that strong magnetic interactions between closely spaced CuO<sub>2</sub> layers are not required for the formation of the resonant mode. The different form of the spin excitation spectrum of  $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4+\delta}$  may be due to the proximity of a competing instability (22) that could also be responsible for the anomalously low  $T_c \leq 40$  K.

Inelastic magnetic neutron-scattering measurements on conventional triple axis or time-of-flight spectrometers require highquality copper oxide single crystals with volumes of at least 1 cm<sup>3</sup>, which are only available for the  $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4+\delta}$ and  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}$  families. Due to advances in focusing techniques that improve the neutron beam delivery onto small samples, experiments on single crystals of Bi<sub>2</sub>Sr<sub>2</sub>CaCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8+δ</sub> with an order of magnitude smaller volumes have recently become feasible (6, 7). Unfortunately, the crystal growth of the Tl- and Hg-based copper oxide superconductors (which include the only known single-layer compounds with  $T_c$  of order 90 K) has suffered from severe technical difficulties, chief among them the toxicity of some of the constituents. With typical crystal volumes well below 1 mm<sup>3</sup>, inelastic neutron scattering on these compounds has, thus far, appeared hopeless. We have overcome these difficulties by synthesizing about 300 relatively large  $(0.5 \text{ to } 3 \text{ mm}^3)$  single crystals of  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  through a CuO-rich flux technique (23) and co-aligning them in a mosaic of total volume 0.11 cm<sup>3</sup> using Laue x-ray diffraction (Fig. 1A). The crystallographic axes of the individual crystals in the array were aligned with an accuracy of about 1.5° (Fig. 1C). Before alignment, the magnetic susceptibilities of all crystals were measured as a function of temperature (typical data are shown in Fig. 1B). The mean  $T_c$  (onset) of the individual crystals used in the array was 92.5 K, with a standard deviation of 2 K.

Compared with previous neutron-scattering experiments on much larger crystals of  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ , the background from the Al plates and the adhesive holding the multicrystal array (Fig. 1A) was enhanced, whereas the signal amplitude is substantially smaller due to the single-layer structure. In order to compensate for this reduction in signal-to-background ratio, counting times of up to 5 hours

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CEA-CNRS, CE Saclay, 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France. <sup>3</sup>CEA Grenoble, Département de Recherche Fondamentale sur la Matière Condensée, 38054 Grenoble cedex 9, France. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Science, Chernogolovka, 142432 Russia

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: b.keimer@fkf.mpg.de



**Fig. 1.** (**A**) Photograph of the array of co-oriented  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  single crystals. The crystals are glued onto Al plates, only two of which are shown for clarity. (**B**) Typical diamagnetic shielding curves measured by superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry on individual crystals. (**C**) Rocking curve of the entire multicrystal array around the (1,1,0) Bragg reflection. The line is a Gaussian with a full width at half maximum of 1.5°. (**D**) Constant-energy scan along **Q** = (2,2,*L*) at excitation energy 3.1 meV and temperature 100 K, showing two counterpropagating transverse acoustic phonons. The wave vector **Q** is given in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.). The data were taken at the 2T1 triple axis spectrometer at the Orphée research reactor (Saclay, France), with a pyrolytic graphite (PG) monochromator and a PG analyzer set at the (0,0,2) reflection and a fixed final energy of 14.7 meV. Three PG filters were inserted into the neutron beam to eliminate higher order contamination. The asymmetry of the profile is a resolution effect, and the solid line shows the result of a numerical convolution of a standard acoustic phonon dispersion with the spectrometer resolution. T, temperature; emu, electromagnetic units; cps, counts per second; cpm, counts per minute.

the basis of a calibration measurement of acoustic phonons (Fig. 1D), the spectral weight of the mode (that is, the energy-integrated intensity) at  $Q_{AF}$  is determined as 0.7 ± 0.25  $\mu_B^2$ , which corresponds to 0.02  $\pm$  0.007  $\mu_B^2$  when averaged over the entire Brillouin zone. Both the Q width and the absolute spectral weight per CuO<sub>2</sub> plane of the peak observed in  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  are identical within the errors to those of the resonant mode measured in  $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$  (4, 24), as are its dependence on energy and temperature. Although for  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  the experimental information is much more limited at this time than for the latter material (large crystals of which have been studied for more than a decade), the accumulation of independent matching features allows us to infer with confidence a common origin of both phenomena. Other possible explanations of the observations in  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  (such as phonon anomalies) would require an implausible coincidence, especially because above  $T_c$  the raw constantenergy scans (Fig. 2B) and the raw constant-Q scans around 47 meV (Fig. 3A) are featureless.

