

Francis E. Grousset

Département Géologie et Océanographie, UMR 5805 EPOC, Université Bordeaux I, 33405 Talence, France. E-mail: grousset@geocean.u-bordeaux.fr

Anders M. Svensson

Department of Geophysics, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen OE, Denmark. E-mail: as@gfy.ku.dk

Aloys Bory

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University

References and Notes

1. P. E. Biscaye *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 26765 (1997); A. Svensson *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res.* **105**, 4637 (2000).
2. A. Bory, P. E. Biscaye, F. E. Grousset, A. M. Svensson, abstract for the annual meeting of the Association of American Geographers, New York, February 2001.
3. R. A. Duce *et al.*, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **5**, 193 (1991).

Response

As observations in glaciers and the atmosphere show, transport around the globe of Asian dust and pollution indeed occurs. I and others have noted the appearance of soil dust in the Arctic (1) that comes northward from mid-latitude Asian deserts, particularly between March and May. This observation is consistent with the spring peak of dust in northern glaciers and with the observations of composition of that dust which Biscaye and colleagues describe. There is a famous episode of a regional "brown snow" event in northern Canada west of Hudson Bay near Baker Lake that came from Asia northward over the north slope of Alaska into Canada without going over mountains (2). The workshop on which our Perspective was based focussed on trans-Pacific transport of air pollution. It was not our intention to imply that other long-range transport pathways do not exist (for example, Asia to the middle and upper troposphere and hence to the northern hemisphere). These other pathways are likely the more probable transport pathways to Greenland glaciers.

We do not believe that westward transport of trans-Pacific pollution stops at the western coast of North America. However, evidence suggests that when material is transported through the lower to middle troposphere (0 to 5-kilometer altitude) in the prevailing westerly winds across the North Pacific, the fraction of material impinging on that coast that is deposited when the westerlies encounter the western North American mountains or that is "cold trapped" (for example, semi-volatile persistent organic pollutants) in cold, high mountain snowpacks is relatively large compared with that deposited in the flatter regions immediately upwind. This trans-Pacific transport occurs rather frequently despite all the storms in the North Pacific (3).

Leonard A. Barrie

Atmospheric Chemistry, Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Resources and Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Boulevard, Richland, WA 99352, USA. E-mail: leonard.barrie@pnl.gov

References

1. A. Sirois, L. A. Barrie, *J. Geophys. Res.* **104D**, 11599 (1999).
2. H. E. Welch *et al.*, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **25**, 280 (1991).
3. R. Bailey, L. A. Barrie, C. J. Halsall, P. Fellin, D. C. G. Muir, *J. Geophys. Res.* **105D**, 11805 (2000).

Careful Planning Created the Society for Neuroscience

The description of the origins of the Society for Neuroscience in the News Focus article "Neuroscience meeting draws crowds, gripes, loyalty" by Laura Helmuth (27 Oct., p. 698) suggests trivial beginnings for the society. Helmuth refers to how crowded the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology meetings in Atlantic City, New Jersey, had become in the late 1960s and says the resulting difficulties (getting a hotel room, finding someplace to eat) "[were] enough to make the neurophysiologists in the meeting decide to split off and look for someplace a little more private." But this was by no means a major factor leading to the society's founding in 1969.

At least a year of discussion and debate occurred regarding the ground swell under way for new experimental approaches to research problems in brain and behavior. Those deliberations took place at the quarterly meetings of the Committee on Brain Sciences of the National Research Council and resulted in tapping one of its members, Ralph Gerard, to assess the feasibility and, if warranted, to implement the formation of a new organization dedicated to promotion of this broad, interdisciplinary biomedical field. The outcome was articulated by Helmuth's quotations from various neuroscientists in high positions about the creation of the spectacularly successful organization.

Louise H. Marshall*

Neuroscience History Archives, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. E-mail: lmarshall@mednet.ucla.edu

*Formerly executive director, Committee on Brain Sciences, National Research Council-National Academy of Sciences, 1965 to 1975.

