D (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The expression of Ich-1 in those cells was comparable to that in 2fTGH cells, but the amount of Cpp32 was reduced (Fig. 1B). Therefore, p84 and S727A have different apoptotic profiles. We conclude that the constitutive expression of Ich-1 and Cpp32 does not require formation of STAT1 homodimers. However, STAT1 might form complex transcription factors by interacting with other proteins (24).

STAT1-null mice are defective in all responses to IFN- α or IFN- γ (25, 26), as expected from the properties of STAT1-null human cells (27). However, in contrast to Cpp32-null mice (28), STAT1-null mice show no gross developmental abnormalities (25, 26). If, like human cells, mouse cells require STAT1 for efficient expression of Cpp32, the reduced levels of this protease in STAT1-null mouse cells must still be sufficient to support the apoptosis required for nearly normal development. Apoptosis of underlying keratocytes that follows the ablation of corneal epithelial cells is grossly defective in STAT1-null mice (29), revealing that at least one form of stress-induced apoptosis is defective in the absence of STAT1.

, STAT1 is also required for constitutive expression of LMP2 and LMP7 (low molecular mass polypeptides 2 and 7) (30), in addition to Ice, Ich-1, Cpp32, and IRF-1. The finding that STAT1 is required for efficient constitutive expression of several genes reveals a more general role for this ubiquitous transcription factor.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- H. Hsu, J. Huang, H.-B. Shu, V. Baichwal, D. V. Goeddel, *Immunity* 4, 387 (1996).
- J. E. Darnell Jr., I. M. Kerr, G. R. Stark, Science 264, 1415 (1994).
- 3. B. R. G. Williams, Semin. Virol. 6, 191 (1995).
- 4. A. G. Hovanesian, ibid. 4, 237 (1993).
- B. Y. Ruben *et al.*, *Cancer Res.* **48**, 6006 (1988); S. J. Martin *et al.*, *J. Immunol.* **145**, 1859 (1990).
- 6. Cells (2 \times 10⁶ per 10-cm dish) were treated with TNF- α (20 ng/ml) and actinomcyin D (20 ng/ml) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. After 18 hours, the cells were trypsinized and assayed by trypan blue exclusion.
- 7. S. Leung and G. R. Stark, unpublished data.
- 8. C. Schindler, X.-Y. Fu, T. Improta, R. Aebersold, J. E. Darnell Jr., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **89**, 7836 (1992).
- 9. M. Muller, EMBO J. 12, 4221 (1993).
- 10. A. Fraser and G. Evan, Cell 85, 781 (1996)
- 11. M. Herrmann *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**, 5506 (1994).
- 12. S. Nagata and P. Golstein, *Science* **267**, 1449 (1995); C. A. Ray *et al.*, *Cell* **69**, 597 (1992).
- Total RNA was extracted from 4 × 10⁶ cells with TRIzol (Gibco-BRL) according to the manufacturer's directions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed, using 2 μg of each RNA sample primed with oligo(dT) in a 30-μl reaction volume with 400 U of Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega). All PCRs were performed on a portion (2 μl) of each cDNA mixture in a 50-μl reaction volume containing 25 pmol of each upstream and downstream primer, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim), 0.125 mM of each de-

oxynucleoside triphosphate, 2.0 mM $\text{MgCl}_{2},$ and 1 \times reaction buffer (Boehringer Mannheim). The Fas primer pair, 5'-CCCAAATAGGAGTGTATGCAGAG-G-3' and 5'-GCCATTAAGATGAGCACCAAGG-3'. generated a 547-base pair (bp) product. The Ice primer pair, 5'-TTGCTCCCTAGAAGAAGCTCAA-AG-3' and 5'-GCCTTCCCGAATACCATGAGAC-3', generated a 321-bp product. The Cpp32 primer pair, 5'-TGGAACAAATGGACCTGTTGACC-3' and 5'-AGGACTCAAATTCTGTTGCCACC-3', generated a 365-bp product. The Ice/rel2 primer pair, 5'-CGCTGAGGGCATTTGCTACC-3' and 5'-CACT-TCCAAGGATGCTGGAGAG-3', generated a 272bp product. The Ich-1 primer pair, 5'-TCCAGCTC-CAAGAGGTTTTTCAG-3' and 5'-GTCCCTTTG-AGGCAGGCATAG-3', generated a 250-bp product. The Mch2α primer pair, 5'-CTGTTAGCCACG-CAGATGCC-3' and 5'-TGTTCACCAGTGTGAG-GAGTTCTG-3', generated a 447-bp product. The Mch3 primer pair, 5'-GCTGAGAAGCAATGGGT-CACTC-3' and 5'-TGCAGTGGACACAGCCAT-GAG-3', generated a 300-bp product. The glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) primer pair, 5'-CCATGGAGAAGGCTGGGGC-3' and 5'-CCAAAGTTGTCATGGATGTCC-3', generated a 195-bp product. All products were analyzed by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis.

