the manufacturer. The coding sequence for dCtBP, containing codons 8 to 383, was inserted into the pGEX-5X-3 expression plasmid (Pharmacia) and transformed into strain BL21::DE3 pLysS of Escherichia coli. Protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside at 37°C for 3 hours. Cells were then sonicated in buffer A [20 mM tris-HCI (pH 7.9), 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 0.2% Nonidet P-40], and total lysates containing the GST and GST-dCtBP proteins were immobilized onto glutathione-agarose beads (Sigma) in buffer A. Binding assays were done as described (29). 35S-labeled proteins were fractionated on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography

- 19. D. B. Smith and K. S. Johnson, Gene 67, 31 (1988).
- A. C. Spradling et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92, 10824 (1995).
- 21 Genomic DNA was extracted from I(3)03463 heterozygous stocks, annealed with various primers, and then subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using standard methods. A 550bp DNA fragment was obtained using a 23-nucleo-tide primer from the 5' end of the dCtBP cDNA (TGAAAGCTGCGAGTGGAATTTGG) and a 28-nucleotide primer from the 3' region of the P-element (CTTGCCGACGGGACCACCTTATGTTATT). The 5' end of this PCR product contains 37 bp of perfect identity to the 5' end of the dCtBP UTR. The remaining sequence does not contain any discernible homology with dCtBP, which suggests that the dCtBP UTR is interrupted by an intron located 37 bp downstream of the 5' end of the largest dCtBP cDNA. It would appear that the P-element maps within this intron.
- N. Perrimon, A. C. Lanjuin, C. Arnold, E. Noll, Genetics 144, 1681 (1996). Additional information about I(3)03463/dCtBP can be obtained in Flybase (http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/.bin/fbidq. http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/.bin/fbidq.
- G. Tearle and C. Nusslein-Volhard, Dros. Inform. Serv. 66, 209 (1987).
- 24. P-elements were introduced into the Drosophila germline by injection of yw⁶⁷ or w¹¹¹⁸ embryos as described (3). In situ hybridizations on whole mount preparations of staged, transgenic embryos were also done as described (3) using a digoxigenin–uridine triphosphate–labeled lacZ antisense RNA probe. The stripe 2 reporter gene and Gal4 expression vector are identical to those used by Arnosti *et al.* (8).
- 25. W. Hanna-Rose, J. D. Licht, U. Hansen, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**, 4820 (1997).
- For a review, see M. Pazin and J. T. Kadonaga, *Cell* 89, 325 (1997).
- 27. Y. Yu et al., Nature 385, 552 (1997).
- D. J. Sourdive, C. Transy, S. Garbay, M. Yaniv, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 1476 (1997).
- H. Zhang, K. M. Catron, C. Abate-Shen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 1764 (1996).
- 30. Y. Nibu and H. Zhang, unpublished data.
- 31. A GST-dCtBP fusion protein containing amino acid residues 8 to 383 was injected into a rat (Pocono Rabbit Farm, PA). The preimmune serum did not detectably cross-react with fixed embryos. The GST-dCtBP antiserum specifically stained nuclei in mixed-stage embryos. Reduced staining was detected in I(3)03463 homozygous embryos. Embryos were fixed and preincubated in bovine serum albumin as described (*15*). The rat serum was diluted 1:1000, and dCtBP was visualized using a 1:200 dilution of tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanateconjugated antibodies to rat immunoglobulin (Jackson Labs).
- 32. G. Poortinga, M. Watanabe, S. M. Parkhurst, *EMBO* J., in press.
- 33. We thank D. Rio for help with PCR amplification, L. Pick for the yeast two-hybrid DNAs and the pACT cDNA expression library, and D. Rio, L. Mirels, and R. Tijan for helpful comments. Supported by NIH grant GM46638. Y.N. is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Uehara Memorial Foundation.

Arabidopsis CBF1 Overexpression Induces COR Genes and Enhances Freezing Tolerance

Kirsten R. Jaglo-Ottosen, Sarah J. Gilmour, Daniel G. Zarka, Oliver Schabenberger, Michael F. Thomashow*

Many plants, including *Arabidopsis*, show increased resistance to freezing after they have been exposed to low nonfreezing temperatures. This response, termed cold acclimation, is associated with the induction of *COR* (cold-regulated) genes mediated by the C-repeat/drought-responsive element (CRT/DRE) DNA regulatory element. Increased expression of *Arabidopsis* CBF1, a transcriptional activator that binds to the CRT/DRE sequence, induced *COR* gene expression and increased the freezing tolerance of nonacclimated *Arabidopsis* plants. We conclude that CBF1 is a likely regulator of the cold acclimation response, controlling the level of *COR* gene expression, which in turn promotes tolerance to freezing.