The Vulnerability of Venice

The vulnerability of Venice to flooding is increasing because of changes in lagoon hydraulics, the irreversible effects of past groundwater pumping, and geologic land subsidence, as well as present and future sea level rise. As Albert Ammerman and Charles McClennen discuss in their Policy Forum "Saving Venice" (25 Aug., p. 1301), the currently favored solution to protect Venice from sea surges is a \$2 billion to \$4 billion network of mobile floodgates somewhat analogous to the much more modest Thames barrage for London. However, this solution calls for the enclosure of the entire Venetian lagoon at periods of

11 reasons to publish

■ **free** **colour** in Research Communications* ■ **fast** 7 weeks for Research Communications, 10 weeks for full papers ■ **latest journal impact factor** 4.349# ■ **impact factor** for Reviews 29.40† ■ **online** archive **free** ■ **online** one week early ■ **free multi-media** adjuncts ■ **international** coverage ■ **no page charges** ■ **frequent** – 24 issues/year ■ 7000 prestigious pages

Are you getting all this from the journal you publish in?

Interested?

Send your best papers to

BIOCHEMICAL JOURNAL

Editor: Peter Parker
ICRF, London



www.BiochemJ.org

*one colour figure will be printed free of charge, a saving of £550; #ISI's JCR/SCI 1999; †Impact factor for reviews in *Biochemical Journal* derived by the Research Department at ISI

Portland Press Ltd
editorial@portlandpress.com
Tel: +44 (0)20 7580 5530
Fax: +44 (0)20 7323 1136

peak tides (higher than 100 centimeters above the 1897 datum), with consequent hydrological and ecological problems.

Although the geologically and anthropologically induced subsidence of Venice is well established (1, 2), several points should be noted. During the peak years of groundwater withdrawal (1950s to 1970s), relative sea level rose by 4 millimeters per year as compared with the 20th century average trend of ~2.5 millimeters per year (2). Between 1952 and 1969/70, the industrial zone



The Piazza San Marco in Venice during an exceptionally high tide.

due north of Venice subsided about 13 centimeters. Additional subsidence was negligible after groundwater pumping stopped (3). However, almost 85% of the subsidence that has already occurred is irreversible and its effects still remain. Furthermore, although human-induced subsidence has essentially stopped in the historic center of Venice, it still continues along the barrier island bounding the Venetian lagoon (3). This continued subsidence not only threatens the future survival of the barrier islands, but also that of Venice itself, placing the historic city at increasing risk to flooding from storm surges. The proposed floodgates can be closed during exceptionally high tides, but they will not prevent overtopping of the islands by extreme surges.

Ammerman and McClennen argue that the proposed system of floodgates is unsatisfactory. An alternative solution for saving Venice might be that used by the Dutch: surround the city by a continuous series of dikes interrupted by locks to permit boats to enter, but only at low tide. Increased loading by dikes would be a secular phenomenon that could be met by periodically raising the dikes to compensate for the subsidence and sea level rise, as is done in the Netherlands.

Vivien Gornitz

Rhodes W. Fairbridge

Goddard Institute for Space Studies and Columbia University Center for Climate Systems Research, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025, USA

References

1. A. J. Ammerman *et al.*, *Antiquity* **73**, 303 (1999).
2. P. A. Pirazzoli, *J. Coastal Res.* **7**, 231 (1991).
3. L. Carbognin *et al.*, in *Land Subsidence*, F. B. J. Barends *et al.*, Eds. (Balkema, Rotterdam, 1995), pp. 129–137.

Response

Certainly the lagoon hydraulics and land elevation have been modified by human intervention, as Gornitz and Fairbridge point out. The centuries-old diversion of the Brenta and Sile rivers shunted fresh waters into the Adriatic Sea, sharply reducing deltaic sedimentation and through-flow flushing of the lagoon, while simultaneously causing an increase of lagoon salinity. Venice's fresh water, drawn from the same dolomite region, is now substantially enriched with organics before being discharged into the lagoon as urban sewage wastewater. Lagoon aquatic life thrives or is inhibited by changes in these various hydraulic factors, as well as by associated nutrient supplies, pollutants, toxins, and biological oxygen demand.