- 14. T. Tamura et al., Nature 376, 596 (1995).
- 15. A. Kumar and G. R. Stark, data not shown.
- 16. 2fTGH, U3A, and U3A-R cells (4 × 10⁶ per 10-cm plate) were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), scraped into PBS (1 ml), and sedimented in a microcentrifuge for 30 s at 4°C. The supernatant solution was removed, and the sedimented material was resuspended in 100 μl of lysis buffer (PBS, 0.1% phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) and incubated on ice for 20 min. The extracts were sedimented in a microcentrifuge for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant solution represented whole-cell extract; 100 μg of this protein was analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10% gel) and subsequently transferred to a polyvinylidine difluoride membrane for 12 hours at 4°C. The membrane was incu-

bated for 12 hours in 5% blotto {5% Carnation milk in 1× tris-buffered saline (TBS) [10 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl]}. Monoclonal antibodies to lch-1, Cpp32, and Fas (Signal Transduction Laboratories) were added to separate transfers at 1:1000 dilutions, and a polyclonal rabbit antiserum to lce (Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA) was added at 1 μ g/ml. After incubation at room temperature for 2 hours, the transfers were washed three times with 1× TBS for a total of 30 min. Secondary antibodies were incubated with the transfers at 1:1000 dilutions for 1 hour at room temperature. The transfers were washed four times with 1× TBS for a total of 1 hour and analyzed by enhanced chemiluminescence using Pierce reagents, followed by exposure to x-ray film.

- 17. E. H.-Y. Cheng, B. Levine, L. H. Boise, C. B. Thompson, J. M. Hardwick, *Nature* **379**, 554 (1996).
- 18. K. Shuai et al., ibid. 366, 580 (1993).
- 19. K. Shuai et al., Cell 76, 821 (1994).
- M. H. Heim, I. M. Kerr, G. R. Stark, J. E. Darnell Jr., Science 267, 1347 (1995).
- 21. K. Shuai, G. Ř. Stark, I. M. Kerr, J. E. Darnell Jr., *ibid.* **261**, 1744 (1993).
- 22. In these experiments, we were not able to analyze lce protein, which is present at a very low level, with the antibody preparations available to us.
- 23. Z. Wen et al., Cell 82, 241 (1995).
- 24. T. S. Schaefer *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92**, 9097 (1995).
- 25. M. A. Meraz et al., Cell 84, 431 (1996).
- J. E. Durbin et al., *ibid.*, p. 443.
 R. McKendry et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 11455 (1991).
- 28. K. Kuida et al., Nature 384, 368 (1996).
- 29. S. Wilson, R. Schreiber, A. Kumar, G. R. Stark, unpublished observations.
- 30. M. Chatterjee-Kishore, D. J. Hicklin, F. Marincola, S. Ferrone, in preparation.
- Supported by grant P01 CA62220 from NIH. Thanks to S. Der, B. R. G. Williams, S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Leung, X. Li, D. Leaman, and J. Tebo for helpful comments and to J. Lang for photography.

