



CONTACT SCIENCE

Letters to the Editor

May be submitted via e-mail (at science_letters@aaas.org), fax (202-789-4669), or regular mail (Science, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA). Letters are not routinely acknowledged. Full addresses, signatures, and daytime phone numbers should be included. Letters should be brief (300 words or less) and may be edited for clarity or space. They may appear in print and/or on the Internet. Letter writers are not consulted before publication.

Subscription Services

For change of address, missing issues, new orders and renewals, and payment questions, please contact AAAS at Danbury, CT: 800-731-4939 or Washington, DC: 202-326-6417, FAX 202-842-1065. Mailing addresses: AAAS, P.O. Box 1811, Danbury, CT 06813 or AAAS Member Services, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005 • Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400

Member Benefit Contacts

For Credit Card: MBNA 1-800-847-7378; Car Rentals: Hertz 1-800-654-2200 CDP#343457; Dollar 1-800-800-4000 #AA1115; AAAS Travels: Betchart Expeditions 1-800-252-4910; Life Insurance: Seabury & Smith 1-800-424-9883; Other Benefits: AAAS Member Services 1-202-326-6417.

Reprints

Ordering/Billing/Status 800-407-9190; Corrections 202-326-6501 Permissions 202-326-7074, FAX 202-682-0816

Internet Addresses

science_editors@aaas.org (for general editorial queries); science_news@aaas.org (for news queries); science_letters@aaas.org (for letters to the editor); science_reviews@aaas.org (for returning manuscript reviews); science_bookrevs@aaas.org (for book review queries); science@science-int.co.uk (for the Europe Office); membership@aaas.org (for member services); science_classifieds@aaas.org (for submitting classified advertisements); science_advertising@aaas.org (for product advertising)

Information for Contributors

See pages 99 and 100 of the 1 January 1999 issue or access www.sciencemag.org/misc/con-info.shtml

Editorial & News Contacts

North America 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005 Editorial: 202-326-6501, FAX 202-289-7562 News: 202-326-6500, FAX 202-371-9227 • Bureaus: Berkeley, CA: 510-652-0302, FAX 510-652-1867, San Diego, CA: 760-942-3252, FAX 760-942-4979, Chicago, IL: 312-360-1227, FAX 312-360-0537

Europe Headquarters: Bateman House, 82-88 Hills Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1LQ; (44) 1223-326500, FAX (44) 1223-326501 Paris Correspondent: (33) 1-49-29-09-01, FAX (33) 1-49-29-09-00

Asia News Bureau: Dennis Normile, (81) 3-3335-9925, FAX (81) 3-3335-4898; dnormile@twics.com • Japan Office: Asca Corporation, Eiko Ishioka, Fusako Tamura, 1-8-13, Hirano-cho, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka, 541 Japan; (81) 6-202-6272, FAX (81) 6-202-6271; asca@os.gulf.or.jp • China Office: Hao Xin, (86) 10-6255-9478; science@public3.bta.net.cn • India correspondent: Pallava Bagla, (91) 11-271-2896; pbagla@ndb.vsnl.net.in

Fault Lines

Shirley M. Malcom

The steady advance of women's degree production, now approaching parity in the life sciences and medicine, as well as women's movement into the workforce, had lulled us into believing that the gender gap in science and engineering was slowly but surely closing. Yet recent events force us to reassess this conclusion.

Why, despite movement into science and engineering, haven't women advanced more within these fields? How, for example, have even the most senior women faculty in our most prestigious institutions found themselves outnumbered and overlooked? A report on the status of tenured women faculty in science at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) revealed their exclusion and unequal treatment, including in the areas of salaries, space allocation, and committee assignments. The release of the report and the admission of discrimination by MIT and its president, Charles Vest, were a wake-up call for the rest of us. How willing are other science- and engineering-intensive institutions in academia, industry, and government to withstand the scrutiny of such a study? How eager are they to compare the number of positions, time to tenure, salaries, adequacy and location of office and lab space, start-up funding, or any other measures appropriate to their sectors? And would they make the findings public?

Both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering have had or will have programs this spring to explore the conditions for women in science and engineering. The ninth biennial status report of the National Science Foundation, *Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering*, was released recently. The Commission on the Advancement of Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science, Engineering and Technology Development, which was created by the 105th Congress, has begun a 12-month study. But for change to occur, all this study must be coupled with action. The global challenges of women in science will be the subject of plenary and working sessions of the World Conference on Science in late June 1999 in Budapest. Hopefully, countries that have made strides in enrollment, participation, policies, and advancement will be able to share their successful strategies with countries that are stuck in neutral or trailing badly. Hopefully, a new resolve to cultivate the talent of the world's women for science and engineering will emerge.

Perhaps most impressive about MIT was its public admission of bias and its release of the study after remedies had begun to be put in place. Although some of the problems women in science and engineering face reflect failures of policies and practices or failures of enforcement within organizations, others result from cultures that have existed or that emerge in fields and institutions. Both old disciplines and new ones can have problems of culture that result in weak participation by women. Physics has found it difficult to move women's participation upward; computer science has found it hard to keep the level of women's participation it once enjoyed.

With an economy so dependent on a steady stream of talent in computer and information sciences, we must wonder why the number of women's bachelor's degrees declined by over 53% between 1986 and 1996, moving downward from 37 to 27% of degree recipients. While demographics lower the overall numbers, departmental cultures gnaw away at the proportions.

Our typical response to a wake-up call such as the MIT report has been to have a special meeting or create a special program for women supported by soft money that falls away when the funding ends. Before we once again seek to restate the problems or put in place strategies to "fix the women," we need to consider that perhaps that is not where the fault lies. It is the structure of our institutions, agencies, societies, academies, and departments that must change. And rather than fixing blame, it may be far more productive to fix the system.

The author is head of the AAAS Directorate for Education and Human Resources (Programs) and a member of the President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology.

**Why haven't
women
advanced more
in science and
engineering?**