Are the mounds, causeways, and canals in Bolivia's Beni region natural formations or the result of 2000 years' labor by lost societies?

## **Earthmovers of the Amazon**

TRINIDAD, BOLIVIA—In some ways, William Denevan says today, he didn't know what he was getting into when he decided to write his Ph.D. thesis about the Beni, a remote, nearly uninhabited, and almost roadless department in the Bolivian Amazon. Located between the Andes Mountains and the river Guaporé (a major Amazon tributary), the Beni spends half the year parched in near-desert conditions and the other half flooded by rain and snowmelt. But it wasn't until he made his first research trip there, in 1961, that Denevan realized the area was filled with earthworks that oil company geologists—the

only scientists in the are-believed to be ruins of an unknown civilization.

Convincing a bush pilot to give him a flying tour, Denevan examined the earthworks from above. Much of the Beni is covered by a savanna known as the Llanos de Mojos (the Mojos Plains). But, to his amazement, Denevan saw what seemed to

be the remains of transportation canals, pyramidlike mounds, elevated causeways, raised agricultural fields, and clusters of odd, zigzagging ridges scattered through the savanna. "I'm looking out of one of these DC-3 windows, and I'm going berserk in this little airplane," recalls Denevan, who is now a professor emeritus of geography at the University of Wisconsin, Madison. "I knew these things were not natural. You just don't have that kind of straight line in nature."

Today, almost 4 decades later, a small but growing number of researchers believe that the Beni once housed what Clark L. Erickson of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, calls "some of the densest populations and the most elaborate cultures in the Amazon"—cultures fully as sophisticated as the better known, though radically different, cultures of the Aztecs, Incas, and Mayas. Although these still unnamed peoples abandoned their earthworks between 1400 and 1700 C.E., Erickson says, they permanently transformed regional ecosystems, creating "a richly patterned and hu-

manized landscape" that is "one of the most remarkable human achievements on the continent." To this day, according to William Balée, an anthropologist at Tulane University in New Orleans, the lush tropical forests interspersed with the savanna are in considerable measure anthropogenic, or created by human beings-a notion with dramatic implications for conservation.

These views have thrust the Beni into what Denevan calls "the Amazon archaeology wars." For more than 30 years, archaeologists have clashed, sometimes in bitingly personal terms, over whether the vast river

Proposed park. The Kenneth Lee Scientific Reserve (far right, red) would preserve suspected earthworks that cover Bolivia's Beni region.

> basin could provide the resources for indigenous cultures to grow beyond small, autonomous villages. Until relatively recently, the naysayers had the upper hand. In the last decade, though, several archaeologists, including Anna C. Roosevelt of Chicago's Field Museum, have published evidence that such societies did exist throughout the várzea, as the Amazonian floodplain is known, and the bluffs above it (Science, 19 April 1996, pp. 346 and 373; 13 December 1996, p. 1821).

> The dispute over the Beni is similar. Using environmental arguments, skeptics contend that the Beni earthworks must be either natural formations or the remains of a shortlived colony from a richer part of South America—the Andes, most likely. "I haven't seen any basis for thinking there were large, permanent settlements there," says archaeologist Betty J. Meggers of the Smithsonian Institution in Washington, D.C. "But if they were there, where is the solid evidence?" In particular, critics like Meggers point out, there is no indication of hierarchical organi

zation in the Beni. Without it, they say, the kind of sophisticated society envisioned by Denevan, Erickson, and Balée could not have existed

Resolving the controversy may have important consequences for the region—and all of Amazonia. If the region is inherently too fragile to support intensive use, its most appropriate future may be as a biosphere reserve supervised by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)—that is, as an almost uninhabited eco-park. But if human activity has played an essential role in

the region's ecological processes for millennia, as Balée argues, then careful human exploitation of the land-such as allowing indigenous people to till land in areas used by ancients—is not only acceptable but essential to preserving its character.

"Without a doubt the Llanos de Mojos represents one of the most extraordinary

prehistoric landscapes anywhere on the face of the planet," says Robert Langstroth, a cultural geographer who did his 1996 Ph.D. dissertation at the University of Wisconsin under Denevan. "The question is, how much of it is archaeological, and how much did the archaeological parts affect the natural?"