26 June 1997; accepted 22 October 1997

Independent Photoreceptive Circadian Clocks Throughout Drosophila

Jeffrey D. Plautz, Maki Kaneko, Jeffrey C. Hall, Steve A. Kay*

Transgenic *Drosophila* that expressed either luciferase or green fluorescent protein driven from the promoter of the clock gene *period* were used to monitor the circadian clock in explanted head, thorax, and abdominal tissues. The tissues (including sensory bristles in the leg and wing) showed rhythmic bioluminescence, and the rhythms could be reset by light. The photoreceptive properties of the explanted tissues indicate that unidentified photoreceptors are likely to contribute to photic signal transduction to the clock. These results show that autonomous circadian oscillators are present throughout the body, and they suggest that individual cells in *Drosophila* are capable of supporting their own independent clocks.

Circadian oscillators have been localized in several organisms. For example, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) is important for

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

mammalian rhythms (1), whereas Iguana iguana has at least three independent oscillators: the retina, parietal eye, and pineal gland (2). Sparrows show activity rhythms that can be altered by lesioning the pineal gland (3); this operation reveals the influence of other oscillators on the bird's behavior. The brain controls behavioral rhythms in moth (4) and Drosophila (5, 6), whereas sperm release in the moth is controlled by an independent oscillator (7). Recently, free-

J. D. Plautz and S. A. Kay, Department of Cell Biology and National Science Foundation Center for Biological Timing, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA. M. Kaneko and J. C. Hall, Department of Biology and National Science Foundation Center for Biological Timing, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254, USA.

running rhythms have been demonstrated in the Drosophila ring gland (8) and Malpighian tubules (9). At a molecular level, two different Drosophila clock genes, period (per) (10) and timeless (tim) (11), have been identified. The transcripts and proteins from both of these genes cycle daily in abundance, and both genes are needed to maintain a biological clock (12).

In mammals, all demonstrated clock input comes from the eye (13), although the exact photoreceptor is unknown (14). Photoreceptors within the brain can mediate rhythms in birds (15) and insects (4, 16). Drosophila that lack all known photoreceptive organs can still transmit light information to the clock (17), indicating the existence of unidentified circadian photoreceptors within the animal.

Functional per in the head of the fly has been explicitly shown to be essential for one output: behavior (6). Eclosion (10) and transcriptional control (18) have been shown to require per, but without any specific localization of the message or its protein. PER protein has been detected in many tissues throughout the animal (19). Although specific functions outside the head are unknown, per in these tissues may be needed for localized clock function. These per-dependent oscillators could be targets for signals from the head; however, light sensitivity is a characteristic of a fully autonomous oscillator that can receive stimuli from the environment, transmit this information to the oscillator, then use the oscillator to affect downstream clock-controlled functions.

per-driven bioluminescent oscillations occur in living per-luc Drosophila (per is fused to the luciferase gene luc) (20–22). These rhythms are entrainable by light and free-run in constant darkness (20). To examine the circadian autonomy of Drosophila tissues, we monitored rhythmic bioluminescence from cultured dissociated body segments (head, thorax, or abdomen) from per-luc animals (23, 24); per-driven green fluorescent protein (GFP) was used concurrently as a bright spatial expression marker.

Each of the three segments are capable of rhythmic bioluminescence (Fig. 1) in light-dark (LD) conditions. Changing the conditions to constant darkness (DD) resulted in a gradual decrease in amplitude. The cultures were able to reentrain to a new LD cycle, where the new onset of light occurred 6 hours later than the free-running subjective dawn. Reentrainment occurred within one cycle, with the main bioluminescent peak falling about 20 hours after lights-on, just as it did in the initial LD cycle. The waveform and phase of the rhythms from all three segments were nearly identical, and there was very little noise

in the individual traces, especially when compared with whole-animal records (20-22). Also, there was no evidence of the second peak of bioluminescence that was previously reported in whole-animal studies

Fig. 1. Bioluminescence rhythms in cultured body per-driven segments. GFP expression can be seen throughout the whole fly (A). Individual (B) heads, (C) thoraxes, and (D) abdomens were individually cultured in LD and DD and monitored for bioluminescence expression levels. Of the cultured seaments 79% (221/279) demonstrated rhythms in LD; 59% (130/ 222) demonstrated at least two cycles in DD, and 82% (182/222) became arrhythmic within four cycles in DD. Filled bars. darkness; open bars, light; gray bars subjective light. CPS, counts per second.



