References and Notes

- 1. K. W. Kinzler and B. Vogelstein, Nature 386, 761 (1997).
- 2. K. Savitsky et al., Science 268, 1749 (1995).
- P. Athma, R. Rappaport, M. Swift, *Cancer Genet*. Cytogenet. **92**, 130 (1996); N. Janin et al., Br. J. Cancer **80**, 1042 (1999).
- R. Wooster et al., Nature 378, 789 (1995); S. V. Tavtigian et al., Nature Genet. 12, 333 (1996).
- A. Elson et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 13084 (1996); Y. Xu et al., Genes Dev. 10, 2411 (1996); F. Connor et al., Nature Genet. 17, 423 (1997); A. Suzuki et al., Genes Dev. 11, 1242 (1997); T. Ludwig, D. L. Chapman, V. E. Papaioannou, A. Efstratiadis, Genes Dev. 11, 1226 (1997); K. J. Patel et al., Mol. Cell 1, 347 (1998); G. Rotman and Y. Shiloh, Hum. Mol. Genet. 7, 1555 (1998); S. X. Shen et al., Oncogene 17, 3115 (1998).
- 6. S. K. Sharan et al., Nature 386, 804 (1997).
- X. Xu et al., Mol. Cell 3, 389 (1999); M. E. Maynahan, J. W. Chiu, B. H. Koler, M. Jasin, Mol. Cell, in press.
- 8. S. J. Elledge, Science 274, 1664 (1996).
- 9. C. E. Canman et al., Science 281, 1677 (1998).
- R. Baskaran et al., Nature 387, 516 (1997); S. Banin et al., Science 281, 1674 (1998).
- S. Matsuoka, M. Huang, S. J. Elledge, Science 282, 1893 (1998); P. Chaturvedi et al., Oncogene 18, 4047 (1999); A. Blasina et al., Curr. Biol. 9, 1 (1999).
- 12. A. L. Brown et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 3745 (1999).
- 13. T. Shafman et al., Nature 387, 520 (1997).
- D. Blocher, D. Sigut, M. A. Hannan, Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 60, 791 (1991); T. K. Pandita and W. N. Hittelman, Radiat. Res. 131, 214 (1992); N. Foray et al., Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 72, 271 (1997); R. T. Johnson et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 261, 317 (1999).
- A. K. C. Wong, R. Pero, P. A. Ormonde, S. V. Tavtigian, P. L. Bartel, J. Biol. Chem. **272**, 31941 (1997); R. Mizuta et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **94**, 6927 (1997); L. Y. Marmorstein, T. Ouchi, S. A. Aaronson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **95**, 13869 (1998).
- R. Scully *et al.*, *Cell* **88**, 265 (1997); J. J. Chen, D. Silver, S. Cantor, D. M. Livingston, R. Scully, *Cancer Res.* **59**, 1752 (1999).
- 17. J. Chen et al., Mol. Cell 2, 317 (1998).
- 18. R. Scully et al., Cell 90, 425 (1997).
- J. P. Vaughn et al., Cancer Res. 56, 4590 (1996); J. P. Vaughn et al., Cell Growth Differ. 7, 711 (1996); J. V. Rajan, S. T. Marquis, H. P. Gardner, L. A. Chodosh, Dev. Biol. 184, 385 (1997); P. E. Blackshear et al., Oncogene 16, 61 (1998).
- Y. Chen *et al.*, *Cancer Res.* **56**, 3168 (1996); H. Ruffner and I. M. Verma, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**, 7138 (1997).
- 21. H. Ruffner, W. Jiang, A. G. Craig, T. Hunter, I. M. Verma, *Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**, 4843 (1999).
- 22. D. Cortez and S. J. Elledge, unpublished data.
- D. W. Abbott et al., J. Biol. Chem 274, 18808 (1999).
 J. S. Humphrey et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 94, 5820 (1997); K. Somasundaram et al., Nature 389, 187 (1997).
- 25. J. D. Siliciano et al., Genes Dev. **11**, 3471 (1997).
- Supplemental information is available at www. sciencemag.org/feature/data/1044763.shl.
- 27. A. N. Monteiro, A. August, H. Hanafusa, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 13595 (1996).
- C. Barlow, M. A. Eckhaus, A. A. Schaffer, A. Wynshaw-Boris, *Nature Genet* 21, 359 (1999).
- Q. Liu, M. Z. Li, D. Leibham, D. Cortez, S. J. Elledge, Curr. Biol. 8, 1300 (1998).
- W. S. Pear, G. P. Nolan, M. L. Scott, D. Baltimore, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 90, 8392 (1993).
- 31. G. E. Tomlinson et al., Cancer Res. 58, 3237 (1998).
- 32. M. Goggins et al., Cancer Res. 56, 5360 (1996).
- 33. Y. Ziv et al., Oncogene 15, 159 (1997).
- 34. GST-Brca1 expression vectors were constructed in pGEX2Tkcs or pGEX5X3 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the following primers: pDC78 (Brca1 1 to 500), GAAAGCATATGATGGATTTATCTGCTCT-TCGC and GAAAGCTCGAGTTAATTTGTGAGGGGA-

