be at least partly responsible for the transformation of skeletal morphology observed. Furthermore, the transformation of muscle and distal tendon attachments could cause the apparent footlike flexure we describe above (Fig. 2). As with the skeletal transformations, the effect of Pitx1 on muscle pattern is specific to the hindlimb gene Pitx1 because parallel misexpression of a highly related control gene, Pitx2, did not have an effect on soft tissue morphology, in spite of the fact that this gene had a high biological activity in other contexts (17). Because comparatively little is known about the molecular basis for muscle patterning, the ability of Pitx1 to respecify muscle identity will provide a useful tool in this regard, in addition to being evidence for a role of *Pitx1* in the specification of hindlimb patterning.

In summary, we found that Pitx1 acts upstream of Tbx4 and regionally expressed Hoxgenes in a pathway that regulates limb-type identity. The correct induction of the forelimbor hindlimb-specific genes in the respective limb fields must depend on upstream genes that regionalize the rostral-caudal body axis, which is likely ultimately dependent on axial expression of Hox genes. Further gain- and loss-ofexpression studies with other genes in this pathway will provide additional insight into the mechanism by which the common aspects of limb patterning are modified to produce limbtype–specific morphologies.

References and Notes

- D. P. Szeto, A. K. Ryan, S. M. O'Connell, M. G. Rosenfeld, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 7706 (1996).
- T. Lamonerie *et al.*, *Genes Dev.* **10**, 1284 (1996).
 J. Shang, X. Li, H. Z. Ring, D. A. Clayton, U. Francke,
- *Genomics* **40**, 108 (1997). **4.** J. J. Gibson-Brown *et al.*, *Mech. Dev.* **56**, 93 (1996).
- 5. H.-G. Simon, et al., Development **124**, 1355 (1996).
- M. Logan, H.-G. Simon, C. Tabin, *ibid*. **125**, 2825 (1998).
- 7. H. Ohuchi et al., ibid., p. 51.
- 8. A. Isaac et al., ibid., p. 1867.
- 9. J. J. Gibson-Brown, S. I. Agulnik, L. M. Silver, V. E. Papaioannou, *ibid.*, p. 2499.
- 10. C. Lanctot, B. Lamolet, J. Drouin, *ibid*. **124**, 2807 (1997).
- 11. Fertilized White Leghorn chicken eggs were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator and the stage of development was determined according to the guidelines of Hamilton and Hamburger (19). We cloned the entire open reading frame of chick *Pitx1* into the RCAS plasmid using conventional methods (26). Viral infection was carried out on embryos at stage 10. The presumptive wing and leg territories were targeted as previously described in (26), whole-mount in situ hybridizations were carried out essentially as described in [R. D. Riddle, R. L. Johnson, E. Laufer, C. J. Tabin, Cell 75, 1401 (1993)], and 20-µm-cryosection in situ hybridizations were carried out essentially as described in [Z. Z. Bao and C. L. Cepko, J. Neurosci. 17(4), 1425 (1997)]. Probes for HoxD9, HoxC9, HoxC10, and HoxC11 are described in (18) and those for Tbx4, Tbx5, and Pitx1 are described in (6). A probe template specific for the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of Pitx1 was generated by polymerase chain reaction with a Pitx1 3'-UTR forward primer TGACACGAAC-CACCTCCG, an M13 universal primer as reverse primer, and a full-length library isolate (OT17) as template. Antisense probes were made with T7 RNA polymerase.
- 12. M. Logan and C. J. Tabin, data not shown.

- M. J. Cohn, J.-C. Izpisua-Belmonte, H. Abud, J. K. Heath, C. Tickle, *Cell* 80, 739 (1995).
- 14. H. Ohuchi et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 209, 809 (1995).
- P. H. Crossley, G. Minowada, C. A. MacArthur, G. A. Martin, *Cell* 84, 127 (1996).
- A. Vogel, C. Rodriguez, J.-C. Izpisua-Belmonte, Development 122, 1737 (1996).
- 17. M. Logan, S. Pagan-Westphal, D. Smith, L. Paganessi, C. Tabin, *Cell* **94**, 307 (1998).
- 18. C. E. Nelson et al., Development 122, 1449 (1996).
- 19. V. Hamburger and H. L. Hamilton, J. Morphol. 88, 49 (1951).
- 20. The cartilage elements were stained with Alcian blue as previously described (6). Whole-mount immunohistochemistry with MF20 antibody to muscle myosin was carried out as described [M. W. Klymkowsky and J. Hanken, Eds., Whole-Mount Staining of Xenopus and Other Vertebrates (Academic Press, San Diego, 1991)]. Detection was carried out with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA, diluted 1:200).