(20, 21), indicating that this feature likely

arises from a whole-animal physiological-

bioluminescent phenomenon rather than as

a direct feature of per transcription. The pro-

boscis and antenna (Fig. 2A) expressed per-



www.sciencemag.org • SCIENCE • VOL. 278 • 28 NOVEMBER 1997

jective light.

Days

driven GFP (23, 25); the bright green signal was easily distinguishable from the yellow autofluorescence [compare (26)]. Like the whole-body segments, the proboscis (Fig. 2B) and antennae (Fig. 2C) are also capable of maintaining oscillations in LD and DD (although, as in whole-body segments, rhythmicity damps in DD). GFP expression was also detected at the near-single-cell level in legs (Fig. 2D) and wings (Figs. 2F and 3B). GFP was present in these tissues in chemosensory cells (Fig. 3) (27), which are also found in the proboscis and antennae (27). The leg (Fig. 2E) and wing oscillators (Fig. 2F) showed rhythmic per-driven bioluminescence. As with body segments, the tissue oscillators are capable of rapid resetting in response to light. Other tissues throughout the body that displayed rhythmicity include the eyes, Malpighian tubules, and testes (28); the ovaries, though, did not display any appreciable cycling (28, 29).

Our imaging and analysis of per-gal4; UAS-GFP flies showed broad per expression throughout the fly (Fig. 1A), supporting previous reports of widespread per expression (19). Several lines of evidence confirm that our fluorescent images (Figs. 1A, 2A, 2D, and 3B) do not represent ectopic expression: multiple staining studies with perlacZ fusions and antibodies to PER have shown per expression similar to that shown here (19, 30); our bioluminescent studies in culture show that all of the examined tissues that express fluorescence also express cycling per-driven bioluminescence (Figs. 1 to 3); and previous single-photon imaging (20) [reconfirmed in recent bioluminescence imaging (28)] showed per-luc bioluminescence in the legs and wings, as well as other parts of the animal. Although the fluorescence pattern does not exactly reflect that of native per expression (as assayed immunohistochemically), inconsistencies with this line occur when fluorescence is not seen where per expression has otherwise

Fig. 3. GFP expression in wing chemosensory cells. (A) Detail of an anterior wing margin. Red arrows show individual chemosensory cells. (B) Superimposition of *per*-driven GFP fluorescence on the same wing margin. Signal co-localizes with the basal cells of the sensory bristles, as well as fluorescence from chemosensory cells on the opposite side of the wing margin (blue arrows). been detected (notably the eye; see Fig. 1A). We have at no time observed external GFP fluorescence where *per* has not been otherwise detected.

Because there are numerous oscillators in the fly, each of these oscillators are photoreceptive, and *per* is expressed in single cells, we hypothesize that the Drosophila clock can operate at a cell-autonomous level. Each clock cell may be capable of photoreceptivity and endogenous rhythm maintenance to some extent. Independent clock cells within a circadian tissue can also explain the overall arrhythmicity of a tissue after several days in DD. Whole animals free-run with a range of near-circadian, but different, periods [as monitored by bioluminescence (21)]. In LD conditions, single cells are resynchronized every 12 hours by light transitions; however, cells free-running with a range of periods similar to whole animals will mathematically yield net arrhythmicity in a matter of days. Single-cell monitoring of cells in a tissue as it becomes arrhythmic will be necessary to tell whether the arrhythmicity is due to cell asynchronicity [compare (31)], or the gradual "winding-down" of the clock itself at a cellular level.

In constant conditions, RNA oscillations in the adult body damp in DD with about the same kinetics reported here, but that rhythmicity persists in the head (29). The brain is the source of control for locomotor behavior (5, 6), and such behavior oscillates for weeks in constant conditions (32). Although the brain rhythms do not apparently damp over several days in constant conditions, other parts of the head do (the proboscis, eyes, and antennae; Fig. 2, B and C). The asynchrony within these tissues, which are on the surface of the head, most likely masks the synchronized brain rhythmicity deep within the head.