CGCTC; pDC79 (Brca1 452 to 1079), GAAAGCATAT-GGTAGAGAGTAATATTGAAGAC and GAAAGCTCGA-GCTATTTTGGCCCTCTGTTTCT; pDC80 (Brca1 1021 to 1552), GAAAGCATATGAGTACAGTGAGCACAAT-TAGC and GAAAGCTCGAGCTAGTAAGATGTTTCCG-TCAA; pDC81 (Brca1 1501 to 1861), GAAAGCATAT-GTGCCCATCAT TAGATGATAGG and GAAAGCTCGA-GTCAGTAGTGGCTGTGGGGGGAT; pDC113 (Brca1 1021 to 1211), GAAAGGATCCCAAGTACAGTGAGC-ACAATTAGCCG and GAAAGGTCGACGGACTCTAA-TTTCTTGGCCCCTC; pDC114 (Brca1 1211 to 1351), GAAAGGACCAGTCCTCAGAAGAGAACTTATCTAG and GAAAGGTCGACCAAGCCCGTTCCTCTT-CATC; and pDC115 (Brca1 1351 to 1552), GAAA-GGATCCGCTTGGAAGAAAATAATCAAGAAGA and GAAAGGTCGACGTAAGATGTTTCCGTCAAATCGTG. In vitro kinase assays were performed essentially as described (9). The ATM expression constructs were a kind gift of M. Kastan.

- 35. X. Zhang et al., Anal. Chem. 70, 2050 (1998).
- K. Biemann, Biomed. Environ. Mass Spectrom. 16, 99 (1988).
- 37. Single-letter abbreviations for the amino acid residues are as follows: A, Ala; C, Cys; D, Asp; E, Glu; F, Phe; G, Gly; H, His; I, Ile; K, Lys; L, Leu; M, Met; N, Asn; P, Pro; Q, Gln; R, Arg; S, Ser; T, Thr; V, Val; W, Trp; and Y, Tyr.
- 38. Flag-tagged NLS-Brca1 1351 to 1552 was constructed with the univector plasmid fusion system (29). The host vector is based on pCMV2-Flag (Sigma). The SV40 large T antigen NLS was inserted between the Hind III and Not I sites with the following primers: AGCTTCCCAA-GAAGAAGAAGAGAAGGC and GGCCGCCTTCCTCTTCT-TCTTGGGA. pUNI15 Brca1 1351 to 1552 was made with the same primers as those for pDC115 described above. The serine to alanine mutations were made by

single-stranded mutagenesis with the following primers: S1423A, ACAGCATGGGGCCCAGCCTTCTAACAG; and S1524A, AAACTACCCAGCTCAAGAGGAACTCAT-TAAGGTTGTT. All mutations and PCR products were sequenced. Transfections were performed by standard calcium phosphate technique (30).

- 39. pBABEpuro HABrca1 was made by digesting pCDNA3βHABrca1 (16) with Sal I and Xho I and inserting it into the Sal I site of pBABEpuro. The serine to alanine mutations were inserted into wild-type Brca1 in pBABEpuro by exchanging a Pfl MI to Apa I Brca1 fragment from the mutated gene into pBABEpuro HABrca wild type. This deletes a portion of Brca1 because an Apa I site had been created by the introduction of the S1423A mutation. This deleted Brca1 segment was reintroduced as an Apa I to Apa I fragment derived from the full-length S1423A/S1524A mutant in pBSKII(-) reconstituting full-length HABrca1 S1423A/ S1524A. Retrovirus was made by cotransfection of the pBABEpuro vectors with amphotrophic packaging DNA into 293T cells essentially as described (30). Viral supernatants were used to infect the HCC1937 cells. Two days after infection, cells were selected for puromycin resistance with puromycin (1 µg/ml; Sigma).
- 40. We thank D. Livingston, R. Scully, M. Kastan, and Y. Shiloh for providing reagents and M. Huang for helpful comments on the manuscript. D.C. is a Fellow of the Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund for Medical Research. This work was supported by grants GM44664 and Q1187 (Welch) to S.J.E. and grant IRG199A (American Cancer Society) and a grant from the LE. Gordy Cancer Research Fund to J.Q. S.J.E is an Investigator with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

20 August 1999; accepted 30 September 1999

Control of the DNA Damage Checkpoint by Chk1 and Rad53 Protein Kinases Through Distinct Mechanisms

Yolanda Sanchez,^{1,2*}† Jeff Bachant,^{1,2*} Hong Wang,^{1,2} Fenghua Hu,^{1,2} Dou Liu,^{1,2} Michael Tetzlaff,^{1,3} Stephen J. Elledge^{1,2,3}‡

In response to DNA damage, cells activate checkpoint pathways that prevent cell cycle progression. In fission yeast and mammals, mitotic arrest in response to DNA damage requires inhibitory Cdk phosphorylation regulated by Chk1. This study indicates that Chk1 is required for function of the DNA damage checkpoint in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* but acts through a distinct mechanism maintaining the abundance of Pds1, an anaphase inhibitor. Unlike other checkpoint mutants, *chk1* mutants were only mildly sensitive to DNA damage sensitivity. Another kinase, Rad53, was required to both maintain active cyclin-dependent kinase 1, Cdk1(Cdc28), and prevent anaphase entry after checkpoint activation. Evidence suggests that Rad53 exerts its role in checkpoint control through regulation of the Polo kinase Cdc5. These results support a model in which Chk1 and Rad53 function in parallel through Pds1 and Cdc5, respectively, to prevent anaphase entry and mitotic exit after DNA damage. This model provides a possible explanation for the role of Cdc5 in DNA damage checkpoint adaptation.