- 21. M. F. Lanser and J. F. Fallon, *Anat. Rec.* **217**, 61 (1987).
- G. B. Shellswell and L. Wolpert, Eds., The Pattern of Muscle and Tendon Development in the Chick Wing (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977).
- 23. R. A. Wortham, J. Morphol. 83, 105 (1948).
- M.-P. Pautou, I. Heydayat, M. Kieny, Arch. Anat. Microsc. Morphol. Exp. 71, 193 (1982).
- 25. G. Kardon, Development 125, 4019 (1998).
- M. Logan and C. Tabin, *Methods Enzymol.* 14, 407 (1998).
- 27. We thank L. Paganessi for technical assistance, G. Kardon for help in analysis of the limb musculature and preparing a schematic of the muscle pattern, D. Smith for assisting in injection experiments, and J. C. Izpisua-Belmonte, M. G. Rosenfeld, J. Drouin, and T. Ogura for communicating results before publication. M.L. was funded by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Human Frontier Science Program Organisation. This work was funded by a grant from the NIH.

19 January 1999; accepted 16 February 1999

Negative Regulation of Wingless Signaling by D-Axin, a Drosophila Homolog of Axin

Fumihiko Hamada,^{1,2} Yoshinori Tomoyasu,³ Yoshihiro Takatsu,³ Makoto Nakamura,³ Shin-ichi Nagai,³ Akiko Suzuki,¹ Fumitaka Fujita,¹ Hiroshi Shibuya,³ Kumao Toyoshima,⁴ Naoto Ueno,³ Tetsu Akiyama^{1,5*}

Wnt/Wingless directs many cell fates during development. Wnt/Wingless signaling increases the amount of β -catenin/Armadillo, which in turn activates gene transcription. Here the *Drosophila* protein D-Axin was shown to interact with Armadillo and D-APC. Mutation of *d-axin* resulted in the accumulation of cytoplasmic Armadillo and one of the Wingless target gene products, Distalless. Ectopic expression of *d-axin* inhibited Wingless signaling. Hence, D-Axin negatively regulates Wingless signaling by down-regulating the level of Armadillo. These results establish the importance of the Axin family of proteins in Wnt/Wingless signaling in *Drosophila*.

The Wnt/Wingless (Wg) signal-transduction pathway is involved in cell-cell signaling in many developmental processes (1). Wnt/Wg signaling promotes the stabilization of β -catenin/Armadillo (Arm, a *Drosophila* homolog of β -catenin) by negatively regulating the activity of glycogen synthase kinase–3 β (GSK-3 β).

¹Department of Oncogene Research, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, 3-1 Yamadaoka, Suita 565-0871, Japan. ²"Inheritance and Variation," Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan. ³Division of Morphogenesis, Department of Developmental Biology, National Institute for Basic Biology, Myodaijicho, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan. ⁴Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Nakamichi, Higashinari-ku, Osaka 537-8511, Japan. ⁵Department of Molecular and Genetic Information, Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biosciences, University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: akiyama@imcbns.iam.u-tokyo.ac.jp β -Catenin/Arm binds to transcription factors of the LEF/TCF family and thereby modulates expression of Wnt/Wg-responsive genes (2). The colorectal tumor-suppressor gene product APC induces down-regulation of β -catenin, and mutation of APC results in the accumulation of the latter (3). Mutations that activate β -catenin have also been detected in some tumors with intact APC (4). Therefore, regulation of the level of β -catenin is critical for Wnt/Wg signaling during development and tumorigenesis. Here, we show that the *Drosophila* protein D-Axin interacts with Arm and D-APC and is a negative regulator of Wg signaling.