Studies of the molecular basis of plant tolerance to freezing have focused primarily on the cold acclimation response, the process by which plants increase their tolerance to freezing in response to low nonfreezing temperatures (1). Cold acclimation is associated with biochemical and physiological changes and alterations in gene expression (1, 2). Studies of genes stimulated by low temperature have revealed that many, including the Arabidopsis COR genes, encode hydrophilic polypeptides that potentially promote tolerance to freezing (1-3). Indeed, constitutive expression of COR15a (which encodes the chloroplast-targeted polypeptide COR15am) in transgenic Arabidopsis plants improves the freezing tolerance of chloroplasts frozen in situ and of protoplasts frozen in vitro (4). Unlike cold acclimation, however, COR15a expression has no discernible effect on the survival of frozen plants (2, 5).

Genetic analyses indicate that multiple genes are involved in cold acclimation in plants (6). Several COR genes are coordinately stimulated along with COR15a in response to low temperature (2, 7), which suggests that COR15a might act in concert with other COR genes to enhance tolerance to freezing in plants. If so, expression of the entire battery of COR genes would have a greater effect on freezing tolerance than COR15a expression alone. To test this hypothesis, we attempted to induce expression of the COR gene "regulon" with the Arabidopsis transcriptional activator CBF1 (CRT/ DRE binding factor 1) (8), a putative COR gene regulator. CBF1 binds to the cis-acting CRT (C-repeat)/DRE (drought-responsive element) sequence (9, 10), a DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to both low temperature and water deficit (9). The element is present in the promoters of multiple COR genes including COR15a, COR78 (also known as RD29A and LT178), and COR6.6 (10–12). Expression of CBF1 in yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) activates expression of reporter genes that have the CRT/DRE as an upstream activator sequence (8).

We created transgenic Arabidopsis plants that overexpress CBF1 by placing a cDNA encoding CBF1 under the control of the strong cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S RNA promoter and transforming the chimeric gene into Arabidopsis ecotype RLD plants (13). Initial screening gave rise to two transgenic lines, A6 and B16, that accumulated CBF1 transcripts at high concentrations. Southern blot analysis indicated that the A6 plants had a single DNA insert and the B16 plants had multiple inserts. Examination of fourth generation homozygous A6 and B16 plants indicated that amounts of CBF1 transcript were higher in nonacclimated A6 and B16 plants than they were in nonacclimated RLD plants (Fig. 1A). Quantities of CBF1 transcript were greater in the A6 plants than in the B16 plants (Fig. 1A).

CBF1 overexpression induced COR gene expression without a low-temperature stimulus (Fig. 1A). Specifically, greater than normal amounts of COR6.6, COR15a, COR47, and COR78 transcripts were detected in nonacclimated A6 and B16 plants. In nonacclimated A6 plants, COR transcript concentrations approximated those found in cold-acclimated RLD plants. In nonacclimated B16 plants, they were less than in cold-acclimated RLD plants. Immunoblot analysis indicated that the amounts of the COR15am (Fig. 1B) and COR6.6 polypeptides were also elevated in the A6 and B16 plants, with a higher level of expression in A6 plants. We were unable to identify the CBF1 protein in either RLD or transgenic plants (5). Overexpression of CBF1 did not affect transcript concentrations of eIF4A

³¹ December 1997; accepted 6 March 1998

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Plant and Soil Sciences Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824–1325, USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: thomash6@pilot.msu.edu

Fig. 1. Expression of CBF1 and COR genes in RLD and transgenic Arabidopsis plants. (A) CBF1 and COR transcripts. Leaves from nonacclimated and 3-day cold-acclimated plants (20) were harvested and total RNA was prepared and analyzed for CBF1 and COR transcripts by RNA blot analysis with ³²P-radiolabeled probes (21). The autoradiograms for CBF1 resulted from 3-day film exposure and those for COR6.6 and COR15a were from a 3-hour exposure (the ³²P-radiolabeled probes were of similar specific activity). (B) COR15am proteins. Total soluble protein (100 µg) was prepared from leaves of the nonacclimated RLD (RLDw), 4-day cold-acclimated RLD (RLDc4d), 7-day cold-acclimated RLD (RLDc7d), and nonacclimated A6 and B16 plants; the amounts of COR15am were determined by immunoblot analysis with antiserum raised against the COR15am polypeptide (22). No reacting bands were observed with preimmune serum.