Arrest of the cell cycle in response to the DNA damage checkpoint in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* does not require inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdk1 (*1*, *2*), and cells arrest in metaphase with active Clb/Cdk1 (*3*). Thus, given the role of Chk1 in Cdk phosphoryl-

ation in other systems, it seemed unlikely that *S. cerevisiae* Chk1 (YBR274w) would be required for checkpoint control. Disruption of *CHK1* revealed that it is not essential, and *chk1* mutants grown asynchronously were not sensitive to γ - or UV-radiation (4). How-

ever, *chk1* mutants were mildly sensitive to ionizing radiation when synchronized in G_2/M with the anti-microtubule drug nocodazole (4). The *chk1* mutants were not sensitive to hydroxyurea (HU) and had no defects in the S phase checkpoint or the transcription of genes induced by DNA damage (4).

The integrity of the DNA damage checkpoint in *chk1* mutants was examined in *cdc13chk1* mutant strains. The *cdc13-1* mutants accumulate single-stranded DNA at the non-permissive temperature and exhibit a checkpoint-dependent pre-anaphase arrest (5, δ). In contrast, *cdc13chk1* double mutants failed to arrest and progressed through multiple cell cycles (Fig. 1A). When cells were arrested with nocodazole, treated with UVirradiation, and then released, *chk1* mutants

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, ²Verna and Marrs McLean Department of Biochemistry, and ³Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work. †Present address: Department of Molecular Genetics, Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45267–0524, USA. ‡To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: selledge@bcm.tmc.edu

also failed to delay the cell cycle as wild-type (WT) cells do (Fig. 1B). Thus, Chk1 is required to prevent mitosis in the presence of DNA damage. Passage through mitosis in the presence of DNA damage is thought to be a catastrophic event because cdc13 mutants with mutations in checkpoint genes such as rad9, mec1, and rad53 exhibit a greater loss of viability than do cells in which only cdc13 is mutated (5). In contrast, cdc13chk1 mutants did not show enhanced lethality (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, rad9 was epistatic to chk1; cdc13rad9chk1 and cdc13rad9 mutants exhibited a similar viability loss. These results indicate that defects in cdc13rad9 mutants other than the failure to arrest the cell cycle may account for enhanced lethality.

To explore Chk1 regulation, we examined HA-Chk1 in cells exposed to UV or γ -IR or treated with methyl methane sulphonate (MMS) and observed that Chk1, like that of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (7), underwent a shift in electrophoretic mobility that could be reversed by phosphatase treatment (Fig. 1D). Chk1 modification was dependent on *MEC1* and *RAD9* but independent of *RAD53* (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, activation of Rad53 was not dependent upon *CHK1* (4). Like Rad53 (8, 9), Rad9 interacted with Chk1 in

two-hybrid analysis (4) [Web figure 1 (10)]. These results indicate that both Rad53 and Chk1 are regulated by Mec1 and Rad9.

The Dun1 protein kinase is regulated by Rad53 (11) and is also required for metaphase arrest in response to DNA damage (12). Chk1 appears to function in a different pathway from Rad53 and Dun1 because chk1rad53 and chk1dun1 double mutants were more sensitive to DNA damage than single mutants (Fig. 2A) (4). We examined the relative contribution of Chk1 and Rad53 to cell cycle arrest. We synchronized cdc13 strains containing mec1, rad53, or chk1 mutations in G, with α -factor and then incubated cells at 32°C to activate the DNA damage checkpoint pathway (Fig. 2B). The cdc13mec1 mutants completed mitosis with a 15-min delay relative to that of WT cells, whereas cdc13chk1 and cdc13rad53 mutants showed an additional 20- to 60-min delay. This delay was abolished in a cdc13chk1rad53 mutant, which completed mitosis with identical kinetics to those of the cdc13mec1 mutant (Fig. 2B). These data indicate that Chk1 and Rad53 participate in two distinct pathways that together are responsible for MEC1-dependent cell cycle arrest.

