

# First in innovation. First in performance. First in affordability.

Low-cost Custom Systems for UV, VIS and Shortwave NIR Applications

- High sensitivity
- •Remarkable flexibility
- Unmatched application support
- •Modular hardware and software

Ocean Optics is the leader in low-cost spectrometers and fiber optic components for OEM developers... Call today for information on our OEM Program.



| Ocean  | Optics, Inc.                |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| Tel:   | (813) 733-2447              |
| Fax:   | (813) 733-3962              |
|        | Info@OceanOptics.com        |
| Web:   | http://www.OceanOptics.com  |
| Cinala | In the Dandard Contine Cond |

Circle No. 1 on Readers' Service Card

# Transition at Russian Physics Centers

I read with great interest the article "Physics centers forced to go private" by Andrey Allakhverdov and Vladimir Pokrovsky (News & Comment, 13 Mar., p. 1623). As noted earlier (News & Comment, 24 Jan. 1997, p. 468), organizations such as the International Science and Technology Center (ISTC) continue to support Russian scientific institutions—such as the Institute of Theoretical Physics (ITEP) and the Institute of High-Energy Physics—as they undergo yet another transition.

Since its inception in 1992, ISTC has often witnessed restructuring in the relationships between institutes and their government overseers, and we remain cautiously optimistic that such actions will in the long term benefit Russian science and transitions to market-as opposed to state-economics. ISTC continues to maintain its relevance in these transitions, for example, providing \$2.5 million in equipment and grant payments for ITEP scientists to work with leading international organizations such as CERN (the European Organization for Nuclear Research) in Geneva. (As of March 1998, ISTC has funded more than 500 such projects in institutes throughout the Commonwealth of Independent States, totaling more than \$165 million.)

While every such international collaboration that the ISTC supports will not result in commercial or marketable products, we have encouraging examples of fundamental shifts in thinking and practice which have taken place in Russian institutes such as ITEP. We trust that, in the long term, Russian scientists and their institutes will demonstrate the innovation in their business practices that they have long demonstrated in their research.

### Alain Gerard

Executive Director, International Science and Technology Center, Moscow, Russian Federation E-mail: gerard@notes.istc.ru

# Wizards of Microsoft

I was amused to read that Microsoft's wizardly paper clips et cetera are "one of the first commercial products to come out of Microsoft's growing research department" (D. Mackenzie, News, 27 Feb., p. 1294). All, the "research" required for this particular achievement was to read any of the numerous papers that appeared in the early 1980s implementing and analyzing this technology (1). Whereas I applaud companies that support basic science, my experience in the late 1980s at Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, which also touted academic freedom until it started losing inventions to others, has led me to distrust corporations where science is concerned. Any scientist working for a profit-making organization ought to be especially alert about the phrase "intellectual property" and consider running the other way as quickly as possible.

**Jeff Shrager** 430 Olive Street, Palo Alto, CA 94306, USA E-mail: shrager@afferent.com

#### References

 J. Shrager and T. Finin, in *Proceedings of the Second National Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (MIT Press, Boston, 1982), pp. 339–340; J. M. Carroll and J. McKendree, *Commun. ACM* **30** (no. 1), 14 (1987).

The article on innovative research at Microsoft, featuring the Office Assistant as an example, did not mention how intensely annoying these gimmicks can be. I now squander substantial time and ingenuity trying to trick my new "smart" word processor into doing things my way, after it has guessed (usually incorrectly) what I am attempting to do and is trying to constrain me to some preconceived format. Are there really people who want this kind of "help"? Perhaps so, but even if a majority of the 100 million hapless users Nathan Myhrvold is bombarding with new ideas welcome the manipulative assistance of Paper Clip Man and his friends, there must also be tens of millions of us out there who would much prefer just to muddle through on our own. Suggestion to frustrated users: disable as many automatic formatting functions as you can (and please let me know how to kill them all in Word 97 if you can figure it out; I still haven't). Suggestion to the mathematical minds of Microsoft: play with Bayesian statistics all you like, but when you incorporate the results into commercial software, make it easy to turn them completely off!

### W. B. Wood

University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309–0347, USA email: wood@stripe.colorado.edu

# -

### Kennewick Man

I am writing in response to the Random Samples piece "Kennewick Man realized" (20 Feb., p. 1137). I would like to clarify two main points regarding the way in which my comments appeared to lessen the importance of the soft-tissue reconstruction of Kennewick Man.

First, at the time of my (telephone) in-