To identify Arm-interacting proteins, we performed a yeast two-hybrid screen of a *Drosophila* embryo cDNA library using the Armadillo repeat domain of Arm as target and identified a protein that we designate D-Axin (5). Sequence analysis of the *d-axin* cDNA showed that it encodes a protein of 743 amino acids. A region near its NH_2 -

terminus (amino acids 51 to 171) shows similarity to the regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) domain, whereas its COOH-terminus contains a region (amino acids 687 to 734) homologous to a conserved sequence near the NH₂-terminus of Dishevelled (Dsh) (Fig. 1B). Thus, D-Axin has a domain structure very similar to that of proteins of the mammalian Axin family, Axin and conductin/Axil (6-9), suggesting that D-Axin is a *Drosophila* homolog of the Axin family of proteins.

We examined whether D-Axin produced by in vitro translation could interact with fragments of Arm fused to glutathione Stransferase (GST) (10). D-Axin specifically interacted with the Armadillo repeat domain of Arm (amino acids 140 to 713), but not with its NH₂-terminal (amino acids 1 to 139) or its COOH-terminal (amino acids 714 to 843) domain (Fig. 1C). Pull-down assays with a series of deletion fragments of D-Axin showed that a fragment of D-Axin containing amino acids 459 to 538 bound to Arm (Fig. 1D). This region corresponds to the β -catenin-binding domain of Axin and conductin/ Axil and contains a small segment (amino acids 494 to 525) that is highly conserved, suggesting that it may function in binding to Arm.

Recently, we and others have shown that proteins of the mammalian Axin family interact not only with β -catenin but also with GSK-3 β and APC (6–9). In line with these findings, we found that the RGS domain of D-Axin interacts with a fragment of D-APC (amino acids 757 to 1270) (Fig. 1E). This region of D-APC corresponds to the region of APC that interacts with β -catenin, conductin, and Axin (7, 11, 12). However, D-Axin did not bind to Zeste white-3/Shaggy (ZW3/Sgg, Drosophila GSK-3 β) (Fig. 1E).

The *d-axin* gene was mapped to the 99D1-7 region of the third chromosome, and its genomic organization was determined (Fig. 1A) (13). Whole-mount in situ hybrid-

Fig. 1. Structures of the *d-axin* gene and its protein product and physical interaction of D-Axin with Arm and D-APC. (A) Genomic structure of the *d-axin* locus. The triangle indicates the location of

the l(3)S044230 P insertion. The insertion site is 135 base pairs downstream of the *d-axin* transcription start site. White boxes indicate untranslated regions of the *d-axin* cDNA. Black boxes indicate coding regions. E, Eco RI site. (**B**) Schematic representation of the D-Axin protein. The *d-axin* sequence has been deposited in GenBank (accession number AF086811). (**C**) D-Axin binds to the Armadillo repeat domain of Arm. In vitrotranslated ³⁵S-labeled D-Axin (IVT D-Axin) was incubat-

ed with purified GST or GST-Arm fusion proteins (N-ter, amino acids 1 to 139; Arm, the Armadillo repeat domain, amino acids 140 to 713; C-ter, amino acids 714 to 843) immobilized to glutathione-Sepharose. The bound proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. (D) Arm binds to amino acids 459 to 538 of D-Axin. The in vitro-translated

A I(3)S044230

ization to embryos and imaginal discs and Northern (RNA) blotting with the coding region of the *d-axin* cDNA as a probe revealed that *d-axin* transcripts are provided by maternal contribution and expressed ubiquitously throughout all developmental stages (11). To analyze the biological function of D-Axin in vivo, we examined a series of lethal lines that have mutations in the 99D1-7 region and found one line, l(3)S044230, that contains a P-element inserted into the 5'-untranslated region of the first exon of *d*-axin (Fig. 1A) (14). Although 1(3)S044230 homozygous animals died during the first larval instar stage, normal adults were produced upon precise excision of the P-element. In addition, ubiquitous expression of the *d-axin* cDNA with an inducible heat shock GAL4 system rescued 5 to 10% of l(3)S044230 homozygous animals, allowing them to survive until the late third larval instar or pupal stage (15). Furthermore, no signal was observed when *d-axin* cDNA probe was hybridized in situ to 1(3)S044230 homozygous embryos (11). Thus, 1(3)S044230 is considered to be a loss-of-function allele of the *d*-axin locus.