(eukaryotic initiation factor 4A) (14), a constitutively expressed gene that is not responsive to low temperature (Fig. 1A), and had no obvious effects on plant growth and development.

Two additional transgenic lines, K16 and 1-11, that overexpress *CBF1* have recently been identified. Northern blot analysis of nonacclimated T2 generation plants indicated that, in both of these lines, *COR* gene expression is also higher than that in nonacclimated RLD plants.

CBF1 overexpression increased the tolerance of plants to freezing (Fig. 2), as determined by the electrolyte leakage test (15).



Fig. 2. Freezing tolerance of leaves from RLD and transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. Leaves from non-acclimated RLD (RLDw) plants, 10-day cold-acclimated RLD (RLDc) plants, and nonacclimated A6, B16, and T8 plants were frozen at the indicated temperatures and the extent of cellular damage was estimated by measuring electrolyte leakage (*23*). Error bars indicate standard deviations.

Detached leaves were frozen to various subzero temperatures and, after thawing, cellular damage (due to freeze-induced membrane lesions) was estimated by measuring ion leakage from the tissues. Leaves from nonacclimated A6 and B16 plants were more tolerant to freezing than those from nonacclimated RLD plants (Fig. 2). The freezing tolerance of leaves from nonacclimated A6 plants exceeded that of leaves from nonacclimated B16 plants (Fig. 2A), which had lower levels of CBF1 and COR gene expression (Fig. 1A). T8 transgenic plants (4), which constitutively express only COR15a (under control of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter) (Fig. 1A), were less freezing tolerant than A6 plants (Fig. 2B).

Reports

CBF1

COR6.6

COR15a

COR47

COR78

elF4A

в

Nonacclimated

RLD A6 B16 T8 RLD A6 B16 T8

Cold-acclimated

A comparison of EL_{50} values (the freezing temperature that results in release of 50% of tissue electrolytes) of leaves from RLD, A6, B16, and T8 plants is presented in Table 1. Data from multiple experiments indicate that the freezing tolerance of leaves from nonacclimated A6 and B16 plants was greater than that of leaves from nonacclimated RLD and T8 plants and that leaves from nonacclimated A6 plants were more freezing

 Warm
 Cold

 RLD
 A6
 RLD

Fig. 3. Freezing survival of RLD and A6 *Arabidopsis* plants. Nonacclimated (Warm) RLD and A6 plants and 5-day cold-acclimated (Cold) RLD plants were frozen at -5° C for 2 days and then returned to a growth chamber at 22°C (24). A photograph of the plants after 7 days of regrowth is shown.

tolerant than leaves from nonacclimated B16 plants.

The enhancement of freezing tolerance in A6 plants was apparent in whole plant survival tests (Fig. 3). Nonacclimated A6 plants displayed variable, but greater, freezing tolerance than nonacclimated RLD plants (Fig. 3). No difference in plant survival was detected between nonacclimated B16 and RLD plants and nonacclimated T8 and RLD plants.

Our results demonstrate that constitutive overexpression of the Arabidopsis transcriptional activator CBF1 induces expression of Arabidopsis COR genes and increases the freezing tolerance of nonacclimated plants. These results are consistent with CBF1 having a role in regulating COR gene expression and further link the COR genes to plant cold acclimation. The increase in freezing tolerance brought about by expressing the battery of CRT/DRE-regulated COR genes was greater than that brought about by overexpressing COR15a alone, which implicates

Table 1. Comparison of EL₅₀ values of leaves from RLD and transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. EL₅₀ values were calculated and compared by analysis of variance (25). $EL_{50} \pm SE$ (*n*) are presented on the diagonal line for leaves from nonacclimated RLD (RLDw), cold-acclimated (7 to 10 days) RLD (RLDc), and nonacclimated A6, B16, and T8 plants. *P* values for comparisons of EL₅₀ values are indicated in the intersecting cells.

