

scarce resources by focusing on parks and high-biodiversity locales while withdrawing from areas less vital for wildlife. He is also lining up new support from various donors as a 5-year commitment to KWS by the World Bank winds down. He says he has commitments totaling about \$30 million for the next 2 or 3 years, and he hopes to line up an additional \$20 million for

elephant and biodiversity "trust funds." The ultimate fate of the KWS, however, will depend on the rebound of the tourist industry.

When his term is up in 9 months, Western says he would like to see the job divided in two, with management handled separately from conservation. Asked what he will do after he steps down, he says he

"will write up 30 years of research."

"Many of us are pretty happy" about the latest turn of events, says Charis Cussins, a visiting professor of science and technology studies at Cornell University who does research in Kenya. The Western reinstatement, she says, is at least a temporary "vote of confidence for African conservation by Africans."

—Constance Holden

## IRELAND

### Peace Process Set to Boost Collaboration

When Irish voters backed the historic peace deal in separate referenda in the North and South last month, many researchers saw it as a step toward increased scientific collaboration across the border. One reason: The new Northern Ireland assembly, to be elected later this month, will create a North-South Council with a pot of funds to set collaborations rolling in areas of common interest between Northern Ireland and the Republic. The peace agreement specifically mentions agriculture, the environment, European Union (EU) programs, fisheries, and health—all of which could include a significant scientific component—and the council itself could add other areas. "Science could be an early and relatively noncontroversial area for enhanced collaboration," says an official in Dublin's Office of Science and Technology.

Researchers on both sides of the border are eager for that to happen. Many have been meeting regularly and collaborating informally with colleagues across the border for years through organizations such as the Royal Irish Academy and the Biochemical Society, which draw their members from all of Ireland. And in recent years more formal collaborations have begun to flourish. Colm Lawler of Dublin-based BioResearch Ireland, which funds projects to help foster the biotechnology industry, has several projects with researchers in Northern Ireland. "Getting partners in the North is easier than in other countries because they are close, there's no language problem, and many scientific institutions cover all of Ireland so we know people better," he says. Adds biochemist Luke O'Neill of Trinity College, Dublin, "Scientists are always going to collaborate, but these developments [the peace agreement] can only be for the good."

A number of barriers to North-South collaboration remain, however. One is unequal support for research in the North and South. Northern Ireland, as part of the United Kingdom, has a long tradition of comparatively generous basic research funding. In contrast, government funding for basic research in the

Irish Republic seldom exceeds subsistence level. And it has remained low in spite of efforts by recent governments to highlight the importance of science to the economy and attract industrial funding, culminating in the Republic's first-ever policy white paper on science and technology 18 months ago. "I estimate the public funds for nonsalary costs are around five times higher for biochemistry in Queen's University in Belfast compared to here in Trinity," says O'Neill. "We just have to try harder to get funds from elsewhere."

One consequence of this imbalance is

affect the important Irish mushroom-growing industry located in the border region. "There's enormous potential in Irish biotechnology, and the important thing will be to convince the North-South Council to look at the economic potential of investing in the life science sector," Carmichael says.

Moreover, collaborations are not confined to the life sciences. Perrott recently inaugurated the kind of collaboration that researchers hope will blossom in the new environment. Earlier this year, an IBM SP-2 supercomputer, funded jointly by Trinity College and Queen's University, was installed at Queen's. The two universities will jointly manage the machine—the largest computer in Ireland and one of the most powerful in Europe—to conduct a range of molecular modeling and other data analyses. Trinity researchers will make use of their half share of the running time via high-speed data links. The \$2.3 million to buy the computer came from both universities, grants from the EU, the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, and private donations. And \$1.6 million has been raised from the EU and other funding bodies for research on the new machine. Perrott says that discussions are now under way for joint research projects between researchers at the two universities.

Perrott, who heads the Queen's team, says there were clear advantages to choosing an Irish partnership. He says his group could have attempted a collaboration with a U.K. university, such as Edinburgh, Manchester, or Liverpool, but "we only have to get on a train or in our car to go to Dublin. Also, because we're two countries, the EU looks on our applications more favorably, as cross-national links are needed to be eligible for most of its research funds."

Researchers are hoping that such arrangements will become the norm as a result of the peace deal. "I'm optimistic," says Lawler. "I think the outcome of the referenda auger well for the future of research not only North and South, but also East and West between Ireland and Britain. It's good for science across the British Isles."

—Nigel Williams

| IRELAND NORTH AND SOUTH:<br>A COMPARISON OF PHYSICS FUNDING |                               |                   |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
|   | Queen's University<br>Belfast | Irish<br>Republic |
| Typical annual public funding                               | \$1.8 million                 | \$0.8 million     |
| Number of current projects                                  | 20                            | 40                |
| Number of permanent staff                                   | 25                            | 50                |
| Average support per project                                 | \$88,000                      | \$20,000          |
| Average support per researcher                              | \$72,000                      | \$18,000          |

SOURCE: ROYAL IRISH ACADEMY FOR 1996

that researchers in the South tend to focus more on industrial applications that can compete for EU or industry funding. "Researchers in the North looking to exploit their work industrially may well find good partners in the South, but if they are looking for basic collaborators, it can be much more difficult," says computer scientist Ron Perrott of Queen's University.

But in some areas, such as biotechnology, the industrial focus has led researchers in the South to steal a march on their northern colleagues. Jeremy Carmichael, director of the Centre for Innovation in Biotechnology at Queen's University and the University of Ulster at Coleraine, is keen to learn from successes in the South. His center collaborates with researchers in the South through BioResearch Ireland. Joint demonstration projects include one to use waste from the brewing industry as a source of enzymes for cleaning up dye pollution from the textile industry; another is developing diagnostic tests for the early detection of pathogens that