Wg is critical for patterning and cell fate determination in embryonic segmentation (1). Although embryos that are zygotically mutant for *d*-axin appeared to have almost normal segment patterning (Fig. 2B), embryos devoid of both maternal and zygotic d-axin gene products were completely naked, lacking all denticles on the ventral cuticle (Fig. 2C) (16). Embryos that lack the maternal *d-axin* product but have received one paternal wild-type copy of the gene had some denticles on the ventral cuticle, suggesting that the zygotic *d-axin* product can partially rescue the *d-axin* maternal deficiency (Fig. 2D). These phenotypes are similar to those of embryos derived from homozygous zw3/sgg female germ lines and to those of embryos ubiquitously expressing the wild-type Wg or constitutively active Arm (17). Thus, Wg signaling is constitutively activated in embryos lacking maternal *d-axin*.

Wg is required for the organization of wing blade development, especially for specification of the wing margin structure (18). We found that clones of *d*-axin mutant cells



Fig. 2. Ventral cuticle phenotype of *d*-axin embryos. (A) Cuticle of a wild-type embryo. Each segment produces denticles in the anterior region and naked cuticle in the posterior region. (B) Cuticle of an embryo zygotically mutant for *d*-axin does not exhibit any major defects. (C) Cuticle of an embryo lacking both maternal and zygotic *d*-axin is entirely naked. Filzkorper is present. (D) Cuticle of an embryo that lacks maternal *d*-axin but has a wild-type paternal copy of the gene has occasional patches of denticles (indicated by the arrow). All embryos are shown with anterior oriented to the left.



³⁵S-labeled Armadillo repeat domain of Arm (amino acids 140 to 713) was incubated with GST– or GST–D-Axin–Sepharose. (**E**) D-Axin interacts with D-APC, but not with ZW3/Sgg. In vitro–translated ³⁵S-labeled D-Axin was incubated with GST–, GST–D-APC (amino acids 757 to 1270)–, GST-Arm (the Armadillo repeat domain)–, or GST-ZW3/Sgg (full-length)–Sepharose.

marked with a *yellow* mutation produced ectopic marginal bristles cell autonomously (Fig. 3, A and B) (19). Wg also plays an essential role in organizing leg structures; ectopic activation of Wg signaling induces supernumerary outgrowth on the dorsal side of normal legs (18, 20). The *d*-axin clone also produced a supernumerary leg from the dorsal side of the normal leg (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, Wg signaling is required for the formation of sternites in the ventral side of the

REPORTS

adult abdomen, and its ectopic activation results in the appearance of ectopic sternite structures (21). The same phenotype was observed in an abdomen containing *d-axin* clones (Fig. 3D). During wing disc development, Wg signaling is induced along the dorsoventral compartment boundary in the wing imaginal disc. Arm accumulates in the cytoplasm, associates with its partner, Pangolin/ DTcf, and activates expression of target genes such as *Distal-less* (*Dll*) (1, 2, 22). In



Fig. 3. Constitutive activation of Wg signaling in *d-axin* mutant clones. (A) A wing carrying *d-axin* mutant clones. Wing-margin structures are ectopically produced by the *d-axin* clones. Cells belonging to the clones are marked with the yellow mutation. (B) A close-up view of the ectopic wing-margin bristles in (A) (marked by the rectangle). (C) A leg carrying the d-axin clones. The supernumerary leg (indicated by the arrow) branches out from the dorsal surface of the normal leg. (D) Ventral surface of an abdomen carrying the *d*-axin clones. Ectopic bristles are formed in the pleura by the *d*-axin clones (indicated by the arrow). (E to G) A wild-type wing disc (E) and a wing disc carrying the d-axin clones (F and G) stained with anti-Arm (red). The d-axin clones are marked by the absence of green Myc-GFP expression (indicated by arrows). In the wild-type wing disc, Arm is ubiquitously expressed at low levels in the wing disc and accumulates preferentially at the cell membrane (E). In the *d-axin* clones, high levels of Arm accumulate in a cell-autonomous manner (F and G). Single-channel view indicates that Arm in the *d*-axin clones accumulates preferentially in the cytoplasm and nuclei, whereas Arm in normal cells is localized to the cell membrane (G). (H) A wing disc carrying the *d*-axin clones stained with anti-Dll (red). The *d*-axin clones are marked as in (F) and (G) by the absence of green Myc-GFP expression (indicated by the arrow). In the wild-type wing disc, Dll is expressed along the dorsoventral compartment border (Fig. 4D). In the d-axin clones, Dll is ectopically expressed at high levels in a cell-autonomous manner.