Progression through mitosis is regulated



Fig. 1. Analysis of Chk1 and its regulation in response to DNA damage. (A) *chk1* mutants are defective in the DNA damage checkpoint. Y300 (WT), Y816 (*cdc13-1*), and Y836 (*cdc13-1chk1-Δ*) cells were grown at room temperature in YPD, then plated on prewarmed YPD plates (30°C) and incubated at 30°C. After 16 hours, cells were examined for microcolony formation. The light bars represent the percent of cells that exhibited a large-budded arrest, and the dark bars represent the percent of cells that formed a microcolony. (B) Failure of chk1 mutants to delay anaphase in response to DNA damage. Y300 (WT) and *chk1* (Y801) cells were synchronized in metaphase in YPD containing 10 μ g/ml nocodazole, treated with 70 J/m² UV, and released into the cell cycle at 30°C. At indicated time points, samples were removed and processed for DNA staining by DAPI (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole) to evaluate nuclear morphology. (C) Failure of *chk1* mutants to enhance the lethality of a *cdc13-1* mutant. TWY397 (WT), TWY431 (*cdc13-1*), Y803 (*cdc13-1chk1-Δ*), TWY72 (*cdc13-1rad9-Δchk1-Δ*) cells (*28*) were grown to log phase in YPD medium at room temperature, then shifted to



grown to log phase in ÝPD medium at room temperature, then shifted to 37°C. Aliquots were taken every two hours, analyzed for total cell numbers and plated on YPD plates at room temperature to measure viability. Data points in (C) are expressed as the percentage of colony-forming units/cell number (normalized to the initial timepoint). (D) Modification of Chk1 in response to DNA damage. (Left) WT cells (Y300) containing either empty vector (pRS415) or pML107(pRS415-HA-Chk1) (28) were grown at 30°C in SC-Leu medium to log-phase, then DNA-damaging agents were introduced: HU (0.2 M); MMS (0.1% v/v); UV(50 J/m²); and γ irradiation (15 krad). After1 hour, cells were harvested for protein immunoblotting (29). (Right) Cells containing pML107 were treated with MMS as above, and HA-Chk1 was immunoprecipitated and immunoblotted with anti-HA antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed alone or treated with alkaline phosphates with or without phosphatase inhibitor as indicated. Asterisk denotes phosphorylated Chk1. (E) WT (Y300), $\Delta rad9$ (Y438), WT (Y607), $\Delta mec1$ (Y581), and $\Delta rad53$ (Y608) cells containing pML107(pRS415-HA-Chk1) were treated with MMS (0.1% v/v) and analyzed as in (A).

REPORTS

by the anaphase-promoting complex (APC), which exists in two forms; APC^{CDC20} triggers chromosome segregation by degrading Pds1, whereas APC^{CDH1} triggers mitotic exit through the degradation of mitotic cyclins. Pds1 is required for cell cycle arrest after DNA damage (13) and is phosphorylated in response to DNA damage in a *MEC1*-dependent, *RAD53*-independent manner (14). To test whether Chk1 contributes to damageinduced phosphorylation of Pds1, WT, *cdc13*, and *cdc13chk1* mutants were released from α -factor at 32°C and examined for Pds1 modification. Pds1 exists in two forms and both exhibited a reduced electrophoretic mobility in *cdc13* mutants (Fig. 3A) (15). This reduced mobility was abolished in *cdc13chk1* mutants but was unaltered in *cdc13rad53* mutants (Fig. 3A). To determine if Chk1 could bind and phosphorylate Pds1 directly, we infected insect cells with baculoviruses encoding either Gst-Chk1, a catalytically inactive Chk1 mutant (Gst-Chk1^{kd}), or Gst-Rad53 along with a baculovirus encoding Myc-Pds1. The Gst-fusion proteins were affinity purified and immunoblotted for Pds1.



Fig. 2. Independent roles of CHK1 and RAD53 in the DNA damage checkpoint. (**A**) *CHK1* loss enhances the DNA damage sensitivity of *rad53* and *dun1* mutants. Logarithmic cultures of the yeast strains WT (Y300), *rad53-21* (Y301), *chk1-* Δ (Y801) *rad53-21chk1-* Δ (Y858), *dun1-* Δ (Y286), and *dun1-* Δ *chk1-* Δ (Y857) (*2*8) were plated on YPD and treated with increasing doses of UV radiation. The viability was examined after 3 days at 30°C. (**B**) Requirement of Chk1 and Rad53 for delay of mitotic entry in response to DNA damage. Y808 (WT), Y809 (*cdc13-1*), Y812 (*cdc13-1mec1-* Δ), Y810 (*cdc13-1rad53-21*), Y811 (*cdc13-1chk1-* Δ), and Y814 (*cdc13-1rad53-21chk1-* Δ) (*2*8) cells were synchronized in G₁ with α -factor at room temperature and released from the block at 32°C. The α -factor was added back to the culture after the majority of cells (\geq 80%) had budded to prevent a second cell cycle. Aliquots were withdrawn at timed intervals to examine DNA content by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis.

Seven percent of the total Myc-Pds1 was bound by Gst-Chk1, but not by Gst-Rad53 (Fig. 3B). The Myc-Pds1 associated with Gst-Chk1 was of a slower electrophoretic mobility than that bound to Gst-Chk1^{kd} and similar to that of endogenous Pds1 in the presence of DNA damage. The mobility shift was reversed by phosphatase treatment (4). Incubation of kinase-bound Myc-Pds1 with $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP showed phosphorylation of Myc-Pds1 in the Gst-Chk1 but not Gst-Chk1^{kd} preparations (Fig. 3C), suggesting that Pds1 can be phosphorylated directly by Chk1.