	EL ₅₀ values				
	RLDw	RLDc	A6	B16	Т8
RLDw	-3.9 ± 0.21	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	P < 0.0001	<i>P</i> = 0.0014	P = 0.7406
RLDc	(0)	-7.6 ± 0.30	P = 0.3261	P < 0.0001	P < 0.0001
A6		(4)	-7.2 ± 0.25	P < 0.0001	P < 0.0001
B16			(6)	-5.2 ± 0.27	<i>P</i> = 0.0044
Т8				(5)	-3.8 ± 0.35 (3)

additional COR genes in freezing tolerance. Whether CRT/DRE-containing COR genes are involved in bringing about the full array of biochemical and physiological changes that occur with cold acclimation (1, 2) remains to be determined.

Freezing temperatures greatly limit the geographical distribution of native and cultivated plants and often cause severe losses in agricultural productivity (16). Traditional plant breeding approaches have met with limited success in improving the freezing tolerance of agronomic plants (6). The freezing tolerance of the best wheat varieties today is essentially the same as the most freezing-tolerant varieties developed in the early part of this century. Biotechnology, however, may offer new strategies. Here we show that the freezing tolerance of nonacclimated Arabidopsis plants is enhanced by increasing the expression of the Arabidopsis regulatory gene CBF1. The CRT/DRE DNA regulatory element we have targeted here is not limited to Arabidopsis (17) and thus may provide a way to improve the freezing tolerance of crop plants.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- C. L. Guy, Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 41, 187 (1990); M. A. Hughes and M. A. Dunn, J. Exp. Bot. 47, 291 (1996).
- M. F. Thomashow, in *Arabidopsis*, E. Meyerowitz and C. Somerville, Eds. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, NY, 1994), pp. 807–834.
- S. S. Mohapatra, L. Wolfraim, R. J. Poole, R. S. Dhindsa, *Plant Physiol.* 89, 375 (1989); M. Houde, R. S. Dhindsa, F. Sarhan, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 234, 43 (1992); C. Crosatti, E. Nevo, A. M. Stanca, L. Cattivelli, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93, 975 (1996).
- N. N. Artus *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 13404 (1996).
- S. J. Gilmour and K. R. Jaglo-Ottosen, unpublished results.
- 6. M. F. Thomashow, Adv. Genet. 28, 99 (1990).
- R. K. Hajela, D. P. Horvath, S. J. Gilmour, M. F. Thomashow, *Plant Physiol*. 93, 1246 (1990).
- E. J. Stockinger, S. J. Gilmour, M. F Thomashow, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 1035 (1997).
- 9. K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki, *Plant Cell* 6, 251 (1994).
- S. S. Baker, K. S. Wilhelm, M. F. Thomashow, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 24, 701 (1994).
- 11. K. Nordin, T. Vahala, E. T. Palva, ibid. 21, 641 (1993).
- H. W. Wang, R. Datla, F. Georges, M. Loewen, A. Cutler, *ibid.* 28, 605 (1995).
- 13. Standard procedures were used for plasmid manipulations (18). The CBF1-containing Ase I-Bgl II fragment from pACT-BgI+ (8) was gel purified, Bam HI linkers were ligated to both ends, and the fragment was inserted into the Bam HI site in pCIB710 [S. Rothstein et al., Gene 53, 153 (1987)], which contains the CaMV 35S RNA promoter and terminator. The chimeric plasmid was linearized at the Kpn I site and inserted into the Kon I site of the binary vector pCIB10g (Ciba-Geigy, Research Triangle Park, NC). The plasmid was transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1(pMP90) by electroporation. Arabidopsis plants were transformed by the vacuum infiltration procedure [N. Bechtold, J. Ellis, G. Pelletier, C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. III Life Sci. 316, 1194 (1993)] as modified [A. van Hoof and P. J. Green, Plant J. 10, 415 (1996)]
- 14. A. M. Metz, R. T. Timmer, K. S. Browning, *Gene* **120**, 313 (1992).