The function or functions of *per* outside the head are unknown (19). A particularly interesting feature of the GFP expression



pattern is its labeling of chemosensory cells. This pattern specifically identifies structures at the base of chemoreceptor bristles in the proboscis, antennae, anterior wing margins, and legs (Figs. 1A, 2A, 2D, 2F, and 3) (27). Moreover, per-driven bioluminescence in these tissues is rhythmic (Fig. 2, B, C, E, and G), showing that there is a functional clock in these cells. These cells are spatially independent of each other (on the basis of noncontiguous fluorescence) and are capable of cycling and entraining without an attached head. The presence of a functional clock implies circadian regulation of chemosensory sensitivity, analogous to the circadian regulation of sensitivity thresholds in luminance (33) and pain (34) reported in mammals. Although the evidence for clock control of sensory thresholds in the fly is still circumstantial, the presence of independent clocks along with examples of similar rhythmic phenomena in other systems indicates a central role for per-dependent clock functions in tissues outside the head.

Every known oscillating tissue in the fly has shown the capacity for light perception. Also, each dissociated segment is rhythmic with the same phase and waveform. This raises the possibility that the head, which was previously believed to be the master oscillator in the fly, does not coordinate all rhythms throughout the animal. In this case, light, which has the potential to affect all parts of the fly simultaneously, serves as the master coordination signal. The asynchrony of independent clock cells over several days of free-run should not be of practical concern to the whole animal because flies in the wild almost always have an environmental light cycle. This control mechanism could reasonably be extended to other animals (although it will likely be more complicated in higher eukaryotes). The mouse circadian gene, Clock, is expressed throughout the animal (35), indicating that mammals may have oscillators throughout the body; also, a mammalian homolog of Drosophila per has been recently identified (36) and found to be localized throughout the body. Like the fly, much of the evidence for a central oscillator in mammals has come from observing a single output: behavior. Similarly, the simple interpretation of a master controlling oscillator may need to be revised with the closer examination of multiple outputs and isolated multiple oscillators.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- M. R. Ralph, R. G. Foster, F. C. Davis, M. Menaker, Science 247, 975 (1990).
- M. Menaker and G. Tosini, in *Circadian Organization* and Oscillatory Coupling, K. Honma and S. Homna, Eds. (Hokkaido Univ. Press, Sapporo, Japan, 1996), p. 39.
- 3. J. S. Takahashi and M. Menaker, J. Comp. Physiol.
- SCIENCE VOL. 278 28 NOVEMBER 1997 www.sciencemag.org

146, 245 (1982)