If Chk1 functions primarily to control Pds1, then cells containing null mutations in both chk1 and pds1 should exhibit a checkpoint defect equivalent to that of chk1 and pds1 single mutants. The kinetics of anaphase entry were analyzed in strains synchronized by exposure to hydroxyurea (HU) and released into the cell cycle (16). The cdc13pds1, cdc13chk1, and cdc13chk1pds1 mutants displayed quantitatively similar checkpoint defects (Fig. 3C), indicating that Pds1 is the principal checkpoint effector regulated by Chk1.

To determine whether Chk1 might function to maintain the abundance of Pds1, we examined the amount of Pds1 in cells exposed to DNA damage. In WT cells, Pds1 accumulated during S phase and declined in abundance before completion of mitosis, whereas cdc13 mutants arrested with large amounts of phosphorylated Pds1 (Fig. 3, A and D). In cdc13mec1 and cdc13chk1 strains, amounts of Pds1 declined before completion of mitosis, indicating that Chk1 controls the abundance of Pds1 after DNA damage. In cdc13rad53 mutants, Pds1 was modified and persisted longer than in cdc13chk1 mutants, and this correlated with delayed and asynchronous kinetics of sister chromatid separation [Web figure 2 (10)]. The abundance of Pds1 in cdc13rad53 mutants appears to depend upon CHK1 because Pds1 destruction in the cdc13rad53chk1 mutant resembled Pds1 degradation in cdc13mec1 mutants. Rad53 also appears to contribute to the timing of Pds1 destruction because Pds1 degradation was 10 min faster in cdc13rad53chk1 mutants than in cdc13chk1 mutants.

If Chk1 functions to prevent Pds1 degradation, providing an indestructible Pds1 should restore cell cycle arrest in *chk1* mutants. We tested the effects of expressing a destruction box mutant Pds1 (dbmPds1) in synchronized WT, *cdc13*, *cdc13chk1* and *cdc13rad53* cells. In the absence of dbmPds1, WT cells reentered G₁ after 180 min; *cdc13* cells arrested in metaphase for the duration of the experiment, *cdc13chk1* cells underwent mitosis at 300 min, and *cdc13rad53* mutants underwent mitosis between 300 and 360 min (Fig. 4, A and B). Expression of dbmPds1 in WT cells blocked anaphase (Fig. 4, A and B), but after a delay these cells exited mitosis, reinitiated DNA synthesis (Fig. 4A), and formed an additional bud on an already large budded cell in the absence of chromosome segregation (rebudding) (Fig. 4B), much like extra spindle pole body (esp1) mutants (17). Expression of dbmPds1 in cdc13chk1 mutants blocked anaphase, and cells did not rereplicate or rebud, indicating a fully proficient checkpoint pathway. Although dbmPds1 expression blocked anaphase in cdc13rad53, these cells exhibited rebudding and re-replication similar to that of WT cells (Fig. 4, A and B), indicating a role for Rad53 in a pathway distinct from that which controls Pds1 degradation.

Rad53's checkpoint function has been elusive, and the observation that it functions in control of mitotic exit provides an important clue to unravel its function in anaphase. control. Exit from mitosis and rebudding requires inactivation of Clb-Cdk1. We therefore tested whether Rad53 might function to maintain high Clb2-Cdk1 activity. HA-Clb2-Cdk1 activity was measured in WT, cdc13, cdc13rad53, and cdc13chk1 cells released from a G₁ block at 32°C (Fig. 4C). In WT

Fig. 3. Regulation of phosphorylation of Pds1 by Chk1 in vivo and in vitro. (A) Chk1-dependent phosphorylation of Pds1 in response to DNA damage. Y808 (WT), Y809 (cdc13-1), (cdc13-1rad53-21), and Y810 Y811 (cdc13-1chk1- Δ) strains were synchronized in G1 and treated as in Fig. 2B. Aliquots were withdrawn at timed intervals to examine Pds1-HA protein levels (29) at 40 and 80 min following α-factor release. The arrows indicate different forms of Pds1-HA, and the shifted forms of Pds1 is

REPORTS

cells, HAClb2-associated H1 kinase activity was absent in G₁, peaked before anaphase, and declined as cells reentered G₁. Kinase activity remained high during the cdc13 induced checkpoint arrest. The cdc13rad53 mutants showed a pattern of Clb2-Cdk1 activity similar to that of WT cells, although with a slightly delayed accumulation and decline. The amounts of kinase activity in cdc13chk1 cells remained high throughout the experiment but in longer time courses began to decline just after completion of anaphase (165 min) (4). Thus, Rad53 is required to maintain Clb2-Cdk1 activity after damage.