Anthropological El Dorado
For centuries, the Llanos de Mojos guarded its story well. A shelf of alluvial deposits as much as 3000 meters deep, the savanna was once rumored to house the savanna was once rumored to house the golden city of El Dorado. Protected by its clouds of insects, its climactic extremes, and its inhabitants' reputation for fierceness, it was among the last areas in South America reached by Europeans. In 1617, a ragtag band of explorers finally established that El Dorado did not, in fact, exist in the Llanos de Mojos. The Jesuits ruled the area from 1668 to 1767, while disease ravaged the indigenous people.

Even after the destruction wrought by the Spaniards, the Beni hosted a remarkable

mosaic of indigenous societies until the mid-20th century. Its cultural diversity—and the relative lack of knowledge of the area—led the Smithsonian anthropologist Alfred Métraux to call eastern Bolivia "the El Dorado of anthropologists" in 1942. "Some of the Indians came in touch with the Spaniards during the first years of the conquest; [but] others even maintain their independence today and are among the few natives of South America who still live as they did before the arrival of the whites."

Despite Métraux's enthusiasm—and the

impetus provided by Denevan's later work on the earthworks-the Beni remained largely unexamined. U.S. researchers were put off by Bolivian political instability, by the difficult climate of the area, and by anti-American sentiments fueled by the heavyhanded presence of the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency in the region. For their part, Bolivian archaeologists focused on the highland civilizations of the Andes, with their enormous, glamorous stone ruins. Only in the 1990s did a Bolivian-American team led by Erickson begin the first longterm archaeological research on the earthworks of the area.

ters wide, and straight as a rifle shot. Such features are rare in floodplains, according to Denevan, which to him suggested an artificial origin. Indeed, in Balée's opinion, Ibibate is "as close to a Mayan pyramid as you'll see in South America. ... Beneath the forest cover is a 60-foot [18-meter], humanmade artifact."

Although their research is incomplete

Although their research is incomplete and mostly still unpublished, Erickson and Balée have sketched out a rough outline of what they believe happened here. Ibibate, like most of the hundreds of lomas in the



**Lines in a landscape.** Three pre-Columbian causeways run between raised mounds in Baures, Bolivia. Trees grow on the causeways and mounds, protected from the savanna's seasonal fires and floods.

#### Cultural mosaic

Climbing to the top of Ibibate, a forested loma (mound) 18 meters higher than the surrounding savanna, Erickson comes to a bare patch of earth created by a fallen tree. Bending over the uncovered ground, he points out the dark, almost black soil, which is filled with fragments of pottery. Several pieces of pot rim are visible, along with the leg of a vessel shaped like a human foot. Both the richness of the soil and the abundance of the potsherds are typical, in Erickson's view. "Many of the lomas are almost nothing but enormous heaps of sherds," he says. "I've never seen anything like it—10, 20, 30 feet of sherds."

Ibibate—"big mound" in the language of the local Sirionó Indians—is about 50 kilometers east of Trinidad, the provincial capital. The focus of ongoing study by Balée, Erickson, and a team of Bolivian scientists working with Erickson, Ibibate is actually a pair of mounds connected by a short earthen wall. At the edge of the lower, southern mound is a Sirionó hunting camp; the higher mound is used for gathering fruit and nuts. Several earthen causeways radiate out like highways from the mound toward other mounds. Bordered by narrow canals, the causeways are about a meter tall, 3 to 5 me-

Llanos de Mojos, was initially a much smaller mound, if it existed at all. It was built up, Erickson says, by the original inhabitants of the Beni, although how and why remain uncertain. They could have begun by raising parcels of land to grow crops above the floodwater. Or, according to the late petroleum geologist and amateur archaeologist Kenneth Lee, they may have created the mounds when, for religious reasons, they buried their ancestors in ceramic urns and set up housekeeping on top of them. In either case, the people raised the lomas further by accumulating garbage, the walls and roofs of collapsed wattle-anddaub houses, and, especially, smashed pottery. "The quantity and mass of material deposited indicates that a lot of people were responsible, creating the mounds over a period of at least 2000 years," Erickson says, "hazarding a guess" that Ibibate typically housed 500 to 1000 inhabitants.