- 4. J. W. Truman, ibid. 95, 281 (1974).
- 5. A. M. Handler and R. J. Konopka, Nature 279, 236
- (1979).6. J. Ewer, B. Frisch, M. J. Hamblen-Coyle, M. Ros-
- bash, J. C. Hall, J. Neurosci. 12, 3321 (1992). 7. J. M. Giebultowicz, J. G. Riemann, A. K. Raina, R. L.
- Ridgway, Science 245, 1098 (1989). 8. I. F. Emery, J. M. Noveral, C. F. Jamison, K. K.
- Siwicki, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 4092 (1997)
- 9. J. M. Giebultowicz and D. M. Hege, Nature 386, 664 (1997); D. Hege, R. Stanewsky, J. C. Hall, J. M. Giebultowicz, J. Biol. Rhythms 12, 300 (1997)
- 10. R. J. Konopka and S. Benzer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 68, 2112 (1971).
- 11. A. Sehgal, J. L. Price, B. Man, M. W. Young, Science 263, 1603 (1994).
- A. Sehgal, A. Ousley, M. Hunter-Ensor, Mol. Cell. 12. Neurosci. 7, 165 (1996).
- 13. R. F. Johnson, R. Y. Moore, L. P. Morin, Brain Res. 460, 297 (1988).
- 14. S. M. Argamas et al., Biophys. Chem. 56, 3 (1995). J. P. McMillan, H. C. Keatts, M. Menaker, J. Comp. 15.
- Physiol. 102, 251 (1975).
- 16. J. W. Truman, ibid. 81, 99 (1972).
- 17. D. A. Wheeler, M. J. Hamblen-Coyle, M. S. Dushay, J. C. Hall, J. Biol. Rhythms 8, 67 (1993).
- 18. R. N. Van Gelder, H. Bae, M. J. Palazzolo, M. A Krasnow, Curr. Biol. 5, 1424 (1995); R. N. Van Gelder and M. A. Krasnow, EMBO J. 15, 1625 (1996).
- 19 J. C. Hall, Trends Neurosci. 18, 230 (1995).
- 20. C. Brandes et al., Neuron 16, 687 (1996)
- J. D. Plautz et al., J. Biol. Rhythms 12, 204 (1997). 21.
- 22. R. Stanewsky, C. F. Jamison, J. D. Plautz, S. Kay, J. C. Hall, EMBO J. 16, 5006 (1997).
- 23. per-luc transgenics refer specifically to the plo1a-1 line described in (20). The per-promoter-GAL4 fusion gene (per-GAL4) was made as has been done with other promoters (37). The construct contains the same 4.2-kb genomic fragment used in the perluc fusion (20) upstream of the GAL4 gene and the hsp-70 terminator. This fragment was ligated into the P element transformation vector CaspeR4 (38). Six transformants with different insertion sites were generated. The spatial expression patterns of per-GAL4 in the adult head in these lines were studied by immunohistochemistry in flies carrying these GAL4 elements and either UAS-lacZ or UAS-Tau (39). Three of the six lines express GAL4 in various ectopic tissue locations as well as in a few of the normal perexpressing cells [see for example (6, 40)]. The other three lines were relatively normal in their expression patterns and had GAL4-mediated staining in several identified per neurons (cf. 6, 40). However, GAL4 expression in these three lines exhibited some differences from the endogenous per expression, such as weak eye expression and ectopic expression in the brain's central complex. The line used in this study is one of the latter three. To generate the actual fluorescent flies, we crossed these per-GAL4 flies to another transgenic line that drives GFP from the yeast UAS sequence [UAS-GFP (41)].
- 24. Automated bioluminescent monitoring was carried out as previously described (22), with some modifications. Cultured explants were loaded individually into wells of black microtiter plates previously prepared with 100 µl of tissue culture medium (see below). Wells were covered with TopSeal with no ventilation hole. Bioluminescence was automatically counted for 15 s about once per hour. Data were analyzed with the Import and Analysis macro set for Microsoft Excel. Primary explants were removed from whole animals that had been entrained to a 12:12 LD cycle. Flies were briefly anesthetized with CO2 and immediately separated into heads, thoraxes, and abdomens. Further dissections were performed on the individual body parts. Operations were carried out in a tissue culture medium consisting of (by volume) 85.9% S3 insect tissue culture media, 12% fetal bovine serum (heat inactivated for 30 min at 60°C), 1% penicillin-streptomycin mixture, 1% luciferin solution, and 0.1% insulin (1 mg/ml) solution. Cultures were monitored in the same solution throughout the experiment. The concentration of the

luciferin solution varied from experiment to experiment, yielding a final concentration between 0.05 and 0.5 mM. Different concentrations of luciferin did not affect the period or phase of the rhythms, although higher concentrations led to brighter overall bioluminescence.

Reports

- 25. Flies were initially anesthetized with CO₂ followed by a drop of ether. Samples were observed with a longpass GFP filter cube (Chroma) on an Olympus AX-70 upright microscope; images were collected with a color charge-coupled device camera (Hamamatsu). Images were processed with Adobe Photoshop. 26
- J. D. Plautz et al., Gene 173, 83 (1996).
- R. F. Stocker, Cell Tissue Res. 275, 3 (1994) 27 28
- J. D. Plautz and S. A. Kay, data not shown.
- 29. P. E. Hardin, Mol. Cell. Biol. 14, 7211 (1994) 30
- X. Liu, L. Lorenz, Y. Qiang, J. C. Hall, M. Rosbash, Genes Dev. 2, 228 (1988).
- 31. D. K. Welsh, D. E. Logothetis, M. Meister, S. M. Reppert, Neuron 14, 697 (1995)
- J. M. Power, J. M. Ringo, H. B. Dowse, *J. Neuro-*genet. 9, 227 (1995).
- 33. L. P. O'Keefe and H. D. Baker, Physiol. Behav. 41,