Rad53 has a role in the prevention of both anaphase and mitotic exit in the presence of DNA damage. Inappropriate entry into anaphase apparently does not cause mitotic exit because preventing anaphase by expression of nondegradable Pds1 did not prevent mitotic exit. To determine whether activation of the mitotic exit pathway might cause inappropriate anaphase entry, we performed epistasis experiments using mutations in CDC14, a phosphatase, and CDC5, a kinase, that block mitotic exit by preventing activation of APC^{CDH1}. Cells with cdc14 and cdc5

mutations alone arrest with long spindles, degraded Pds1, and high Clb-Cdk1 activity (18-20) but have no change in the rate of anaphase entry in the absence of DNA damage (4). We examined the kinetics of anaphase entry of cdc13rad53. cdc13rad53cdc14-1, and cdc13rad53cdc5-1 triple mutants released from a G_1 block at the nonpermissive temperature for cdc13, cdc14, and cdc5. The presence of *cdc14* blocked mitotic exit but did not slow the rate of anaphase in the cdc13rad53 mutant (Fig. 5B), demonstrating that execution of mitotic exit does not affect anaphase entry. In contrast, cdc13rad53cdc5-1 mutants displayed a delay in anaphase relative to that of cdc13rad53 or cdc5-1 strains (Fig. 5, A and B). This result suggested that Rad53 might inhibit Cdc5 function to control both anaphase entry and mitotic exit. Consistent with this idea, overproduction of CDC5 forced cdc13cdc14RAD53 cells through the metaphase-anaphase transition (Fig. 5C). Overproduction of Cdc5 can also force cdc13 mutants through anaphase and mitotic exit at 34°C (4). Thus, Cdc5 activity is rate-limiting for both anaphase entry and mitotic exit in the presence of DNA damage.

The phenotype of *chk1* mutants is unique



www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 286 5 NOVEMBER 1999



Fig. 4. Controls of sister chromatid separation by Chk1 and mitotic exit by Rad53. (A) Restoration of checkpoint arrest in chk1 mutants by an indestructible Pds1. The following strains contained either vector alone (pRS416, CEN URA3) or pOC58 (CEN URA3 GAL *dbm*Δ*PDS1*) (15): WT (Y823 and Y826), *cdc13-1* (Y827 and Y830), *cdc13-1rad53-21* (Y832 and Y835), and *cdc13-1chk1-*Δ (Y837 and Y840). Strains were grown in SC-Ura raffinose and arrested in G_1 with α -factor. Cultures were shifted to 32°C for 1 hour before release from the block at 32°C. Galactose was added to the culture when most of the cells had entered S phase, as evidenced by budding, and galactose-induced transcription was shut down with glucose 70 min after induction. Aliquots of cells were removed to examine DNA content by FACS analysis (26). (B) Cells were treated as in (A), except were released from α -factor at 32°C into YP with 2% galactose. At the indicated times, aliquots were removed and processed for DAPI staining and cytological analysis. Squares, budded cells; diamonds, rebudded cells; circles, chromosome segregation. (C) Failure of rad53 mutants to maintain Clb2/Cdk1 activity after DNA damage. Y300 (WT), Y816 (cdc13-1), Y831 (cdc13-1rad53-21), and Y836 (cdc13-1chk1-Δ) cells containing pMT812 (Clb2-HA) were synchronized in SC-Ura at room temperature and released at 37°C. The α -factor was added back after 80% of cells had budded to all strains except Y860 to prevent subsequent cycles. Portions were removed at the indicated times to examine Clb2/Cdk1 histone H1 kinase activity from Clb2-HA precipitated with HA antibodies as described (30).

among checkpoint mutants because they are only mildly sensitive to DNA damage. Thus, failure to arrest the cell cycle with DNA damage may not be the sole cause for lethality seen in other DNA damage checkpoint mutants (5). It is likely that the DNA damage response includes DNA repair processes that are not related to cell cycle arrest but are possibly more relevant to the survival of damaged cells. The *mec1*, *rad9*, and *rad53* mutants are also defective for these noncheckpoint pathways, whereas *chk1* mutants specifically influence cell cycle arrest.

This study reveals an additional function for Chk1 distinct from Cdk inhibition (21). Our results are consistent with a model (Fig. 5D) in which MEC1 and RAD9 cooperate to activate Rad53 and Chk1 after DNA damage. These kinases control distinct but mutually reinforcing pathways required to prevent cell cycle progression. The Chk1 branch controls phosphorylation and abundance of Pds1 to prevent anaphase entry. It is possible that phosphorylation of Pds1 prevents its degradation, but proof of this model will require identification and mutation of the sites phosphorylated in response to DNA damage. The Chk1 branch also helps prevent mitotic exit. This function probably also operates by preventing degradation of Pds1, since nondegradable Pds1 rescues both the anaphase entry and mitotic exit defect in cdc13chk1 strains. The Rad53 branch also functions to prevent Pds1 degradation and to prevent activation of the mitotic exit pathway. However, control of the amount of Pds1 cannot be the only function of Rad53 in delaying anaphase because *pds1chk1* mutants show a considerable anaphase delay in response to DNA damage (Fig. 3C), whereas rad53chk1 mutants have virtually no cell cycle delay. Other evidence also indicates Rad53 and Pds1 function in separate pathways (22).