The villages, each on its own island of higher ground, were anything but isolated. By studying the geographic distribution and variety of the earthworks and their associated pottery, Erickson's team has tentatively concluded that the Llanos de Mojos was the home of not just one pre-Columbian people but a complex mosaic of societies linked by

networks of communication, trade, alliance, and probably warfare. Beginning 3000 to 5000 years ago, Erickson has written, these cultures erected "thousands of linear kilometers of artificial earthen causeways and canals, ... large urban settlements, and intensive farming systems." For reasons that are still not completely understood, the whole social network unraveled about the time of Columbus or soon after. Smallpox may well have visited the area—many researchers think that an epidemic of the disease greatly weakened the nearby Incan em-

pire in about 1525. In addition, Meggers believes that the Beni, like the rest of Amazonia, was subject to catastrophic droughts.

Erickson's team and local farmers erected their own raised fields to see how they might have worked. They concluded that the original inhabitants of the Beni probably employed traditional agriculture, growing beans, squash, sweet potatoes, and manioc on raised fields; agroforestry, planting groves of palm, nut, and fruit trees; and-perhaps surprisingly-aquaculture. Around the causeways in a northeastern region of the Beni known as Baures, Erickson says, run long, low, zigzag earthen walls that stretch for as much as 3 to 4 kilometers. The structures, he believes, were fish

weirs, used when the rainy season covered the savanna with up to half a meter of standing water. Narrow channels up to 3 meters long open at angles in the zigzag. There, woven nets could be used to harvest fish and shellfish, Erickson says. The openings also funneled fish into artificial ponds as much as 30 meters across. In addition, the weirs are piled high with shells from apple snails (the edible gastropod genus Pomacea), possibly discarded after meals. The structures persist, although no one maintains them any longer; even today, the ponds pullulate with fish during the dry season. "They converted the savanna into huge fish farms," says Erickson. "When you see the weirs radiating out from the causeways, I don't think there's any doubt of the intentionality."

#### **Archaeology wars**

Others strongly disagree, in terms that mirror archaeology's long-standing disputes about Amazonia. In influential books and articles, Meggers and her husband, the late Clifford Evans, argued that despite its rich flora, the river basin's thin, acidic soils can't hold enough nutrients to permit sustained, intensive agriculture. And that means big, complex societies—which inevitably de-

When researchers claim that large, complex societies existed in Amazonia, she says, it shows only that "there's a lot of tricky environmental stuff that most archaeologists either ignore or don't know about." Because tropical lands are washed by frequent, heavy rains, she says, the traces of human occupation are flushed through the soil rather than being deposited in neat layers. Thus a place that was intermittently occupied by a few people can seem to have been settled permanently for long periods—the layers are smeared out. "The climate hides evidence of disoccupation," she says. "The charcoal

samples get displaced. There's a whole list of pitfalls and problems."

In the early 1980s, Bernard Dougherty and Horacio Calandra, two Argentine archaeologists backed by the Smithsonian, excavated several Beni lomas similar to Ibibate, though smaller. They concluded that the mounds were "not difficult to ascribe" to natural forces, especially "fluvial activity." In their view, the causeways and raised fields of the Llanos de Mojos were probably created by a higher culture, perhaps from the Andes, which set up

short-lived colonies that winked out under ecological pressure. "It seems that here, as in other parts of the world, the environment had the winning ace from the beginning," Calandra and Dougherty wrote in 1984. In his dissertation, Langstroth argued, in parallel, that the isolated forests were not created



**Life in the Llanos.** An artist's conception of a settlement in the Llanos de Mojos, some 2 millennia ago.

by humans. "They were created by fragmentation and erosion of natural levees," he says. "It sounds nice to give people credit for doing wonderful things, but the evidence isn't there."

Erickson's critics have also pointed out that structures like lomas, causeways, and

# The Good Earth: Did People Improve The Amazon Basin?

The debate over the existence of a major prehistoric society in the Beni area of Bolivia (see main text) is tied to a broader dispute over whether the Amazon Basin has ever been able to support big, complex cultures. That dispute centers largely on soil quality. Despite its rich flora, Amazonia has many thin, aluminum-rich soils that can't hold nutrients and are toxic to crucial soil bacteria. Societies that try long-term farming, say Smithsonian archaeologist Betty J. Meggers and others, will destroy the soil completely—and their resource base along with it. But evidence has gradually accumulated that the picture of the Amazon as a "counterfeit paradise," to use Meggers's phrase, may be overly simple.