193 (1987)

- 34. M. Martinez-Gomez, Y. Cruz, M. Salas, R. Hudson, P. Pacheco, ibid. 55, 651 (1994).
- 35. D. P. King et al., Cell 89, 641 (1997)
- 36. Z. S. Sun et al., ibid. 90, 1003 (1997); H. Tei et al., Nature 389, 512 (1997).
- 37. L. Luo, Y. J. Liao, L. Y. Jan, Y. N. Jan, Genes Dev. 8, 1787 (1994).
- 38 C. S. Thummel, A. M. Boulet, H. D. Lipshitz, Gene 74, 445 (1988).
- 39. K. Ito, H. Sass, J. Urban, A. Hofbauer, S. Schneuwly, Cell Tissue Res. 290, 1 (1997).
- 40. K. K. Siwicki, C. Eastman, G. Petersen, M. Rosbash, J. C. Hall, Neuron 1, 141 (1988).
- 41. Provided by B. J. Dickson.
- 42. We thank R. Stanewsky and B. J. Dickson for sharing fly lines and P. Hardin, J. Giebultowicz, and K. Siwicki for sharing unpublished data. This work was supported by National Institute of Mental Health grant MH-51573 (to S.A.K and J.C.H.) and the NSF Center for Biological Timing (to S.A.K.).

19 August 1997; accepted 23 September 1997

The Filamentous Phage pIV Multimer Visualized by Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy

Nora A. Linderoth, Martha N. Simon, Marjorie Russel*

A family of homomultimeric outer-membrane proteins termed secretins mediates the secretion of large macromolecules such as enzymes and filamentous bacteriophages across bacterial outer membranes to the extracellular milieu. The secretin encoded by filamentous phage f1 was purified. Mass determination of individual molecules by scanning transmission electron microscopy revealed two forms, a unit multimer composed of about 14 subunits and a multimer dimer. The secretin is roughly cylindrical and has an internal diameter of about 80 angstroms, which is large enough to accommodate filamentous phage (diameter of 65 angstroms).

Filamentous phage-encoded pIV is an outer-membrane protein required for phage assembly that is not a part of the virus particle. It has sequence similarity to a family of bacterial proteins that are essential components of the type II and type III protein secretion systems that have been identified in many pathogenic Gram-negative species (1, 2). The members of this protein family (called secretins) are believed to play similar roles in mediating translocation of substrates across the outer membrane. Gene IV could be derived from a bacterial gene because some phages contain the gene in different parts of their otherwise colinear genomes and CTX, the lysogenic filamentous phage of Vibrio cholerae that encodes cholera toxin (3), lacks it entirely; CTX may use a V. cholerae secretin for phage assembly. In addition to a secretin, the type II, type III, and phage assembly systems include a protein containing an essential nucleotidebinding motif. The remaining components $(\sim 13 \text{ for type II secretion}, \sim 20 \text{ for type III}$ secretion, and 9 encoded by filamentous phage) are related within, but not between, systems.

Bacteria productively infected by filamentous phage remain viable and can continue to grow and divide indefinitely while producing and releasing phage particles. During assembly, the cytoplasmic singlestranded DNA phage genome is extruded through the cytoplasmic membrane where it becomes coated with the phage-encoded capsid proteins that reside in the cytoplasmic membrane before their incorporation into phage (4). Secretion across the outer membrane appears to be concomitant with assembly because periplasmic phage has not been detected. Thus, phage assembly and the type II and III systems all require transport of macromolecules across two bacterial membranes.

The pIV protein exists as a homomultimer that has been previously estimated to consist of 10 to 12 monomers (5). Several of the bacterial homologs form mixed multimers with pIV in vivo, implying structural relatedness and suggesting that they them-

N. A. Linderoth and M. Russel, Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA. M. N. Simon, Department of Biology, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: russelm@rockvax.rockefeller.edu