Fig. 4. Ectopic overexpression of d-axin causes inhibition of Wg signaling. (A) A wing of a 71B-GAL4:UAS-*d*-axin fly. Notches are indicated by the arrowhead. (B) A leg of a tsh-GAL4:UAS-d-axin fly. The supernumerary leg (indicated by the arrowhead) branches out from the ventral surface of the normal leg. Compare with the leg carrying the d-axin clones in Fig. 3C. (C) Sternites of a tsh-GAL4: UAS-d-axin fly. Stern-



ite bristles are missing (indicated by the arrowhead), and the entire ventral surface is composed of pleura. Compare with the abdomen carrying the *d-axin* clones in Fig. 3D. (**D** and **E**) A wild-type wing disc (D) and a wing disc of an *engrailed*-GAL4:UAS-*d-axin* fly (E) stained with anti-Dll (red). Dll expression is repressed in the posterior region of the dorsoventral compartment border where *d-axin* is ectopically expressed by the *engrailed*-GAL4 expression system (indicated by the arrowhead). Wing discs are shown with anterior oriented to the left.

these *d-axin* clones, the levels of Arm were markedly enhanced in a strictly cell-autonomous manner (Fig. 3, F and G) (19, 23). In addition, Arm was localized predominantly in the cytoplasm and nuclei in the *d-axin* mutant clones, in contrast to the membrane localization observed in wild-type cells (Fig. 3G). The levels of *Dll* expression were also elevated in the *d-axin* clones in a cell-autonomous manner (Fig. 3H). These results suggest that D-Axin negatively regulates Wg signaling by down-regulating intracellular levels of Arm and that this regulatory mechanism is essential for Wg signaling.

To further examine the function of D-Axin, we ectopically expressed the *d*-axin gene using the GAL4/UAS system (15). In contrast to the phenotypes observed with the d-axin mutant clones (Fig. 3, A to D), ectopic expression of *d*-axin induced notches in the wing (Fig. 4A), generation of a supernumerary leg from the ventral side of the normal leg (Fig. 4B), and loss of the sternite structure in the abdomen (Fig. 4C). In addition, when d-axin was expressed in the posterior compartment under the control of engrailed-GAL4, Dll expression was severely repressed in the posterior region of the dorsoventral compartment border (Fig. 4, D and E). Thus, ectopic expression of *d*-axin exerts an inhibitory effect on Wg signaling.

Using genetic analysis in Drosophila, we have demonstrated that D-Axin is required in vivo for the negative regulation of Wg signaling. Of particular interest is the finding that the levels of cytoplasmic Arm are highly and uniformly elevated wherever *d-axin* clones are located in the wing discs (Fig. 3). For example, the accumulation of Arm in d-axin clones was observed not only around the region where Wg is secreted (indicated by the arrow in Fig. 3F) but also in the region where Wg is not supposed to reach (indicated by the arrowhead in Fig. 3F) (22). Together with the fact that *d*-axin is ubiquitously expressed, these findings suggest that Wg activity is not required for the effect of D-Axin. We speculate that the Axin family of proteins functions to establish a threshold to prevent premature signaling events caused by Wg/ Wnt and to restrict areas that are capable of responding to Wg/Wnt.

References and Notes

- E. Siegfried and N. Perrimon, *Bioessays* 16, 395 (1994); K. M. Cadigan and R. Nusse, *Genes Dev.* 11, 3286 (1997).
- J. R. Miller and R. T. Moon, *Genes Dev.* **10**, 2527 (1996); K. Willert and R. Nusse, *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **8**, 95 (1998).
- 3. K. W. Kinzler and B. Vogelstein, Cell 87, 159 (1996);
- P. Polakis, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1332, F127 (1997).
 P. J. Morin *et al.*, *Science* 275, 1787 (1997); B. Rubinfeld *et al.*, *ibid.*, p.1790.
- The target was generated by fusing the Armadillo repeats of Arm (amino acids 140 to 713) to the DNA-binding domain of yeast GAL4 in the vector pGBT9. We screened 6 × 10⁶ clones of a Drosophila

embryo cDNA library fused to the transcription activation domain of yeast GAL4 in the vector pGAD10 and isolated three independent partial clones of the *d-axin* cDNA. A larger partial *d-axin* cDNA clone (2.7 kb) was isolated by rescreening a λ gt11 *Drosophila* embryo cDNA library with the smaller cDNAs, and the remaining 5'-end region was obtained by the 5' RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) technique (Clontech). Multiple independent polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were analyzed to exclude the possibility of PCR-induced errors. Sequence comparison analysis was performed with the *blastp* program [S. F. Altschul *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389 (1997)].