Overtopping of the barrier islands and spits will certainly become more frequent with continuing subsidence and sea-level rise. Caution is needed, however, in making comparisons between observations of land surface subsidence around the lagoon and those made in Venice that are based on fixed points on buildings. The building foundations rest on wooden poles driven down through 4 to 5 meters of lagoon sediments to the much firmer pre-lagoonal river floodplain sediments (1). This relationship, known by Venetians for centuries, has been confirmed by archaeological fieldwork at the Basilica of San Marco and the church of San Lorenzo (2) and is what has made it possible to build large, stable structures in the marsh island areas of the lagoon. In contrast, the benchmarks established on the barrier and lagoon ground surfaces are subject to disturbances and the instability of salt marshes, natural tidal channel deposits, anthropic fills, and silty lagoon sediments that have accumulated over the last 6000 years. So land surface benchmarks can produce different elevation histories than those observed on large buildings. This difference in the two types of elevation markers might explain some of the variability in reported subsidence values (3). The ongoing installation of high-precision Global Positioning System (GPS)-based survey markers in Venice will provide the basis for new data and evaluation over the next few decades.

Any Dutch solution must deal with the urban sewage effluent as well as the added load and any local subsidence caused by such a massive dike structure. With the lagoon depths typically less than 1 meter (except in the 5- to 20-meter deep channels), the 60- to 80-centimeter tidal cycle currently provides substantial twice-daily flushing through the three Adriatic Sea inlets. Any enclosure in this Mediterranean climate with high summer temperatures and low precipitation generates ecological manage-

ment challenges. An examination of engineering options will demonstrate that major improvements in reducing levels of pollution entering from agriculture, the petrochemical complex at Porto Maghera, and the city itself are essential to the existence of a healthy lagoon ecology. Moreover, there will have to be a clean-up of the chemical wastes, including dioxins, that the Maghera plants pumped into the lagoon between 1984 and 1997. The estimated clean-up cost is \$8 to \$40 billion (4). Thus, decades of industrial mismanagement, the local climate, and the need for tidal flushing of urban waste make the Dutch solution for saving Venice more complicated than its successful use in the Netherlands.

Albert J. Ammerman

Charles E. McClennen

Colgate University, 13 Oak Drive, Hamilton, NY 13346–1398, USA. E-mail: aammerman@mail.colgate.edu and cmcclennen@mail.colgate.edu

References and Notes

1. C. E. McClennen, A. J. Ammerman, S. G. Schock, *J. Coastal Res.* **13**, 745 (1997).
2. A. J. Ammerman *et al.*, *Antiquity* **73**, 303 (1999). We have collected further data that confirm and extend the previous findings in Venice (A. Ammerman *et al.*, in preparation).
3. L. Carbognin, L. Tosi, in *Land Subsidence*, F. B. J. Barends *et al.*, Eds. (Balkema, Rotterdam, 1995), pp. 129–137.
4. *Venezia La Nova*, 5 October 2000, p. 3, and 15 October 2000, p. 15.

The Other Stanley Cohen


In the timeline accompanying the Pathways of Discovery essay "Neuroscience: breaking down scientific barriers to the study of brain and mind" by E. R. Kandel and L. R. Squire (10 Nov., p. 1113), there are photos that are labeled to be of Rita Levi-Montalcini (my thesis advisor) and her collaborator Stanley Cohen (my friend). Perhaps the photo that the legend indicates is Stan Cohen is instead that of another Stanley Cohen? My photos of Stan Cohen of nerve growth factor/epidermal growth factor fame show a good head of hair, even at age 62.

Ruth Hogue Angelett

Department of Developmental & Molecular Biology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University, Bronx, NY 10461, USA. E-mail: angelett@aecom.yu.edu

Editor's note

The photo in the Pathways essay was indeed of "another Stanley Cohen," a researcher well known for his pioneering role in genetic engineering. The Stanley Cohen referred to in the Pathways essay, a co-recipient of the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1986 and professor of biochemistry at Vanderbilt University, is pictured here.



CREDIT: (LEFT) A. J. AMMERMAN; (RIGHT) VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY