Interlocked Feedback Loops Within the *Drosophila* Circadian Oscillator

Nick R. J. Glossop,* Lisa C. Lyons,* Paul E. Hardin†

Drosophila Clock (dClk) is rhythmically expressed, with peaks in mRNA and protein (dCLK) abundance early in the morning. dClk mRNA cycling is shown here to be regulated by PERIOD-TIMELESS (PER-TIM)-mediated release of dCLK- and CYCLE (CYC)- dependent repression. Lack of both PER-TIM derepression and dCLK-CYC repression results in high levels of dClk mRNA, which implies that a separate dClk activator is present. These results demonstrate that the Drosophila circadian feedback loop is composed of two interlocked negative feedback loops: a per-tim loop, which is activated by dCLK-CYC and repressed by PER-TIM, and a dClk loop, which is repressed by dCLK-CYC and derepressed by PER-TIM.

The circadian oscillators of eukaryotic and certain prokaryotic organisms are controlled through autoregulatory feedback loops in gene expression (1). In *Drosophila*, five genes have been identified that are necessary for circadian feedback loop function: *period* (*per*), *timeless* (*tim*), *Drosophila Clock* (*dClk*), *Cycle* (*Cyc*), and *double-time* (*dbt*) (2–9). Three of these genes—*per*, *tim*, and *dClk*—are rhythmically expressed: *per* and *tim* mRNA levels peak early in the evening [zeitgeber time (ZT) 13–16, where ZT 0 is lights on and ZT 12 is lights off], and *dClk* mRNA levels peak late at night to early in the morning (ZT 23 to ZT 4) (2–5, 10).

Regulation of *per* and *tim* expression has been characterized in some detail. Activation of per and tim transcription is mediated by two basic helix-loop-helix-PAS transcription factors, dCLK and CYC, which form heterodimers that target E-box regulatory elements of the sequence CACGTG in the per and tim promoters (4, 6, 7, 11, 12). Although per and tim mRNAs reach peak levels early in the evening (ZT 13-16), PER and TIM levels do not peak until late evening (ZT 18-24) (13, 14). This delay results from the initial destabilization of PER by DBT-dependent phosphorylation, followed by the stabilization of PER by dimerization with TIM (8, 9). PER-TIM dimers then move into the nucleus and form a complex with dCLK-CYC activators (15), which results in transcriptional repression (by deactivation) of per and tim (4)

Comparatively little is known about the regulation of dClk mRNA cycling. The levels of dClk mRNA are low in mutants lacking

PER (per^{01}) or TIM (tim^{01}) function, which suggests that PER and TIM activate dClktranscription in addition to their roles as transcriptional repressors (5). The mechanism of PER-TIM-dependent activation is not known, but three models have been proposed to account for this activation (5). In the first two models, PER and TIM promote dClktranscription by shuttling transcriptional activators into the nucleus (Fig. 1A) or by coactivating a transcriptional complex (Fig. 1B). In the third model, PER or TIM or both inhibit the activity of a transcriptional repressor complex (Fig. 1C).

To distinguish among these alternative models, we measured dClk mRNA levels in different clock gene mutant combinations. Because dCLK and CYC are both required for *per* and *tim* activation, we predicted that mutants lacking functional dCLK ($dClk^{Jrk}$) or CYC (Cyc^{0}) would exhibit low levels of dClk mRNA because the concentrations of the PER and TIM activators (of dClk) would be low. We were surprised to find that the level of dClk mRNA was indistinguishable from the wild-type peak in both mutants (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. Models for how PER and TIM might activate dClk expression. (A) Shuttling transcriptional activators into the nucleus. (B) Coactivating a transcription complex. (C) Inhibiting a transcriptional repressor complex. Gray triangle, transcription complex that promotes dClk expression; black circle, transcription complex that represses dClk expression; striped bar, dĊlk transcriptional regulatory sequences. The diagram is based on fig. 5 of (5).