Amazonia is usually divided into the várzea, or floodplain, which occupies perhaps 2% of the basin's 7 million square kilometers, and the terra firme, the never-flooded uplands that comprise everything else. (Oddly, the Beni counts as uplands because it's flooded by rain, not river water.) According to Nigel J. H. Smith, a geographer at the University of Florida, Gainesville, "everyone agrees" that much of the várzea is fertile. What's in question is the fertility of the uplands. For more than 150 years, says Smith, individual researchers have reported that the terra firme contained pockets of good land—in particular the terra preta do indio (Indian black earth) often found beneath ancient indigenous settlements. In 1980, Smith summarized the evidence, including his own discoveries, for the prevalence of upland terra preta. "I got two reprint requests for that article," he says, laughing. "Nobody was ready to hear it."

One reason for the neglect, according to Emilio F. Moran, an anthropologist at the University of Indiana, Bloomington, is what he calls "the problem of scale." Three-quarters of the upland soils are indeed poor, he says. As a result, large-scale maps correctly show the basin as a wash of impoverished land. But on a smaller scale, Moran says, the land is dotted with patches of terra preta. "Even if it only covers 10% of the terra firme," he says, "the Amazon is so big that 10% represents an enormous resource base. It's

bigger than France."

The 10% figure, Moran says, is just a guess. Fewer than 1000 soil samples from the Amazon have ever been analyzed, according to William I. Woods, a geographer at Southern Illinois University in Edwardsville. Last year, Woods and Joseph I. McCann of the New School University in New York City published their study of the soils along the Tapajós River, a major tributary of the middle Amazon. They found scores of black-earth sites ranging from 0.5 to 120 hectares, most of which were still in use by local farmers. Indeed, Woods and McCann believe that indigenous agriculture, far from destroying the soil, actually improved it.

In the past, archaeologists usually argued that terra preta represented ancient deposits of volcanic ash or former pond bottoms. Based on chemical analyses—and the constant presence of pottery—most researchers now believe that the black earth is created from old middens (deposits of waste). This explanation is incomplete, Woods and McCann say. They distinguish between terra preta proper, which they define as the soil directly around human settlements, and what they call "terra mulatta," slightly lighter soils that surround terra preta and often cover areas 10 times larger. The terra preta is the remains of ancient middens; the terra mulatta is soil used for agriculture—soil that has been deliberately altered by mixing with wood ash.

Farmers burned off the forest cover of their fields, Woods explains, then tilled in the cinders. The ash reduces the acidity of the soil, which in turn reduces the activity of the aluminum ions, fostering microbial growth. "In addition," he says, the ash "greatly increases the nutrient-retention capacity."

"I can't tell you how much of the Amazon Basin has been changed," Woods says, "but I can tell you that enormous areas have been modified, which implies a lot of people doing it." Woods would not be surprised, he says, if Amazonia turned out to have about the same percentage of excellent arable land as, say, the United States. Smith agrees: "The soils were a constraint, but people overcame them. Amazonia may have been a counterfeit paradise to start with, but it sure doesn't sound like it was one when they were finished with it."

—C.C.M.

raised fields require sustained mass labor, which in turn requires the coercive, centralized authority and hierarchical division of labor characteristic of state-level societies. Yet in lowland Amazonia, as Erickson concedes, there is "no good historic or ethnographic evidence" for such vertically organized states.

Erickson has a different explanation: The earthworks, he suggests, were erected

by "heterarchical" societies: groups of communities, loosely bound by shifting horizontal links through kinship, alliances, and informal associations. "There are some people working in South America who take a look at massive complexes of raised fields and say, 'This has to be organized by a complex polity," reports Peter Stahl, an anthropologist at the State University of New York, Binghamton. "Whereas Clark [Erickson] says, 'No, this is the accumulated landscape capital of generations of farmers who built it more or less on their own."