- 6. L. Zeng et al., Cell 90, 181 (1997).
- 7. J. Behrens et al., Science 280, 596 (1998).
- S. Ikeda et al., EMBO J. 17, 1371 (1998); Ć. Sakanaka, J. B. Weiss, L. T. Williams, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 3020 (1998); H. Yamamoto et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 18, 2867 (1998); S. Kishida et al., J. Biol. Chem. 273, 10823 (1998); K. Itoh, V. E. Krupnik, S. Y. Sokol, Curr. Biol. 8, 591 (1998); M. J. Hart, R. de los Santos, I. N. Albert, B. Rubinfeld, P. Polakis, ibid., p. 573.
- T. Nakamura et al., Genes Cells 3, 395 (1998).
 Full-length D-Axin and the Armadillo repeat domain of Arm were labeled with ³⁵S-methionine with the coupled transcription-translation TNT system (Promega). GST and GST-fusion proteins (2 μg) immobilized to glutathione-Sepharose beads were mixed with in vitro-translated proteins in binding buffer [20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, and 10 μg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin) for 1 hour at 4°C. After washing five times with binding buffer, bound proteins were fractionated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) followed by autoradiography.
- 11. F. Hamada et al., unpublished data.
- 12. S. Hayashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 242 (1997).
- 13. Using in situ hybridization to polytene chromosomes, we mapped the *d-axin* gene to 99D in the third chromosome. The genomic P1 clone DS00026 from the Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project contained the genomic region corresponding to the *d-axin* cDNA. The genomic organization of the *d-axin* locus and the intron-exon structure were determined by Southern (DNA) blot analysis and subsequent sequencing across the exon-intron boundaries.
- 14. A Drosophila strain containing the P-element-induced lethal line (*I*3)*S*044230 was obtained from the European Drosophila P-element-induced lethal stock center (Szeged Center, Hungary). The precise point of P-element insertion was determined by genomic sequencing. Association of the observed lethal phenotype with the P-element insertion was confirmed by mobilization of the P-element with a Ki, *P*^p, Δ2-3 strain [H. M. Robertson et al., Genetics **118**, 461 (1988)].
- The GAL4/UAS system was used for overexpression of *d-axin* [A. H. Brand and N. Perrimon, *Development* **118**, 401 (1993)]. The entire *d-axin* open reading frames of various constructs were subcloned into the pUAST vector and introduced into the *w* germ line by the standard P-element transformation method. These UAS-*d-axin* lines were crossed with several GAL4 drivers [Y. Shiga, M. Tanaka-Matakatsu, S. Hayashi, *Dev. Growth Differ.* **38**, 99 (1996); E. J. Rulifson, C. A. Micchelli, J. D. Axelrod, N. Perrimon, S. S. Blair, *Nature* **384**, 72 (1996)].
- Germ-line clones of *d-axin* were generated by use of the FLP-DFS technique [T. B. Chou and N. Perrimon, *Genetics* 144, 1673 (1996)]. All embryos derived from homozygous *d-axin* germ-line clones die during embryonic development. The TM3 gfp chromosome was used to determine the zygotic genotype of embryos. Cuticles were prepared and mounted in Hoyer's medium [J. van der Meer, *Drosophila Inf. Serv.* 52, 160 (1977)], viewed, and photographed under dark field.
- E. Siegfried, E. L. Wilder, N. Perrimon, *Nature* **367**, 76 (1994); M. Zecca, K. Basler, G. Struhl, *Cell* **87**, 833 (1996).
- K. Irvine and T. F. Vogt, Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol. 9, 867 (1997).
- d-axin mutant clones were generated by use of the FLP-FRT technique [T. Xu and G. M. Rubin, Develop-