The high level of dClk mRNA in the absence of dCLK-dependent PER accumulation indicates that PER-dependent dClk activation does not occur by nuclear localization of an activator or by coactivation (Fig. 1, A and B). However, the possibility remains that low levels of per and tim transcripts in $dClk^{Jrk}$ or Cyc^0 mutants (6, 7) lead to some active PER-TIM dimer formation and subsequent activation of dClk transcription. To eliminate this possibility, we measured dClk mRNA levels in per⁰¹; dClk^{Jrk} and per⁰¹; Cyc⁰ double mutants. In both cases, the levels of dClk mRNA observed under light-dark (LD) or constant dark (DD) conditions were close to the peak level in wild-type flies (Fig. 3), indicating that PER-TIM activates dClk transcription through derepression (Fig. 1C).

The dClk repressor that is removed as a result of PER-TIM accumulation appears to be either dCLK-CYC itself or a repressor that is activated by dCLK-CYC. When comparing the levels of dClk between per^{01} flies and per⁰¹;dClk^{Jrk} or per⁰¹;Cyc⁰ double mutants, the presence of active dCLK and CYC results in the repression of *dClk* transcript accumulation. In per⁰¹ mutants, dClk mRNA is at low but detectable levels (5) (Fig. 3). This suggests that in the absence of PER-TIM derepression, dClk transcription reaches a steady state in which activation and dCLK-CYC-dependent repression equilibrate to produce low levels of dClk mRNA transcripts and, hence, of dCLK protein. In per⁰¹ and tim⁰¹ mutants, per and tim transcription is constitutive and per and tim transcripts are relatively low in abundance (2, 16). This result can be explained by the partial activation of per and tim by low levels of dCLK-CYC dimers in the absence of PER-TIM repression.

On the basis of these observations, we propose that interlocked negative feedback



Department of Biology and Biochemistry and Biological Clocks Program, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204–5513, USA.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work. †To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: phardin@uh.edu

loops mediate circadian oscillator function in Drosophila (Fig. 4). Late at night, PER-TIM dimers in the nucleus bind to and sequester dCLK-CYC dimers. This interaction effectively inhibits dCLK-CYC function, which leads to the repression of per and tim transcription and the derepression of dClk transcription. As PER-TIM levels fall early in the morning (ZT 0-3), dCLK-CYC dimers are released and repress dClk expression, thereby decreasing dClk mRNA levels so that they are low by the end of the day (ZT 12) (5). Concomitant with the drop in dClk mRNA levels (through dCLK-CYC-dependent repression) is the accumulation of per and tim mRNA (through E-box-dependent dCLK-CYC activation) (2, 3). As dClk mRNA falls to low levels early in the evening (ZT 15), the levels of dCLK-CYC also fall (17), leading to a decrease in *per* and *tim* transcription and an increase in dClk mRNA accumulation. A new cycle then begins as high levels of PER and TIM enter the nucleus and dCLK starts to accumulate late at night (17, 18).

These observations also fit well with the regulation of Drosophila cryptochrome (cry), whose mRNA cycles in phase with that of dClk (17). Like dClk, crv mRNA transcripts are constitutively low in per⁰¹ mutants and constitutively high in $dClk^{Jrk}$ or Cyc^0 single mutants and in per^{01} ; $dClk^{Jrk}$ or per^{01} ; Cyc^{0} double mutants (19). These striking similarities between dClk and cry mRNA phases (in the wild type) and dClk and cry mRNA levels in circadian mutants suggest that the cry locus may be regulated by the same PER-TIM release of dCLK-CYC repression mechanism as dClk.

These results reveal the existence of a dClk feedback loop and its regulatory interactions with the well-characterized per-tim feedback loop. One clear prediction from these experiments is that there is a separate activator of dClk expression. Such an activator is indicated by the high levels of dClkmRNA in the absence of PER and of either

Fig. 2. Peak levels of dClk mRNA are present throughout the circadian cycle in dClk^{jrk} and Cyc^o mutants. (A) Wild-type, dClk^{Jrk}, and Cyc^o flies maintained in LD12:12 for a minimum of 3 days were collected and subjected to ribonuclease (RNase) protection assays as described (2). Total head RNA (12 μ g) was hybridized to a *dClk* RNA probe that protects a 290-nucleotide (nt) fragment (5) and an RP49 probe that protects a 59-nt fragment (2). After RNase digestion, reactions were run on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Numbers at the top of each lane correspond to the ZT of sample collection. M indicates the 123-base pair (bp) marker lane. RP49 was included as a control for the total amount of RNA in each reaction. (B) dClk and RP49 protection products were quantified with a PhosphorImager and the dClk/RP49 ratios were plotted. mRNA levels are shown for wild-type (\bullet), $dClk^{Jrk}$ ($\dot{\blacktriangle}$), and Cyc^{0} (\blacksquare) flies. The dClk/RP49values were normalized to the peak dClk level in the wild type at ZT 1, which was set to 1.0. Error bars represent

dCLK or CYC. This observation is somewhat surprising because the presence of this activator is independent of factors that control the expression of other clock genes (that is, PER, dCLK, and CYC).