- 15. N. P. Sukumaran and C. J. Weiser, *HortScience* 7, 467 (1972).
- W. Larcher, in Physiological Processes Limiting Plant Productivity, C. D. Johnson, Ed. (Butterworths, London, 1981), pp. 253–269; W. Larcher and H. Bauer, in Physiological Plant Ecology I. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, O. L. Lang, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, H. Ziegler, Eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1981), vol. 12, pp. 403–437.
- 17. C. Jiang, B. lu, J. Singh, *Plant Mol. Biol.* **30**, 679 (1996).
- J. Sambrook, E. F. Fritsch, T. Maniatis, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, ed. 2, 1989).
- S. J. Gilmour, R. K. Hajela, M. F. Thomashow, *Plant Physiol.* 87, 735 (1988).
- 20. Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype RLD plants were grown in pots under continuous light (~100 μE m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C for 18 to 25 days as described (19). In some cases, plants were then cold acclimated at 2.5°C under continuous light (~50 μE m⁻² s⁻¹) for various amounts of time.
- Total RNA was isolated from plant leaves and subjected to RNA blot analysis by high-stringency hybridization and wash conditions as described (8, 19). DNA probes were gel purified and radiolabeled with ³²P by random priming according to standard procedures (18).
- 22. Total soluble protein was isolated from plant leaves, fractionated by tricine SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and transferred to 0.2-μm nitrocellulose as described (4). COR15am protein was detected with antiserum raised to purified COR15am and protein A–conjugated alkaline phosphatase (Sigma) (4).
- 23. Electrolyte leakage tests were conducted as described (15, 19) with the following modifications. Two to four detached leaves from nonacclimated or cold-acclimated plants were placed in a test tube and submerged for 1 hour in a -2°C bath containing water and ethylene glycol in a complete-

ly randomized design, after which ice crystals were added to nucleate freezing. After an additional hour of incubation at -2° C, the samples were cooled in decrements of 1°C each hour. Samples (five replicates for each data point) were thawed overnight on ice and incubated in 3 ml of distilled water with shaking at room temperature for 3 hours. Electrolyte leakage from leaves was measured with a conductivity meter. The solution was then removed, the leaves were frozen at -80° C (for at least 1 hour), and the solution was returned to each tube and incubated for 3 hours to obtain a value for 100% electrolyte leakage.

- 24. Pots (9 cm) containing about 40 nonacclimated Arabidopsis plants (20 days old) and 4-day cold-acclimated plants (25 days old) (20) were placed in a completely randomized design in a -5°C cold chamber in the dark. After 1 hour, ice chips were added to each pot to nucleate freezing. Plants were removed after 2 days and returned to a growth chamber at 22°C.
- 25. Model curves fitting up to third-order linear polynomial trends were determined for each electrolyte leakage experiment. To ensure unbiased predictions of electrolyte leakage, trends significantly improving the model fit at the 0.2 probability level were retained. EL₅₀ values were calculated from the fitted models. An unbalanced one-way analysis of variance, adjusted for the different number of EL₅₀ values for each plant type, was determined by using SAS PROC GLM [SAS Institute, SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 6 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1989)].
- 26. We wish to thank J. Dodgson, B. Sears, T. Deits, and E. Stockinger for critical reading of the manuscript. This research was supported in part by grants to M.F.T. from the National Science Foundation (IBN 9307348), the U.S. Department of Agriculture/National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program (96-35100-3231), and the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

14 October 1997; accepted 10 February 1998

Structural Conservation in Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic Potassium Channels

Roderick MacKinnon,* Steven L. Cohen, Anling Kuo, Alice Lee, Brian T. Chait

Toxins from scorpion venom interact with potassium channels. Resin-attached, mutant K^+ channels from *Streptomyces lividans* were used to screen venom from *Leiurus quinquestriatus hebraeus*, and the toxins that interacted with the channel were rapidly identified by mass spectrometry. One of the toxins, agitoxin2, was further studied by mutagenesis and radioligand binding. The results show that a prokaryotic K^+ channel has the same pore structure as eukaryotic K^+ channels. This structural conservation, through application of techniques presented here, offers a new approach for K^+ channel pharmacology.

Scorpion toxins inhibit ion conduction through potassium channels by occluding the pore at the extracellular opening. A single toxin protein binds very specifically to a single K⁺ channel to cause inhibition. The toxins are 35 to 40 amino acids in length and have a characteristic fold that is held rigidly by three disulfide bridges (1). They are active site inhibitors, because when they bind to the channel they interact energetically with K⁺ ions in the pore (2–4). The interaction between these inhibitors and the pore of K⁺ channels has been exploited to gain insights into the structure and function of $K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ channels.

Studies employing site-directed mutagenesis of the Shaker K⁺ channel have mapped the scorpion toxin binding site to regions corresponding to the extracellular entryway of the K⁺ channel from *Streptomyces lividans* (the KcsA channel) (4–9). Although the amino acids of the K⁺ channel selectivity filter are highly conserved, the residues lining the entryway are quite variable. As if to mirror the amino acid variation at the binding site, the toxins are also highly variable in