Like Erickson, Roose-

velt believes that sophisticated pre-Hispanic cultures occupied the middle and lower Amazon areas she has studied. With abundant fruit, nuts, edible palm, and fish, she says, river-basin peoples "had lots of options that people in [less naturally rich] places like central Mexico didn't havethey could always run away and do what they wanted." The result, in her view, was "much less coercive" societies-"more like epic chiefdoms, where the leaders sponsor buildings and ceremonies"-somewhat like the wealthy, relatively relaxed Indian cultures in the Pacific Northwest and California. "And we're still learning," she says, "about how they shaped this wonderful landscape they bequeathed us."

Researchers who deny the importance of the pre-Hispanic Beni cultures, Erickson explains, have been misled by "archaeology's traditional fixation on individual sites." The traditional method of digging individual sites and measuring their contents is unlikely to produce clear data, Erickson says, for the very reasons Meggers cites: The area's heavy rainfall mixes up sedimentary layers, and the local practice of heaping up earth to create mounds and causeways further jumbles the archaeological record. So, he argues, traditional site excavation must give

way to a study of the landscape as a whole—"treating the landscape like an artifact, as if it were a piece of pottery." Such "landscape archaeology" uses nontraditional tools, including aerial photography, radar imagery, and multispectral satellite imagery, to prepare digital maps of large areas. "My main critique of the site concept is that it implicitly puts edges around each site. But here in the Beni, the 'sites' go on forever—



Re-creating prehistory. A patchwork of ancient raised fields (*above*). Clark Erickson's team and local farmers studied how such fields work by erecting their own (*right*).

the whole landscape has been organized and designed."

A flight in a small plane over the area makes Erickson's meaning clear. "This group of islands is connected with that one, but not those," he says, shouting over the noise of the propellers. "There's a relationship there. ... The raised fields are all aligned in a north-south direction. The landscape is telling us something."

### **Ecological adaptation**

Erickson and others argue that the Beni mound builders began a process of ecological change in the region that continues to this day. Balée, for example, says the Beni, in his view, was "not favorable for welldrained tropical forests until after peopledeliberately or not-made it favorable for them" by raising the mounds above the floodwaters and enriching the soils by burning, mulching, and depositing wastes. After the original inhabitants of the lomas disappeared 300 to 600 years ago, the mounds were presumably colonized by forest. When the Sirionó arrived on the scene-Balée believes, on linguistic evidence, that they emigrated to the Beni about 3 centuries ago, probably from the south—they altered the composition of these forests to suit themselves, creating what Balée calls "artifactual forests."

As evidence, Balée points to one of the most common tree genera on the loma: Sorocea, which is used by the Sirionó to make beer. In the Beni, Sorocea is found only on the mounds, not in the surrounding land with standing water, which to Balée is "strong evidence" that people brought it to the lomas. Similarly, the spiny palm (Astrocaryum murumuru), which has many indigenous uses, is much more common on the lomas than elsewhere—"there's 112 of these here," Balée says at Ibibate, "as opposed to something like 15" in an equivalent nonmound area.

"There is more forest in the Llanos de Mojos because of people in pre-Hispanic times than in spite of them," Balée says. To him, this indicates "that there is no necessary incompatibility between human use and biodiversity in the tropics," and he hopes that conservationists, who some-

times view human actions as a priori destructive, will not seek to curtail the Indians' freedom.

Active efforts are being made to protect the Beni and its remaining indigenous peoples from overdevelopment. After some hesitation, the Bolivian government has established more than a dozen reservation-like areas for Indian groups, although in

some cases they provide little actual protection. Partially overlapping the indigenous areas for the Baures and Itonama peoples—the two easternmost reserves—is a proposed Kenneth Lee Scientific Reserve, named after the U.S. petroleum geologist whose vigorous advocacy of the Beni inspired many researchers, Erickson among them. (Lee died in 1997.) The Centro de Investigación y Documentación para el Desarrollo del Beni, a Trinidad-based nonprofit organization that seeks to develop the area in ways that would benefit indigenous groups, favors the plan. Meanwhile, some environmental groups would like UNESCO to create a World Heritage Site in the eastern Beni. There are already three such reserves in Bolivia, though none in the Llanos de Mojos. Presumably, the first priority in such a management scheme would be conservation—a stance that worries Denevan.

"The Indians created the environment we're trying to protect," he says. "They should get to stay there while we're learning what they did."

-CHARLES C. MANN

CREDIT- CLARK I FRICKSON