Data supporting the existence of inter-

A

С

locked per-tim and dClk feedback loops were obtained from whole heads, raising the possibility that *dClk* expression in small subsets of "clock-specific" cells such as the locomotor activity pacemaker cells (that is, lateral neurons) (20-22) could be masked by dClk



dClk mRNA persist in per⁰¹;dClk^{Jrk} and per⁰¹; Cyc^o double mutants. (A) Wild-type, per⁰¹, per⁰¹;dClk^{Jrk}, and per⁰¹; Cyc^o flies were maintained, collected, and assayed as in Fig. 1. Numbers at the top of each lane correspond to the time of sample collection. M indicates the 123-bp marker lane. RP49 was included as a control for the total amount of RNA in each reaction. (B) dClk and RP49 protection products were quantified with a Phosphor-Imager and the dClk/ RP49 ratios were plotted. The dClk/RP49 values at ZT 1 (open bars) or ZT 13 (solid bars) were normalized to the peak dClk level in the wild type at ZT 1, which was set to 1.0.

Error bars represent standard deviations based on three independent experiments. (C) Wild-type, per⁰¹, dClk^{Jrk}, per⁰¹;dClk^{Jrk}, Cyc⁰, and per⁰¹;Cyc⁰ flies were entrained in LD12:12 for 3 days and collected at circadian times 1 (CT 1) and 13 (CT 13) during the first day of DD. RNase protection assays were performed as in Fig. 1. Numbers and symbols are the same as in (A). (D) dClk and RP49 protection products from (C) were quantified as described in (B). dClk/RP49 values are shown for CT 1 (gray bars) and CT 13 (solid bars). Normalization was performed as described in (B). This experiment was repeated with similar results.



standard deviations based on five independent experiments. The light and dark bars represent times when lights were on or off, respectively. Analysis of these results by analysis of variance showed no significant differences in dClk mRNA over the day (P > 0.05) in dClk^{jrk} and Cyc^o mutants.



Fig. 4. Model for gene regulation within the *Drosophila* circadian oscillator. During the late evening (**right**), PER-TIM dimers (closed and open squares, respectively) enter the nucleus and bind dCLK-CYC dimers (closed and open circles, respectively), thereby repressing *per-tim* activation. Concurrently, the binding of PER-TIM dimers to dCLK-CYC releases dCLK-CYC–dependent repression of *dClk*, thus enabling *dClk* transcription via a separate activator or activator complex (triangle). By midday (**left**), high levels of dCLK-CYC (in the absence of PER-TIM) serve to activate *per-tim* transcription and repress *dClk* transcription (either directly or through intermediate factors). As the circadian cycle progresses, PER-TIM dimers accumulate and enter the nucleus during the late evening to start the next cycle. Dashes, maximal repression; plus signs, maximal activation; wavy lines, mRNA.

expression in other tissues. However, the autonomy and synchrony of *per* expression in diverse tissues in the head and body suggest that the circadian feedback loop mechanism is the same in all tissues (23) and argue against fundamental tissue-specific differences in the feedback loop mechanism.

An important aspect of circadian biology is how the clock regulates clock-controlled genes (CCGs). In mammals, it has been shown in vitro that CLOCK and BMAL-1 (the mammalian ortholog of CYC) activate vasopressin gene transcription and that all three mouse PERs and TIM repress this activation, resulting in peak vasopressin mRNA transcripts by midmorning (ZT 6) (24). Although this mode of regulation may be more general for CCGs whose mRNA transcripts peak in phase with per (or mPer), it does not explain how CCGs that cycle in antiphase are regulated. The results presented here provide a possible mechanism by which the clock regulates CCGs whose mRNAs cycle in antiphase to those of per. The similarities between dClk and cry mRNA profiles in the wild type and in several single and double circadian mutants suggest that PER-TIM release of dCLK-CYC repression may serve a more general role in regulating CCG mRNAs that cycle in antiphase to per mRNA.