and  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  are resolution-limited in energy, whereas a substantial broadening is found in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$  (6, 7). As even small concentrations of impurities systematically introduced into YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> broaden the mode considerably (24, 25), the broadening in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$  was attributed to disorder. The sharpness of the mode observed in optimally doped Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>6+8</sub> appears to imply that disorder effects are minimal in this material, a surprising finding in view of the fact that  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  (in contrast to  $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ ) is nonstoichiometric. It also means that the models of Carbotte et al. and of Schachinger and Carbotte (11, 12), though qualitatively correct in predicting the presence of a resonant spin excitation in Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>6+8</sub>, need to be reevaluated in view of the fact that the experimentally determined peak width is much smaller than predicted on the basis of the optical conductivity data. The mode energy found in  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  exceeds the ones in both  $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$  and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ . This is in agreement with models that describe the resonant mode as a collective excitation pulled below the quasiparticle pair production continuum by exchange interactions (8, 9, 26-29).

around the in-plane wave vector  $\mathbf{Q}_{AF} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$ that is present only below  $T_c$ ; when the doping is reduced, magnetic intensity is observed also above  $T_c$ . (The components of the wave vector are denoted as  $\mathbf{Q} = (H, K, L)$  and given in units of the reciprocal lattice vectors  $a^* = b^* = 1.62$  $Å^{-1}$  and  $c^* = 0.27$   $Å^{-1}$  of the tetragonal structure.) As a function of the wave vector component L perpendicular to the CuO<sub>2</sub> layers, one observes an intensity modulation due to strong interlayer interactions within a bilayer unit (3-7). Because the  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  are much further apart and, hence, much more weakly interacting, it is expected that this modulation is absent and that the magnetic intensity is independent of L, except for a slow decrease with increasing L due to the magnetic form factor. The absence of the bilaver modulation goes along with a twofold reduction in signal amplitude, which is further compounded by the lower density of CuO2 planes in the  $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$  structure.

per data point had to be used. Of particular

interest was the energy range around 40 meV, where the resonant mode was observed in both

 $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}$  and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$  near op-

timum doping. In these two materials, the mode manifests itself in a sharply enhanced intensity

The constant-energy scans (Fig. 2) exhibit this characteristic signature, albeit at an energy of 47 meV, somewhat larger than the mode energy in the optimally doped bilayer compounds (40 meV); constant-energy scans around 40 meV were featureless (Fig. 2D). These data were taken on two different spectrometers with different final energies, with identical results. Above  $T_c$ , the scans show a featureless background that gradually decreases in an energyand Q-independent fashion as the temperature is lowered. In the superconducting state, a sharp peak centered at  $Q_{\rm AF}$  appears on top of this background. As expected for magnetic scattering that is uncorrelated from layer to layer, the peak intensities measured at two inequivalent L-positions (10.7 and 12.25) are identical within the errors. The identification of this peak with the magnetic resonant mode is further supported by comparing constant-Q scans at  $Q = Q_{AF}$ above and below  $T_c$ . The difference signal shows a peak that is resolution-limited in energy (Fig. 3),<sup>3</sup> in agreement with observations in optimally doped YBa2Cu3O6+8.

Constant-energy scans taken at different temperatures were fitted to Gaussian profiles (Fig. 2). The best overall fit was provided by an intrinsic **Q** width of  $0.23 \pm 0.05$  Å<sup>-1</sup> (full width at half maximum). The data were subsequently refitted with the **Q** width kept fixed in order to reduce scatter, and the peak amplitude was extracted as a function of temperature (Fig. 4). There is some indication of a broad peak centered around **Q**<sub>AF</sub> even above *T*<sub>c</sub>, as observed in underdoped YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6+8</sub> (3-5), but this is well within the experimental error. On

The resonant modes of both  $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ 



**Fig. 2.** (**A** and **B**) Constant-energy scans along  $\mathbf{Q} = (H,H,L)$  with L = 10.7 at an energy of 47.5 meV, taken on 2T under the same conditions as the data of Fig. 1D. (**C** and **D**) Constant-energy scans at 47 and 40 meV, respectively, taken on the IN22 spectrometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin (Grenoble, France), with a PG (0,0,2) monochromator and analyzer and fixed final energy of 30.5 meV. The wave vector **Q** is given in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.). The background revealed by scans above  $T_c$  (B) remained constant upon lowering the temperature, except for a **Q**-independent scale factor. The lines in the upper panels are the results of fits as described in the text. Solid circles, data taken below  $T_c$ ; open circles, data taken above  $T_c$ .



**Fig. 3.** Constant-**Q** scans at **Q** = (0.5, 0.5, 12.25) taken under the same conditions as the data of Fig. 1D. (**A**) Raw data at T = 99 K ( $>T_c$ ) and T = 27 K ( $<T_c$ ). (**B**) Difference between the two scans of (**A**). The line is a Gaussian profile whose width equals the experimental energy resolution.

