

member of the Synomaloptilidae, ancestral to modern bark lice and a member of a Paleozoic insect clade that was postulated by Rasnitsyn to consume "plants by feeding primarily on their reproductive organs . . ." (1, p. 27, translated from the Russian). In 1997, Rasnitsyn predicted that these and related taxa possessed head and mouthparts that consumed material nutritionally equivalent to pollen (2, p. 65).

It has been known that Late Carboniferous coal-ball permineralizations include fossilized fecal pellet assemblages containing histologically pristine plant tissues, some of which consist entirely of spores, pollen, and associated tissue (3). And insect consumption of wind-dispersed pollen has been demonstrated repeatedly in such consummate pollenivores as syrphid flies (4), bees (5), and other pollenivorous insects (6). The occurrence of pollen interpreted as wind-dispersed in the guts of Permian insects is thus unlikely to be accidental. A more parsimonious conclusion is that several lineages of Early Permian insects were actively consuming nutritionally rich pollen, regardless of the mode of dispersal. Such a diet was a necessary prelude to pollinator mutualisms between seed plants and insects that occurred subsequently in geologic time (7).

Conrad C. Labandeira
Department of Paleobiology,
National Museum of Natural History,
Smithsonian Institution,
Washington, DC 20560, USA
E-mail: labandec@nmnh.si.edu

References

1. A. P. Rasnitsyn, *Tr. Paleontol. Inst.* **174**, 1 (1980).
2. ———, *Paleontol. J.* **11**, 60 (1977).
3. R. W. Baxendale, *Palaeontology* **22**, 537 (1979); S. V. Meyen, *Z. Geol. Wiss.* **12**, 269 (1984).
4. P. Stellerman and A. D. J. Meeuse, *Tijd. Entomol.* **119**, 15 (1976).
5. J. Pojar, *Am. Midl. Natl.* **89**, 448 (1973).
6. O. Hagerup, *Dan. Vidensk. Sels. Biol. Meddel.* **18** (no. 4), 1 (1950).
7. C. C. Labandeira, *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, in press.

Corrections and Clarifications

Gaetano Di Chiara's name was incorrectly spelled (as was his university affiliation—the University of Cagliari) at the end of his letter of response (8 Aug., p. 750). In the same letter, the first sentence of the last paragraph should have read, "A secondary argument made by Grinspoon *et al.* is that marijuana is even less addictive than coffee." These errors, which *Science* regrets, were introduced during editing.

The Research News article "High-speed materials design" by Robert Service (25 July, p. 474) should have stated that the work of Amir Hoveyda and Marc Snapper was performed in

the Department of Chemistry at Boston College (not Boston University).

In the report "Structural insights into the evolution of an antibody combining site" by G. J. Wedemayer *et al.* (13 June, p. 1665), a dagger should have been inserted next to Raymond C. Stevens' name to indicate that he is a corresponding author. Also, the "Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory" affiliation given for all the authors should have been "Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory."

In the article "Liver regeneration" by G. K. Michalopoulos and M. C. DeFrances (4 Apr., p. 60), in column 3 on line 3 of page 65, the word "mitogen" should have been "motogen."

Letters to the Editor

Letters may be submitted by e-mail (at science_letters@aaaas.org), fax (202-789-4669), or regular mail (*Science*, 1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA). Letters are not routinely acknowledged. Full addresses, signatures, and daytime phone numbers should be included. Letters should be brief (300 words or less) and may be edited for reasons of clarity or space. They may appear in print and/or on the World Wide Web. Letter writers are not consulted before publication.

Nobody can equal our record of delivering innovations to electrophoresis. To name just a few, we've introduced: the first commercial paper electrophoresis system, Isoelectric Focusing using carrier ampholytes, the first automated gel electrophoresis unit, and immobilized pH gradients for IEF. Over 10 years ago, we introduced the first software supported gel evaluation system. Now we're introducing a new version of ImageMaster® electrophoresis gel evaluation software.

Introducing our new electrophoresis gel evaluation software: ImageMaster

New ImageMaster gives you the first gel, blot, and autoradiogram analysis, interpretation and data filing tool operation for Windows 95. From within this familiar operating environment, it lets you simultaneously compare and match an unlimited number of gels. With ImageMaster, you can automate complex lane/band matches—or build custom report templates—and much more.

The new ImageMaster software version is the latest in our range for electrophoresis gel evaluation. Fully upgradeable, individual versions suit the needs of 1-D and 2-D gel analysis. Which version is best for you? Give your local office a call—ask for one of our electrophoresis experts to demonstrate what ImageMaster can do for you. To find out more about Pharmacia Biotech, call: 1 (800) 526-3593 in the USA; +81 3492 6949 in Japan; +46 18 16 50 11 in Europe and the rest of the world.

Or visit us at <http://www.biotech.pharmacia.se> on the Internet.



Circle No. 23 on Readers' Service Card