References and Notes

- 1. J. C. Dunlap, *Cell* **96**, 271 (1999).
- P. E. Hardin, J. C. Hall, M. Rosbash, Nature 343, 536 (1990).
- A. Sehgal, J. L. Price, B. Man, M. W. Young, Science 263, 1603 (1994).

4. T. K. Darlington et al., ibid. **280**, 1599 (1998).

5. K. Bae, C. Lee, D. Sidote, K.-Y. Chuang, I. Edery, Mol.

Cell. Biol. 18, 6142 (1998).

- R. Allada, N. E. White, W. V. So, J. C. Hall, M. Rosbash, Cell 93, 791 (1998).
- 7. J. E. Rutila *et al., ibid.*, p. 805.
- 8. B. Kloss et al., ibid. 94, 97 (1998).
- 9. J. L. Price et al., ibid., p. 83.
- 10. A. Sehgal et al., Science 270, 808 (1995).
- 11. H. Hao, D. L. Allen, P. E. Hardin, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 3687 (1997).
- 12. N. Gekakis et al., Science 280, 1564 (1998).
- D. M. Zerr, J. C. Hall, M. Rosbash, K. K. Siwicki, J. Neurosci. 10, 2749 (1990).
- 14. I. Edery, L. J. Zwiebel, M. E. Dembinska, M. Rosbash, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **91**, 2260 (1994).
- 15. C. Lee, K. Bae, I. Edery, Mol. Cell. Biol. **19**, 5316 (1999).
- 16. V. W. So and M. Rosbash, EMBO J. 16, 7146 (1997).
- 17. C. Lee, K. Bae, I. Edery, Neuron 21, 857 (1998).
- K. D. Curtin, Z. J. Huang, M. Rosbash, *ibid.* **14**, 365 (1995).
 P. Emery, W. V. So, M. Kaneko, J. C. Hall, M. Rosbash,
- *Cell* **95**, 669 (1998).
 20. J. Ewer, B. Frisch, M. J. Hamblen-Coyle, M. Rosbash,
- J. C. Hall, J. Neurosci. **12**, 3321 (1992).
 B. Frisch, P. E. Hardin, M. J. Hamblen-Coyle, M. Ros-
- bash, J. C. Hall, Neuron 12, 555 (1994).
 22. C. Helfrich-Förster, J. Comp. Physiol. A 182, 435
- (1998).
 J. D. Plautz, M. Kaneko, J. C. Hall, S. A. Kay, *Science*
- **278**, 1632 (1997).
- 24. X. Jin et al., Cell 96, 57 (1999).
- 25. We thank I. Edery for the *dClk* RNase protection probe; M. Rosbash and J. Hall for *dClk^{Irk}* and *Cyc^O* single mutants and *per^{O1};dClk^{Irk}* and *per^{O1};Cyc^O* double mutants; B. Krishnan for statistical help; G. Cahill for comments on the manuscript; and A. Eskin, S. Dryer, G. Cahill, J. DeBruyne, and B. Krishnan for helpful discussions. Supported by National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke grant NS-31214.

25 May 1999; accepted 6 August 1999

Light-Independent Role of CRY1 and CRY2 in the Mammalian Circadian Clock

Edmund A. Griffin, Jr., David Staknis, Charles J. Weitz*

Cryptochrome (CRY), a photoreceptor for the circadian clock in *Drosophila*, binds to the clock component TIM in a light-dependent fashion and blocks its function. In mammals, genetic evidence suggests a role for CRYs within the clock, distinct from hypothetical photoreceptor functions. Mammalian CRY1 and CRY2 are here shown to act as light-independent inhibitors of CLOCK-BMAL1, the activator driving *Per1* transcription. CRY1 or CRY2 (or both) showed light-independent interactions with CLOCK and BMAL1, as well as with PER1, PER2, and TIM. Thus, mammalian CRYs act as light-independent components of the circadian clock and probably regulate *Per1* transcriptional cycling by contacting both the activator and its feedback inhibitors.