The Rad53 branch of the DNA damage checkpoint pathway may function through Cdc5. Cdc5 is phosphorylated in response to DNA damage in a RAD53-dependent manner (23). Furthermore, we show that cdc5 mutants suppress the checkpoint deficiency of rad53 mutants and CDC5 overproduction can override checkpoint arrest. The simplest model to explain our observations is that Rad53 inhibits the Cdc5 pathway, perhaps Cdc5 function itself. This model requires that Cdc5 normally has a role in promoting anaphase which must be inhibited in response to DNA damage. Although Cdc5 is not required for degradation of Pds1 (19), its homologs have been implicated in APC activation in other organisms (24) and could thus conceivably influence Pds1 stability. Cdc5 is required for cyclin degradation and mitotic exit, and a role for Rad53 in inhibiting Cdc5 could also explain the requirement for Rad53 in maintaining Clb2/Cdk1 activity. However, the mitotic exit aspect of Rad53 function is separable from regulation of anaphase entry because cdc14 mutants block mitotic exit but have no effect on anaphase.

CDC5 has been implicated in checkpoint control in the adaptation pathway (25). The

Fig. 5. Inactivation of Cdc5, but not Cdc14, can restore anaphase delay in rad53 mutants. (A) Strains Y849 (cdc14-1), Y850 (cdc5-1), Y851 (cdc13-1cdc14-1), Y852 (cdc13-1cdc5-1), Y853 and Y854 (cdc13-1cdc14-1rad53-21), and Y855 and Y856 (cdc13-1cdc5-1rad53-21) were arrested in α -factor and released into fresh media at 34°C. At the indicated time points, samples were removed and processed for DNA staining by DAPI and anti-tubulin immunofluorescence to evaluate mitotic spindles. (B) The percentage of Y851 (cdc13-1cdc14-1), Y852 (cdc13-1cdc5-1), Y854 (cdc13-1cdc14-1rad53-21) and Y855 and Y856 (cdc13-1cdc5-1rad53-21) cells with segregated chromosomes was calculated from three separate experiments, as in (A). Error bars represent one standard deviation. To compare these results





released from an α -factor block at 34°C; α -factor was added back to the culture after the majority of cells had initiated budding. Cells were processed as in (A). (C) cdc13cdc14 mutants (Y851) harboring either vector (squares) or pGAL-CDC5 (circles) were released from an α -factor block at 34°C into YP-galactose. Cells were fixed at 1-hour intervals, and the percentage of cells that had elongated their spindles (filled symbols) was determined following anti-tubulin immunofluorescent staining (D) Model for regulation of anaphase entry and mitotic exit by the RAD53 and CHK1 branches of the DNA damage checkpoint in S. cerevisiae. See text for details.



cdc13-1 mutants maintain cell cycle arrest for approximately 24 hours, then reenter the cell cycle with unrepaired DNA damage. An allele of CDC5, $cdc5^{AD}$, blocked this adaptation and allowed the cdc13 mutant to remain arrested for more than 24 hours. One interpretation of our results is that the adaptation response may be due to a gradual weakening of the RAD53-branch of the checkpoint pathway. $cdc5^{AD}$ could represent a hypomorphic allele of CDC5 capable of re-enforcing the RAD53 branch of the checkpoint.

References and Notes

- 1. A. Amon, U. Surana, I. Muroff, K. Nasmyth, Nature 355, 368 (1992).
- 2. P. K. Sorger and A. W. Murray, Nature 355, 365 (1992).
- 3. S. J. Elledge, Science 274, 1664 (1996).
- 4. Y. Sanchez et al., unpublished data.
- 5. T. A. Weinert and L. H. Hartwell, Genetics 134, 63 (1993).
- 6. B. Garvik, M. Carson, L. Hartwell, Mol. Cell. Biol. 15, 6128 (1995). 7. N. C. Walworth and R. Bernards, Science 271, 353
- (1996).
- 8. Z. Sun, J. Hsiao, D. S. Fay, D. F. Stern, Science 281, 272 (1998).

- 9. J. E. Vialard, C. S. Gilbert, C. M. Green, N. F. Lowndes, EMBO J. 17, 5679 (1998).
- Supplementary material can be found at www. sciencemag.org/feature/data/1042771.shl
- J. B. Allen, Z. Zhou, W. Siede, E. C. Friedberg, S. J. Elledge, *Genes Dev.* 8, 2401 (1994).
- D. Pati, C. Keller, M. Groudine, S. E. Plon, Mol. Cell. 12. Biol. 17, 3037 (1997).
- A. Yamamoto, V. Guacci, D. Koshland, J. Cell Biol. 13. 133, 99 (1996).
- O. Cohen-Fix and D. Koshland, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 14. U.S.A. 94, 14361 (1997).
- O. Cohen-Fix, J. M. Peters, M. W. Kirschner, D. Koshland, Genes Dev. 10, 3081 (1996).
- A. Yamamoto, V. Guacci, D. Koshland, J. Cell Biol. 16. 133, 85 (1996).
- 17. R. Ciosk et al., Cell 93, 1067 (1998).
- 18. R. Visintin et al., Mol. Cell 2, 709 (1998)
- 19. M. Shirayama, W. Zachariae, R. Ciosk, K. Nasmyth, EMBO J. 17, 1336 (1998).
- 20. J. F. Charles et al., Curr. Biol. 8, 497 (1998).
- 21. T. Weinert, Science 277, 1450 (1997).
- 22. R. Gardner, C. W. Putnam, T. Weinert, EMBO J. 18, 3173 (1999).
- L. Cheng, L. Hunke, C. F. J. Hardy, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 7360 (1998). 23.
- 24. S. Kotani et al., Mol. Cell 1, 371 (1998).
- 25. D. P. Toczyski, D. J. Galgoczy, L. H. Hartwell, Cell 90, 1097 (1997).
- 26. B. A. Desany, A. A. Alcasabas, J. B. Bachant, S. J. Elledge, *Genes Dev.* **12**, 2956 (1998). Q. Liu, M. Z. Li, D. Leibham, D. Cortez, S. J. Elledge,
- 27. Curr. Biol. 8, 1300 (1998).