Thus, the lower mode energy in the bilayer materials could be a consequence of the strong interactions between the two  $CuO_2$  layers that form a bilayer unit (26–29), assuming that the energy gap and, hence, the pair production



**Fig. 4.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic intensity extracted from fits to constantenergy scans at energy 47.5 meV around the in-plane wave vector  $\mathbf{Q}_{AF} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  (Fig. 2). The data were taken at different components of the out-of-plane wave vector *L*. The intensities measured at the two spectrometers 2T (left scale) and IN22 (right scale) differ due to instrumental effects such as the incident neutron flux.

threshold are identical in materials with identical  $T_c$ , as indicated by electronic Raman scattering results (30).

The most important implication of the findings reported here regards the unified phenomenological picture recently developed for spin and charge spectroscopies of the copper oxides (8-14). The spectral anomalies that have been interpreted as evidence of coupling to the collective spin excitation are also present in optical conductivity (31) and tunneling (32) data on single-layer Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>6+δ</sub> and are equally pronounced in analogous data on bilayer materials. If the mode had turned out to be absent (or its spectral weight substantially diminished) in Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>6+δ</sub>, this general approach would have become untenable. It is now time to further refine the description of the coupled spin and charge excitations in the cuprates, and to fully evaluate its implications for the mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity.

## **References and Notes**

- 1. C. C. Tsuei et al., Nature 387, 481 (1997).
- 2. A. A. Tsvetkov et al., Nature 395, 360 (1998).
- P. Bourges, in *The Gap Symmetry and Fluctuations in High Temperature Superconductors*, J. Bok, G. Deutscher, D. Pavuna, S. A. Wolf, Eds. (Plenum, New York, 1998), pp. 349–371, and references therein.
- 4. H. F. Fong et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 14773 (2000), and references therein.
- 5. P. Dai, H. A. Mook, R. D. Hunt, F. Dogan, *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 054525 (2001), and references therein.
- 6. H. F. Fong et al., Nature 398, 588 (1999).
- 7. H. He et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1610 (2001).
- 8. M. Eschrig, M. R. Norman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3261 (2000), and references therein.
- 9. A. Abanov, A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1652 (1999).
- D. Munzar, C. Bernhard, M. Cardona, *Phys. C* **318**, 547 (1999).
- J. P. Carbotte, E. Schachinger, D. N. Basov, *Nature* 401, 354 (1999).
- E. Schachinger, J. P. Carbotte, *Phys. Rev. B* 62, 9054 (2000).
- D. Manske, I. Eremin, K. H. Bennemann, *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 054517 (2001).
- 14. J. F. Zasadzinski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 067005 (2001).
- F. Venturini, U. Michelucci, T. P. Devereaux, A. P. Kampf, *Phys. Rev. B* 62, 15204 (2000).
- 16. N. K. Sato et al., Nature 410, 340 (2001).
- 17. R. Zeyher, A. Greco, *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 140510 (2001). 18. A. Lanzara *et al.*, *Nature* 412, 510 (2001).
- H.-Y. Kee, S. A. Kivelson, G. Aeppli, e-Print available at http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/0110478.
- 20. S.-C. Zhang, Science **275**, 1089 (1997).
- M. A. Kastner, R. J. Birgeneau, G. Shirane, Y. Endoh, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 897 (1998), and references therein.
- J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, *Nature* **375**, 561 (1995).
- 23. N. N. Kolesnikov et al., Phys. C 242, 385 (1995).
- 24. H. F. Fong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1939 (1999).
- 25. Y. Sidis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5900 (2000).
- 26. I. I. Mazin, V. M. Yakovenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4134
- (1995). 27. D. Z. Liu, Y. Zha, K. Levin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4130
- (1995). 28. A. J. Millis, H. Monien, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 16172 (1996).
- F. Onufrieva, P. Pfeuty, e-Print available at http:// xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9903097.
- L. V. Gasparov, P. Lemmens, M. Brinkmann, N. N. Kolesnikov, G. Güntherodt, *Phys. Rev. B* 55, 1223 (1997).
- A. V. Puchkov, P. Fournier, T. Timusk, N. N. Kolesnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1853 (1996).
- 32. L. Ozyuzer et al., Phys. C 320, 9 (1999).
- 33. We would like to thank B. Hennion for his support during the LLB experiment and P. Baroni for technical assistance. The work at the Institute for Solid State Physics was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research. The work at the MPI-FKF was supported in part by the German Federal Ministry of Research and Technology (BMFT).

9 November 2001; accepted 8 January 2002 Published online 24 January 2002; 10.1126/science.1067877

Include this information when citing this paper.