Daily rhythms in physiology and behavior are driven by endogenous oscillators called circadian clocks (1). In all known cases, circadian timekeeping is cell-autonomous, generated at least in part by a feedback loop involving clock proteins that inhibit the transcription of their own genes (2). Regulation of the transcriptional feedback loop by light is thought to mediate setting of circadian clocks to light-dark cycles (2). In mammals, as in *Drosophila*, a negative feedback loop of *Per* gene transcription involving PER and TIM proteins is probably central to the clock (2–4). A heterodimeric activator consisting of the basic helix-loophelix (bHLH)–PAS proteins CLOCK (5) and BMAL1 (6, 7) drives mouse *Per1* (*mPer1*) transcription from E-box regulatory sequences (6), and the mPER1 protein in turn acts to inhibit CLOCK-BMAL1 activity (4). In *Dro*-

Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115 USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cweitz@hms.harvard.edu

http://www.jstor.org

LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 1 of 2 -

You have printed the following article:

Interlocked Feedback Loops Within the Drosophila Circadian Oscillator Nick R. J. Glossop; Lisa C. Lyons; Paul E. Hardin Science, New Series, Vol. 286, No. 5440. (Oct. 22, 1999), pp. 766-768. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819991022%293%3A286%3A5440%3C766%3AIFLWTD%3E2.0.CO%3B2-E

This article references the following linked citations:

References and Notes

³ Loss of Circadian Behavioral Rhythms and per RNA Oscillations in the Drosophila Mutant timeless

Amita Sehgal; Jeffrey L. Price; Bernice Man; Michael W. Young *Science*, New Series, Vol. 263, No. 5153. (Mar. 18, 1994), pp. 1603-1606. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819940318%293%3A263%3A5153%3C1603%3ALOCBRA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-8

⁴ Closing the Circadian Loop: CLOCK-Induced Transcription of Its Own Inhibitors per and tim

Thomas K. Darlington; Karen Wager-Smith; M. Fernanda Ceriani; David Staknis; Nicholas Gekakis; Thomas D. L. Steeves; Charles J. Weitz; Joseph S. Takahashi; Steve A. Kay *Science*, New Series, Vol. 280, No. 5369. (Jun. 5, 1998), pp. 1599-1603. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819980605%293%3A280%3A5369%3C1599%3ACTCLCT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-H

¹⁰ Rhythmic Expression of timeless: A Basis for Promoting Circadian Cycles in period Gene Autoregulation

Amita Sehgal; Adrian Rothenfluh-Hilfiker; Melissa Hunter-Ensor; Yifeng Chen; Michael P. Myers; Michael W. Young *Science*, New Series, Vol. 270, No. 5237. (Nov. 3, 1995), pp. 808-810. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819951103%293%3A270%3A5237%3C808%3AREOTAB%3E2.0.CO%3B2-5



http://www.jstor.org

LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 2 of 2 -



¹² Role of the CLOCK Protein in the Mammalian Circadian Mechanism

Nicholas Gekakis; David Staknis; Hubert B. Nguyen; Fred C. Davis; Lisa D. Wilsbacher; David P. King; Joseph S. Takahashi; Charles J. Weitz *Science*, New Series, Vol. 280, No. 5369. (Jun. 5, 1998), pp. 1564-1569. Stable URL: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819980605%293%3A280%3A5369%3C1564%3AROTCPI%3E2.0.CO%3B2-O

¹⁴ Temporal Phosphorylation of the Drosophila Period Protein

Isaac Edery; Laurence J. Zwiebel; Marie E. Dembinska; Michael Rosbash *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, Vol. 91, No. 6. (Mar. 15, 1994), pp. 2260-2264. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0027-8424%2819940315%2991%3A6%3C2260%3ATPOTDP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-B

²³ Independent Photoreceptive Circadian Clocks Throughout Drosophila

Jeffrey D. Plautz; Maki Kaneko; Jeffrey C. Hall; Steve A. Kay *Science*, New Series, Vol. 278, No. 5343. (Nov. 28, 1997), pp. 1632-1635. Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819971128%293%3A278%3A5343%3C1632%3AIPCCTD%3E2.0.CO%3B2-3