- for 60 min and then processed for immunostaining after a 60-min recovery period at 25°C. The mutant clones can be recognized by the y marker in adults and by the absence of GFP expression in the imaginal discs, respectively.
- W. J. Brook and S. M. Cohen, *Science* 273, 1373 (1996); J. Jiang and G. Struhl, *Cell* 86, 401 (1996); A. Penton and F. M. Hoffmann, *Nature* 382, 162 (1996).
 A. D. Shirras and J. P. Couso, *Dev. Biol.* 175, 24
- (1996).
- C. J. Neumann and S. M. Cohen, *Development* **124**, 871 (1997); K. M. Cadigan, M. P. Fish, E. J. Rulifson, R. Nusse, *Cell* **93**, 767 (1998).
- 23. Wing imaginal discs from third instar larvae were

dissected, fixed, and stained with mouse antibodies to Arm (anti-Arm, N2-7A1) [M. Peifer, D. Sweeton, M. Casey, E. Wieschaus, *Development* **120**, 369 (1994)] or anti-Dll [G. Vachon *et al.*, *Cell* **71**, 437 (1992)]. These antibodies were detected with rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (Jackson Immunochemicals). Confocal fluorescent images were obtained with a Zeiss LSM510 microscope.

24. We thank J. Jiang, G. Struhl, the Bloomington Stock Center, and the European Drosophila P-element-induced Lethal Stock Center (Szeged Center) for fly stocks; S. Yanagawa, S. Cohen, and E. Wieschaus for plasmids and antibodies; the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project and E. Nitasaka for genomic P1 clones; A. Ogai, Y. Katoh, N. Kakinuma, T. Shikina, T. Wada, A. Furui, and M. Ichikawa for technical assistance; and S. Higashijima, E. Shishido, T. Tabata, and K. W. Cho for helpful discussions. Supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan and the National Institute for Basic Biology Cooperative Research Program.

6 October 1998; accepted 9 February 1999

Truncated RanGAP Encoded by the Segregation Distorter Locus of Drosophila

Cynthia Merrill, Leyla Bayraktaroglu,* Ayumi Kusano, Barry Ganetzky†

Segregation Distorter (SD) in Drosophila melanogaster is a naturally occurring meiotic drive system in which the SD chromosome is transmitted from SD/SD^+ males in vast excess over its homolog owing to the induced dysfunction of SD^+ -bearing spermatids. The Sd locus is the key distorting gene responsible for this phenotype. A genomic fragment from the Sd region conferred full distorting activity when introduced into the appropriate genetic background by germline transformation. The only functional product encoded by this fragment is a truncated version of the RanGAP nuclear transport protein. These results demonstrate that this mutant RanGAP is the functional Sd product.

Examples of meiotic drive, in which a particular allele or chromosome of a heterozygous pair is preferentially transmitted to the off-spring, have been described in natural populations of fungi, plants, insects, and mammals (1). This violation of the fundamental principle of Mendelian genetics can subvert the evolutionary process, which is contingent on the unbiased exposure of competing genes to selective forces. The molecular mechanisms of meiotic drive have remained elusive.

One of the best characterized meiotic drive systems is *Segregation Distorter* (*SD*) in *Drosophila melanogaster* (2). *SD* chromosomes are transmitted from SD/SD^+ males to more than 95% of the progeny; transmission from females is normal. *Sd*, a dominant gain-of-function mu-

tation, is the primary gene on *SD* chromosomes required for distortion. Strong distortion also requires several linked modifier loci, including *Enhancer* [*E*(*SD*)], *Modifier* [*M*(*SD*)], and *Stabilizer* [*St*(*SD*)] (2–4). The target of distortion is the *Responder* (*Rsp*) locus, which consists of an array of repeated satellite sequences whose copy number is correlated with sensitivity (4, 5). Chromosomes carrying Rsp^s (sensitive) or Rsp^{ss} (supersensitive) loci are subject to distortion, whereas *SD* chromosomes, which carry Rsp^i (insensitive), are resistant. Distortion ultimately involves sperm dysfunction, first visibly apparent as failed chromatin condensation in half of the developing spermatids (6).

The *Sd* locus was isolated by positional cloning and found to be associated with a tandem duplication that replaces the wild-type (*Sd*⁺) 6.5-kb Eco RI fragment with an 11.5-kb Eco RI fragment (7). When introduced into appropriate genetic backgrounds by germline transformation, the 11.5-kb fragment confers full distortion, which indicates that *Sd* activity is contained entirely within this fragment (8). Transformants that have

Laboratory of Genetics, 445 Henry Mall, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA.

^{*}Present address: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, 16 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: ganetzky@facstaff.wisc.edu