- congenic with Y300 except those derived from the strains provided by T. Weinert (TW) as indicated and were derived with standard genetic techniques (10). CHK1 (accession number AF117345) was disrupted by using the disruption cassette pYS51 (chk1::HIS3). PDS1 was disrupted by using pAY55 (13). The cdc14-1 allele was introduced into Y300 using the pSD231 cassette. The cdc5-1 allele was backcrossed into the Y300 background five times from an initial cross with strain 11359-10-4A provided by D. Toczyski and L. Hartwell. Strains containing deletions of MEC1 or RAD53 are maintained by expression of RNR1 under GAP control (26). The RAD9 and CHK1 ORFs were introduced into pACTlox and pAS2-lox via the UPS reaction (27).
- 29. Y. Sanchez et al., Science 271, 357 (1996).
- 30. U. Surana et al., EMBO J. 12, 1969 (1993).
- We thank A. Murray, A. Straight, T. Weinert, D. Gottschling, O. Cohen-Fix, D. Koshland, V. Lundblad, M. Yanagida, U. Surana, L. Hartwell, D. Toczyski, and A. Amon for providing reagents and helpful information; C. Nugent, C. Tomlinson, and M. Kuroda for helpful comments on the manuscript; and D. Honican and M. Li for excellent technical assistance. Y.S. and J.B. were supported by NIH postdoctoral fellowships. Supported by grants GM44664 and Q1187 (Welch) to S.J.E. S.J.E is an investigator with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

17 June 1999; accepted 30 September 1999

LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 1 of 2 -

You have printed the following article:

Control of the DNA Damage Checkpoint by Chk1 and Rad53 Protein Kinases Through Distinct Mechanisms Yolanda Sanchez; Jeff Bachant; Hong Wang; Fenghua Hu; Dou Liu; Michael Tetzlaff; Stephen J. Elledge Science, New Series, Vol. 286, No. 5442. (Nov. 5, 1999), pp. 1166-1171. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819991105%293%3A286%3A5442%3C1166%3ACOTDDC%3E2.0.C0%3B2-9

This article references the following linked citations:

References and Notes

³ Cell Cycle Checkpoints: Preventing an Identity Crisis Stephen J. Elledge *Science*, New Series, Vol. 274, No. 5293. (Dec. 6, 1996), pp. 1664-1672. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819961206%293%3A274%3A5293%3C1664%3ACCCPAI%3E2.0.CO%3B2-C

⁷ rad-Dependent Response of the chk1-Encoded Protein Kinase at the DNA Damage Checkpoint

Nancy C. Walworth; Rene Bernards Science, New Series, Vol. 271, No. 5247. (Jan. 19, 1996), pp. 353-356. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819960119%293%3A271%3A5247%3C353%3ARROTCP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-1

⁸ Rad53 FHA Domain Associated with Phosphorylated Rad9 in the DNA Damage Checkpoint Zhaoxia Sun; James Hsiao; David S. Fay; David F. Stern *Science*, New Series, Vol. 281, No. 5374. (Jul. 10, 1998), pp. 272-274. Stable URL: <u>http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819980710%293%3A281%3A5374%3C272%3ARFDAWP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-2</u>



LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 2 of 2 -



¹⁴ The Anaphase Inhibitor of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pds1p is a Target of the DNA Damage Checkpoint Pathway

Orna Cohen-Fix; Doug Koshland

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. 94, No. 26. (Dec. 23, 1997), pp. 14361-14366.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0027-8424%2819971223%2994%3A26%3C14361%3ATAIOSC%3E2.0.CO%3B2-B

²¹A DNA Damage Checkpoint Meets the Cell Cycle Engine

Ted Weinert *Science*, New Series, Vol. 277, No. 5331. (Sep. 5, 1997), pp. 1450-1451. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819970905%293%3A277%3A5331%3C1450%3AADDCMT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-F

²⁹ Regulation of RAD53 by the ATM-Like Kinases MEC1 and TEL1 in Yeast Cell Cycle

Checkpoint Pathways Yolanda Sanchez; Brian A. Desany; William J. Jones; Qinghua Liu; Bin Wang; Stephen J. Elledge *Science*, New Series, Vol. 271, No. 5247. (Jan. 19, 1996), pp. 357-360. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819960119%293%3A271%3A5247%3C357%3ARORBTA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-B